

# ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

## Handout 14

Solutions to Homework 6

Information Theory and Coding

Oct. 24, 2023

PROBLEM 1. Since  $L$  is linear, we know that

$$L(\lambda x) = \lambda L(x)$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Similarly,  $g$  is concave so it must satisfy the following by definition.

$$g(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \geq \lambda g(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)g(x_2)$$

for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Combining these two statements, the following steps show that  $f$  is concave.

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) &= g(L(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)) \\ &= g(\lambda L(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)L(x_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\geq \lambda g(L(x_1)) + (1 - \lambda)g(L(x_2)) \tag{2}$$

$$= \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)$$

where (1) uses the linearity property of  $L$  and (2) uses the concavity property of  $g$ .

PROBLEM 2.

- (a) Let  $s(m) = 0 + 1 + \dots + (m - 1) = m(m - 1)/2$ . Suppose we have a string of length  $n = s(m)$ . Then, we can certainly parse it into  $m$  words of lengths  $0, 1, \dots, (m - 1)$ , and since these words have different lengths, we are guaranteed to have a distinct parsing. Since a parsing with the maximal number of distinct words will have at least as many words as this particular parsing, we conclude that whenever  $n = m(m - 1)/2$ ,  $c \geq m$  (and for  $n > m(m - 1)/2$  we can parse the first  $m(m - 1)/2$  letters to  $m$ , as we just described, and append the remaining letters to the last word to have a parsing into  $m$  distinct words).
- (b) An all zero string of length  $s(m)$  can be parsed into at most  $m$  words: in this case distinct words must have distinct lengths and the bound is met with equality.
- (c) Now, given  $n$ , we can find  $m$  such that  $s(m - 1) \leq n < s(m)$ . A string with  $n$  letters can be parsed into  $m - 1$  distinct words by parsing its initial segment of  $s(m - 1)$  letters with the above procedure, and concatenating the leftover letters to the last word. Thus, if a string can be parsed into  $m - 1$  distinct words, then  $n < s(m)$ , and in particular,  $n < s(c + 1) = c(c + 1)/2$ . From above, it is clear that no sequence will meet the bound with equality.

PROBLEM 3. Observe that  $H(Y) - H(Y|X) = I(X; Y) = I(X; Z) = H(Z) - H(Z|X)$ .

- (a) Consider a channel with binary input alphabet  $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$  with  $X$  uniformly distributed over  $\mathcal{X}$ , output alphabet  $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , and probability law

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } y = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } y = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 1 \text{ and } y = 2 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 1 \text{ and } y = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify  $H(Y|X) = 1$ . Since  $Y$  takes any value in  $\mathcal{Y}$  with equal probability, its entropy is  $H(Y) = 2$ . Therefore  $I(X; Y) = 1$ . Define the processor output to be in alphabet  $\mathcal{Z}$  and construct a deterministic processor  $g : y \mapsto z = g(y)$  such that,

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathcal{Y} &\rightarrow \mathcal{Z} = \{0, 1\} \\ 0 &\mapsto 0 \\ 1 &\mapsto 0 \\ 2 &\mapsto 1 \\ 3 &\mapsto 1. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $H(Z|X) = 0$  and  $H(Z) = 1$ . Therefore  $I(X; Z) = 1$ . We conclude that  $I(X; Z) = I(X; Y)$  and  $H(Z) < H(Y)$ .

- (b) Consider an error-free channel with binary input alphabet  $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$  with  $X$  uniformly distributed over  $\mathcal{X}$ , binary output alphabet  $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$ , and probability law

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Choose now  $\mathcal{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and construct a probabilistic processor  $G$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} G : \mathcal{Y} &\rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \\ 0 &\mapsto 0 \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } 1 \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 &\mapsto 2 \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } 3 \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $I(X; Y) = 1 = I(X; Z)$  and  $H(Y) = 1 < 2 = H(Z)$ .

**PROBLEM 4.**

- (a)

$$\Pr(U = u|V = ?) = \frac{\Pr(V = ?|U = u)p_U(u)}{\Pr(V = ?)} = \frac{p_U(u)p}{p} = p_U(u)$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned} I(U; V) &= H(U) - H(U|V) \\ &= H(U) - \Pr(V = ?)H(U|V = ?) - \Pr(V \neq ?)H(U|V \neq ?) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} H(U) - p \sum_{u=1}^K \Pr(U = u|V = ?) \log \frac{1}{\Pr(U = u|V = ?)} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} H(U) - p \sum_{u=1}^K p_U(u) \log \frac{1}{p_U(u)} = H(U) - pH(U) = (1 - p)H(U), \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is obtained by noticing that if  $V \neq ?$  then  $V = U$  and  $H(U|V \neq ?) = 0$  and (b) is obtained since  $\Pr(U = u|V = ?) = p_U(u)$ .

(c) Let  $C_p$  be the capacity of this channel. Then,

$$C_p = \max_{p_U} I(U, V) = \max_{p_U} (1-p)H(U) = (1-p) \max_{p_U} H(U) = (1-p) \log K,$$

with the maximum achieved when  $U$  is uniformly distributed over  $\{1, \dots, K\}$ .

PROBLEM 5.

(a) Since the channel is symmetric, the input distribution that maximizes the mutual information is the uniform one. Therefore,  $C = 1 + \epsilon \log_2(\epsilon) + (1-\epsilon) \log_2(\epsilon)$  which is equal to 0 when  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ .

(b) We have

- $I(X^n; Y^n) = I(X_2^n; Y^{n-1}) + I(X_2^n; Y_n | Y^{n-1}) + I(X_1; Y^n | X_2^n)$ .
- $X_2^n = Y^{n-1}$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. and uniform in  $\{0, 1\}$ , so  $I(X_2^n; Y^{n-1}) = H(Y^{n-1}) = n-1$ .
- $Y_n$  is independent of  $(X_2^n, Y^{n-1})$ , so  $I(X_2^n; Y_n | Y^{n-1}) = 0$ .
- $X_1$  is independent of  $(Y^n, X_2^n)$ , so  $I(X_1; Y^n | X_2^n) = 0$ .

Therefore,  $I(X^n; Y^n) = n-1$ .

(c)  $W$  is independent of  $Y^n$ , so  $I(W; Y^n) = 0 = nC$ .