

## PROBLEM 1.

(a) Recall that  $\mathcal{C}$  is uniquely decodable means that  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is injective, i.e., for any  $u^n \neq v^m$  we have  $\mathcal{C}^n(u^n) \neq \mathcal{C}^m(v^m)$ . In particular, whenever  $u^n \neq v^n$  we have  $\mathcal{C}^n(u^n) \neq \mathcal{C}^n(v^n)$ . The last statement is the definition of  $\mathcal{C}^n$  being injective.

(b) Since we are supposed to show that  $u_1 \neq v_1$ , we may assume that  $|\mathcal{U}| \geq 2$ .

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is not uniquely decodable, then there are  $u^n \neq v^m$  such that  $\mathcal{C}^n(u^n) = \mathcal{C}^m(v^m)$ . Among all such  $(u^n, v^m)$  choose one for which  $n+m$  is smallest, and assume (without loss of generality) that  $m \leq n$ . If  $m \geq 1$  we are done, since in this case we must have  $u_1 \neq v_1$  (because, if not, we can replace  $u^n$  by  $\tilde{u}^{n-1} = u_2 \dots u_n$  and  $v^m$  by  $\tilde{v}^{m-1} = v_2 \dots v_m$ , contradicting  $m+n$  being smallest).

Otherwise,  $m = 0$  and  $v^m = \lambda$  (the null string) with  $\mathcal{C}(v^m) = \lambda$ . Since  $u^n \neq v^m = \lambda$  and  $\mathcal{C}(u^n) = \lambda$ , we have a letter  $a = u_1 \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(a) = \lambda$ . Take now any letter  $b \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $b \neq a$ , and note that  $\mathcal{C}^2(ab) = \mathcal{C}^1(b)$ , i.e., there are two source sequences that differ in their first letter and have the same representation.

(c)  $\mathcal{C}$  is not uniquely decodable means that there is  $u^n \neq v^m$  such that  $\mathcal{C}^n(u^n) = \mathcal{C}^m(v^m)$ . If  $n = m$  then we are done: this would by definition mean that  $\mathcal{C}^n$  is not injective. If  $n \neq m$ , we could attempt the following reasoning: observe  $\mathcal{C}^*(u^n v^m) = \mathcal{C}^*(v^m u^n)$  and conclude that  $\mathcal{C}^{m+n}$  is not injective. However this reasoning fails because we can't be sure that  $u^n v^m \neq v^m u^n$  just because  $u^n \neq v^m$ . (E.g., suppose  $u^n = a$  and  $v^m = aa$ ). This is the reason the problem has “part (b)”:

As  $\mathcal{C}$  is not uniquely decodable, we can find  $u^n$  and  $v^m$  as in part (b). Now observe that (i)  $u^n v^m \neq v^m u^n$  (as they differ in their first letter), (ii)  $u^n v^m$  and  $v^m u^n$  have the same length  $k = n + m$ , and  $\mathcal{C}^k(u^n v^m) = \mathcal{C}^k(v^m u^n)$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}^k$  is not singular.

Moral of the problem: it is clear that the statement “ $\mathcal{C}^*$  is injective” is a stronger statement than “for every  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^n$  is injective” — since the first ensures that  $u^n \neq v^m$  are assigned different codewords not only when  $n = m$  but also for  $n \neq m$  — so part (a) is unsurprising. The statement “ $\mathcal{C}^n$  is injective for each  $n$ ” only means that different source sequences of *same length* get different representations; it is not immediately clear that this will also imply that source sequences of *different lengths* also get different representations. Part (c) shows this is indeed the case: that injectiveness of  $\mathcal{C}^n$  for every  $n$  implies the injectiveness of  $\mathcal{C}^*$ .

PROBLEM 2.

(a) We already know that

$$H(X) + H(Y) \geq H(XY),$$

$$H(Y) + H(Z) \geq H(YZ),$$

and

$$H(Z) + H(X) \geq H(ZX).$$

Adding these inequalities together and dividing by two gives

$$H(X) + H(Y) + H(Z) \geq \frac{1}{2}[H(XY) + H(YZ) + H(ZX)].$$

(b) The difference between the left and right sides, i.e.,

$$H(XY) + H(YZ) - H(XYZ) - H(Y),$$

equals

$$H(X|Y) - H(X|YZ) = I(X; Z|Y),$$

which is always positive.

(c) Using (b) with  $(YZX)$  and  $(ZXY)$  in the role of  $(XYZ)$  gives the inequalities

$$H(YZ) + H(ZX) \geq H(XYZ) + H(Z)$$

and

$$H(ZX) + H(XY) \geq H(XYZ) + H(X).$$

Adding the inequality in (b) to these two gives

$$2[H(XY) + H(YZ) + H(ZX)] \geq 3H(XYZ) + H(X) + H(Y) + H(Z).$$

(d) Since  $H(X) + H(Y) + H(Z) \geq H(XYZ)$ , (c) yields

$$2[H(XY) + H(YZ) + H(ZX)] \geq 4H(XYZ).$$

(e) Let  $\{(x_i, y_i, z_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  be the  $xyz$ -coordinates of the  $n$  points. Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be random variables with  $\Pr((X, Y, Z) = (x_i, y_i, z_i)) = 1/n$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then,  $H(XYZ) = \log_2 n$ . Furthermore, the random pair  $(XY)$  takes values in the projection of the  $n$  points to the  $xy$  plane and similarly for  $(YZ)$  and  $(ZX)$ . Thus  $H(XY) \leq \log_2 n_{xy}$ ,  $H(YZ) \leq \log_2 n_{yz}$ , and  $H(ZX) \leq \log_2 n_{zx}$ . Part (d) now yields

$$\log_2[n_{xy}n_{yz}n_{zx}] \geq H(XY) + H(YZ) + H(ZX) \geq 2H(XYZ) = 2\log_2 n,$$

which implies that  $n_{xy}n_{yz}n_{zx} \geq n^2$ .

