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## Problem 1.

(a) Let $p=P\left(a_{1}\right)$, thus $P\left(a_{2}\right)=P\left(a_{3}\right)=P\left(a_{4}\right)=(1-p) / 3$. By the Huffman construction (see figure below) we must have $p>2(1-p) / 3$, i.e., $q=2 / 5$ in order to have $n_{1}=1$.

(b) With $P\left(a_{1}\right)=q$, the figure below illustrates that a Huffman code exists with $n_{1}>1$.

(c) \& (d) For $K=2, n_{1}$ is always 1 . For $K=3, n_{1}=1$ is guaranteed by $P\left(a_{1}\right)>P\left(a_{2}\right) \geq$ $P\left(a_{3}\right)$. Now take $K \geq 4$ and assume $P\left(a_{1}\right)>2 / 5$ and $P\left(a_{1}\right)>P\left(a_{2}\right) \geq \cdots \geq P\left(a_{K}\right)$. The Huffman procedure will combine $a_{K-1}$ and $a_{K}$ to obtain a super-symbol with probability

$$
P\left(a_{K-1}\right)+P\left(a_{K}\right)<2 \frac{3 / 5}{K-1} \leq 2 / 5
$$

Thus, in the reduced ensemble $a_{1}$ is still the most likely element. Repeating the argument until $K=3$, we see that $P\left(a_{1}\right)>q$ guarantees $n_{1}=1$ in all cases.
(e) For $K<3$ no such $q^{\prime}$ exists. For $K \geq 3$, we claim $q^{\prime}=1 / 3$. Assume $a_{1}$ remains unpaired until the 2nd to last stage (otherwise there is nothing to prove). At this stage we have three nodes, and $P\left(a_{1}\right)<q^{\prime}$ must be strictly less than one of the other two (otherwise all three would have been less than $1 / 3$ ). Thus $a_{1}$ will be combined with one of them, leading to $n_{1}>1$.

## Problem 2.

(a) We already know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(X)+H(Y) & \geq H(X Y) \\
H(Y)+H(Z) & \geq H(Y Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
H(Z)+H(X) \geq H(Z X)
$$

Adding these inequalities together and diving by two gives

$$
H(X)+H(Y)+H(Z) \geq \frac{1}{2}[H(X Y)+H(Y Z)+H(Z X)]
$$

(b) The difference between the left and right sides, i.e.,

$$
H(X Y)+H(Y Z)-H(X Y Z)-H(Y)
$$

equals

$$
H(X \mid Y)-H(X \mid Y Z)=I(X ; Z \mid Y)
$$

which is always positive.
(c) Using (b) with $(Y Z X)$ and $(Z X Y)$ in the role of $(X Y Z)$ gives the inequalities

$$
H(Y Z)+H(Z X) \geq H(X Y Z)+H(Z)
$$

and

$$
H(Z X)+H(X Y) \geq H(X Y Z)+H(X)
$$

Adding the inequality in (b) to these two gives

$$
2[H(X Y)+H(Y Z)+H(Z X)] \geq 3 H(X Y Z)+H(X)+H(Y)+H(Z)
$$

(d) Since $H(X)+H(Y)+H(Z) \geq H(X Y Z)$, (c) yields

$$
2[H(X Y)+H(Y Z)+H(Z X)] \geq 4 H(X Y Z)
$$

(e) Let $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ be the $x y z$-coordinates of the $n$ points. Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be random variables with $\operatorname{Pr}\left((X, Y, Z)=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)\right)=1 / n$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, $H(X Y Z)=\log _{2} n$. Furthermore, the random pair $(X Y)$ takes values in the projection of the $n$ points to the $x y$ plane and similarly for $(Y Z)$ and $(Z X)$. Thus $H(X Y) \leq \log _{2} n_{x y}, H(Y Z) \leq \log _{2} n_{y z}$, and $H(Z X) \leq \log _{2} n_{z x}$. Part (d) now yields

$$
\log _{2}\left[n_{x y} n_{y z} n_{z x}\right] \geq H(X Y)+H(Y Z)+H(Z X) \geq 2 H(X Y Z)=2 \log _{2} n
$$

which implies that $n_{x y} n_{y z} n_{z x} \geq n^{2}$.
The relationship between $H(X Y Z)$ and $H(X Y), H(Y Z)$ and $H(Z X)$ is a special case of Han's inequality, which, for a collection of $n$ random variables relates the sum of the $\binom{n}{k}$ joint entropies of $k$ out of $n$ random variables to the sum of the $\binom{n}{k+1}$ entropies of $k+1$ out of $n$ random variables.

The combinatorial fact about the projections of points in 3D is known as Shearer's lemma.

