## Wick 3

We want to show that

$$: \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} :: \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : = : \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : + \sum_{a,b} : \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : ,$$
 (1)

where the sum runs over all the contractions (meaning also multiple contractions) between some  $\phi_a$ 's and some  $\phi_b$ 's (note that no contractions between  $\phi_a$ 's and  $\phi_a$ 's or  $\phi_b$ 's and  $\phi_b$ 's appear).

Let's show this by induction.

We consider as the step 0 the one in which n = m = 1 (for either n = 0 or m = 0 the statement is trivially true):

$$:\phi_a::\phi_b: \quad = \quad \phi_a^+\phi_b^+ + \phi_a^+\phi_b^- + \phi_a^-\phi_b^+ + \phi_a^-\phi_b^- \quad = \quad :\phi_a\phi_b: + :\phi_a\phi_b:.$$

We recall the following properties

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_a^+ \phi_b &= 0, \\
\phi_a^- \phi_b &= \phi_a \phi_b
\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, we now suppose the theorem to hold for n-1+m fields, and want to induce its validity for n+m. So we take as true

$$: \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} :: \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} :=$$

$$: \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} :+ \sum_{a_{>1},b} : \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} :.$$

Now

$$(\phi_{a_{1}}\cdots\phi_{a_{n}})(\phi_{a_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) = \phi_{a_{1}}^{+}:\phi_{a_{2}}\cdots\phi_{a_{n}}(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{2}}\cdots\phi_{a_{n}})(\phi_{a_{1}}^{-})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})$$

$$= \phi_{a_{1}}^{+}:\phi_{a_{2}}\cdots\phi_{a_{n}}(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{2}}\cdots\phi_{a_{n}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{a_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})$$

$$= \sum_{a_{1},b_{i}}(\phi_{a_{2}}\cdots\phi_{a_{n}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}}) + (\phi_{a_{1}}\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})(\phi_{b_{1}}\cdots\phi_{b_{m}})$$

Using the relation for n + m fields one rewrites this as

$$: \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} :: \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : = \phi_{a_1}^+ : \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : + \sum_{a_{>1},b} \phi_{a_1}^+ : \phi_{a_2} \underline{\cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1}} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : + \\ : \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : \phi_{a_1}^- + \sum_{a_{>1},b} : \phi_{a_2} \underline{\cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1}} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : \phi_{a_1}^- + \\ \sum_{a_1,b_i} : \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} :: \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} :$$

The fifth term can be split in two contributions:

$$\sum_{a_1,b_i}:\phi_{a_2}\cdots\phi_{a_n}::\phi_{b_1}\cdots\phi_{\underline{a_1}}\phi_{b_i}\cdots\phi_{b_m}: =$$
 
$$\sum_{a_1,b_i}:\phi_{a_2}\cdots\phi_{a_n}\phi_{b_1}\cdots\phi_{\underline{a_1}}\phi_{\underline{b_i}}\cdots\phi_{b_m}:+\sum_{a_1,b_i}\sum_{a_{>1},b}:\phi_{a_2}\cdots\phi_{a_n}\phi_{b_1}\cdots\cdots\phi_{\underline{a_1}}\phi_{\underline{b_i}}\cdots\phi_{b_m}: ,$$

so that the overall expression is

$$: \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} :: \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : = \phi_{a_1}^+ : \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : + \sum_{a_{>1},b} \phi_{a_1}^+ : \phi_{a_2} \underline{\cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1}} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : + \\ : \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : \phi_{a_1}^- + \sum_{a_{>1},b} : \phi_{a_2} \underline{\cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1}} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : \phi_{a_1}^- + \\ \sum_{a_1,b_i} : \phi_{a_2} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{a_1} \underline{\phi_{b_i}} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : + \\ \sum_{a_1,b_i} \sum_{a_{>1},b} : \phi_{a_2} \underline{\cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1}} \cdots \cdots \phi_{a_1} \underline{\phi_{b_i}} \cdots \phi_{b_m} : .$$

The sum of the first and the third term is  $: \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{b_n} :$ . The sum of the second and fourth term is  $\sum_{a,b} : \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} :$ , where  $a_1$  is not involved. The sum of the last two terms is  $\sum_{a,b} : \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} :$ , where  $a_1$  is involved. Thus the sum of the second, fourth, fifth and sixth term is  $\sum_{a,b} : \phi_{a_1} \cdots \phi_{a_n} \phi_{b_1} \cdots \phi_{b_m} :$  as defined in equation (1).

Since step 0 is true and step n-1+m implies step n+m, then the induction is complete, and the theorem (1) is proved.