The relationship between  $H(XYZ)$  and  $H(XY)$ ,  $H(YZ)$  and  $H(ZX)$  is a special case of Han's inequality, which, for a collection of  $n$  random variables relates the sum of the  $\binom{n}{k}$  joint entropies of  $k$  out of  $n$  random variables to the sum of the  $\binom{n}{k+1}$  entropies of  $k+1$  out of  $n$  random variables.

The combinatorial fact about the projections of points in 3D is known as Shearer's lemma.

PROBLEM 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
H(X) &= - \sum_{k=1}^M P_X(a_k) \log P_X(a_k) \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} (1-\alpha) P_Y(a_k) \log[(1-\alpha)P_Y(a_k)] - \alpha \log \alpha \\
&= (1-\alpha)H(Y) - (1-\alpha)\log(1-\alpha) - \alpha \log \alpha
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $Y$  is a random variable that takes  $M-1$  values  $H(Y) \leq \log(M-1)$  with equality if and only if  $Y$  takes each of its possible values with equal probability.

PROBLEM 4.

(a) Using the chain rule for mutual information,

$$I(X, Y; Z) = I(X; Z) + I(Y; Z | X) \geq I(X; Z),$$

with equality iff  $I(Y; Z | X) = 0$ , that is, when  $Y$  and  $Z$  are conditionally independent given  $X$ .

(b) Using the chain rule for conditional entropy,

$$H(X, Y | Z) = H(X | Z) + H(Y | X, Z) \geq H(X | Z),$$

with equality iff  $H(Y | X, Z) = 0$ , that is, when  $Y$  is a function of  $X$  and/or  $Z$ .

(c) Using first the chain rule for entropy and then the definition of conditional mutual information,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(X, Y, Z) - H(X, Y) &= H(Z | X, Y) = H(Z | X) - I(Y; Z | X) \\
&\leq H(Z | X) = H(X, Z) - H(X),
\end{aligned}$$

with equality iff  $I(Y; Z | X) = 0$ , that is, when  $Y$  and  $Z$  are conditionally independent given  $X$ .

(d) Using the chain rule for mutual information,

$$I(X; Z | Y) + I(Z; Y) = I(X, Y; Z) = I(Z; Y | X) + I(X; Z),$$

and therefore

$$I(X; Z | Y) = I(Z; Y | X) - I(Z; Y) + I(X; Z).$$

We see that this inequality is actually an equality in all cases.

PROBLEM 5. Let  $X^i$  denote  $X_1, \dots, X_i$ .

(a) By stationarity we have for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$H(X_n | X^{n-1}) \leq H(X_n | X_{n-i+1}, X_{n-i+2}, \dots, X_{n-1}) = H(X_i | X^{i-1}),$$

which implies that,

$$H(X_n | X^{n-1}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n H(X_n | X^{n-1})}{n} \tag{1}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X^{i-1})}{n} \tag{2}$$

$$= \frac{H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}{n}. \tag{3}$$

(b) By the chain rule for entropy,

$$\frac{H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i|X^{i-1})}{n} \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{H(X_n|X^{n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H(X_i|X^{i-1})}{n} \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{H(X_n|X^{n-1}) + H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})}{n}. \quad (6)$$

From stationarity it follows that for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$H(X_n|X^{n-1}) \leq H(X_i|X^{i-1}),$$

which further implies, by summing both sides over  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and dividing by  $n-1$ , that,

$$H(X_n|X^{n-1}) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H(X_i|X^{i-1})}{n-1} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})}{n-1}. \quad (8)$$

Combining (6) and (8) yields,

$$\frac{H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})}{n-1} + H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) \right] \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})}{n-1}. \quad (10)$$

PROBLEM 6. By the chain rule for entropy,

$$H(X_0|X_{-1}, \dots, X_{-n}) = H(X_0, X_{-1}, \dots, X_{-n}) - H(X_{-1}, \dots, X_{-n}) \quad (11)$$

$$= H(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) - H(X_1, \dots, X_n) \quad (12)$$

$$= H(X_0|X_1, \dots, X_n), \quad (13)$$

where (12) follows from stationarity.

PROBLEM 7.  $X \oplus Y \oplus (Z, W)$  implies that  $I(X; Z, W|Y) = 0$ . Then,

$$I(X; Y) + I(Z; W) = I(X; Y) + I(X; Z, W|Y) + I(Z; W) = I(X; Y, Z, W) + I(Z; W)$$

Notice that  $I(X; Y) + I(X; Z, W|Y) = I(X; Y, Z, W)$  follows from chain rule. Using the chain rule for a couple of times, we obtain the following steps.

$$I(X; Y, Z, W) + I(Z; W) = I(X; Z) + I(X; Y, W|Z) + I(Z; W) \quad (14)$$

$$= I(X; Z) + I(X; Y|W, Z) + I(X; W|Z) + I(Z; W) \quad (15)$$

$$= I(X; Z) + I(X; Y|W, Z) + I(X, Z; W) \quad (16)$$

$$\geq I(X; Z) + I(X; W) \quad (17)$$

as  $I(X, Z; W) \geq I(X; W)$