## Problem 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(X) & =-\sum_{k=1}^{M} P_{X}\left(a_{k}\right) \log P_{X}\left(a_{k}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}(1-\alpha) P_{Y}\left(a_{k}\right) \log \left[(1-\alpha) P_{Y}\left(a_{k}\right)\right]-\alpha \log \alpha \\
& =(1-\alpha) H(Y)-(1-\alpha) \log (1-\alpha)-\alpha \log \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Y$ is a random variable that takes $M-1$ values $H(Y) \leq \log (M-1)$ with equality if and only if $Y$ takes each of its possible values with equal probability.

## Problem 4.

(a) Using the chain rule for mutual information,

$$
I(X, Y ; Z)=I(X ; Z)+I(Y ; Z \mid X) \geq I(X ; Z)
$$

with equality iff $I(Y ; Z \mid X)=0$, that is, when $Y$ and $Z$ are conditionally independent given $X$.
(b) Using the chain rule for conditional entropy,

$$
H(X, Y \mid Z)=H(X \mid Z)+H(Y \mid X, Z) \geq H(X \mid Z)
$$

with equality iff $H(Y \mid X, Z)=0$, that is, when $Y$ is a function of $X$ and/or $Z$.
(c) Using first the chain rule for entropy and then the definition of conditional mutual information,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(X, Y, Z)-H(X, Y) & =H(Z \mid X, Y)=H(Z \mid X)-I(Y ; Z \mid X) \\
& \leq H(Z \mid X)=H(X, Z)-H(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality iff $I(Y ; Z \mid X)=0$, that is, when $Y$ and $Z$ are conditionally independent given $X$.
(d) Using the chain rule for mutual information,

$$
I(X ; Z \mid Y)+I(Z ; Y)=I(X, Y ; Z)=I(Z ; Y \mid X)+I(X ; Z),
$$

and therefore

$$
I(X ; Z \mid Y)=I(Z ; Y \mid X)-I(Z ; Y)+I(X ; Z)
$$

We see that this inequality is actually an equality in all cases.
Problem 5. Let $X^{i}$ denote $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i}$.
(a) By stationarity we have for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$
H\left(X_{n} \mid X^{n-1}\right) \leq H\left(X_{n} \mid X_{n-i+1}, X_{n-i+2}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)=H\left(X_{i} \mid X^{i-1}\right),
$$

which implies that,

$$
\begin{align*}
H\left(X_{n} \mid X^{n-1}\right) & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} H\left(X_{n} \mid X^{n-1}\right)}{n}  \tag{1}\\
& \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} H\left(X_{i} \mid X^{i-1}\right)}{n}  \tag{2}\\
& =\frac{H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) By the chain rule for entropy,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} H\left(X_{i} \mid X^{i-1}\right)}{n}  \tag{4}\\
& =\frac{H\left(X_{n} \mid X^{n-1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H\left(X_{i} \mid X^{i-1}\right)}{n}  \tag{5}\\
& =\frac{H\left(X_{n} \mid X^{n-1}\right)+H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)}{n} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

From stationarity it follows that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$
H\left(X_{n} \mid X^{n-1}\right) \leq H\left(X_{i} \mid X^{i-1}\right)
$$

which further implies, by summing both sides over $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and dividing by $n-1$, that,

$$
\begin{align*}
H\left(X_{n} \mid X^{n-1}\right) & \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H\left(X_{i} \mid X^{i-1}\right)}{n-1}  \tag{7}\\
& =\frac{H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)}{n-1} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (6) and (8) yields,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n} & \leq \frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)}{n-1}+H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)\right]  \tag{9}\\
& =\frac{H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)}{n-1} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Problem 6. By the chain rule for entropy,

$$
\begin{align*}
H\left(X_{0} \mid X_{-1}, \ldots, X_{-n}\right) & =H\left(X_{0}, X_{-1}, \ldots, X_{-n}\right)-H\left(X_{-1}, \ldots, X_{-n}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =H\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)-H\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& =H\left(X_{0} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where (12) follows from stationarity.
Problem 7. $X \ominus Y \ominus(Z, W)$ implies that $I(X ; Z, W \mid Y)=0$. Then,

$$
I(X ; Y)+I(Z ; W)=I(X ; Y)+I(X ; Z, W \mid Y)+I(Z ; W)=I(X ; Y, Z, W)+I(Z ; W)
$$

Notice that $I(X ; Y)+I(X ; Z, W \mid Y)=I(X ; Y, Z, W)$ follows from chain rule. Using the chain rule for a couple of times, we obtain the following steps.

$$
\begin{gather*}
I(X ; Y, Z, W)+I(Z ; W)=I(X ; Z)+I(X ; Y, W \mid Z)+I(Z ; W)  \tag{14}\\
=I(X ; Z)+I(X ; Y \mid W, Z)+I(X ; W \mid Z)+I(Z ; W)  \tag{15}\\
=I(X ; Z)+I(X ; Y \mid W, Z)+I(X, Z ; W)  \tag{16}\\
\geq I(X ; Z)+I(X ; W)  \tag{17}\\
\text { as } I(X, Z ; W) \geq I(X ; W)
\end{gather*}
$$

