

# ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

## Handout 16

Solutions to Midterm exam

Information Theory and Coding

Oct. 30, 2019

---

PROBLEM 1. (20 points)

In a cryptosystem, a secret key  $K$  known to both Alice and Bob allows for secure communication. Using the key  $K$ , Alice converts her plain text  $U$  to a ciphertext  $V$ . Using the same key  $K$ , Bob converts the ciphertext  $V$  back into  $U$ . We model  $U$ ,  $V$  and  $K$  as random variables. Secure communication requires  $U$  and  $V$  to be independent.

- (a) (2 pts) What are the values of  $H(U|VK)$  and  $I(U;V)$ ?

*From the problem statement we know that Bob can determine  $U$  given  $V$  and  $K$ . This implies that  $H(U|VK) = 0$ .*

*From the problem statement we know that the secret key  $K$  allows secure communication, whereas secure communication is defined as  $U$  and  $V$  to be independent. This implies that  $I(U;V) = 0$ .*

- (b) (4 pts) Determine the relation, (i.e.,  $<$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $=$ ,  $>$ , or  $\geq$ ), between  $H(U)$  and  $I(U;K|V)$ . Provide a proof for this relation.

*Consider the following expansion of  $I(U;K|V)$*

$$\begin{aligned} I(U;K|V) &= H(U|V) - H(U|KV) \\ &= H(U) \end{aligned}$$

*where we used the fact that  $U$  and  $V$  are independent ( $H(U|V) = H(U)$ ) and the result of (a) that  $H(U|VK) = 0$ .*

- (c) (4 pts) Determine the relation, (i.e.,  $<$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $=$ ,  $>$ , or  $\geq$ ), between  $H(K)$  and  $I(U;K|V)$ . Provide a proof for this relation.

*Observe the following inequalities:*

$$\begin{aligned} I(U;K|V) &= H(K|V) - H(K|UV) \\ &\leq H(K|V) \\ &\leq H(K) \end{aligned}$$

*where the first inequality is due to  $H(K|UV) \geq 0$  and the second inequality is due to the fact that conditioning reduces entropy.*

- (d) (4 pts) Show that  $H(K) \geq H(U)$ . Furthermore, show that if the equality holds, then (i)  $K$  and  $V$  are independent and (ii)  $H(K|UV) = 0$ .

*From (b) and (c) we have  $H(K) \geq I(U;K|V) = H(U)$ . The equality holds if  $H(K) = I(U;K|V)$ . From the chain of inequalities in part (c), we can see that this implies that  $H(K|V) = H(K)$  (such that  $K$  is independent of  $V$ ) and  $H(K|UV) = 0$ .*

Suppose further that (i)  $K$  is independent of  $U$ , (ii) the cryptosystem is implemented as  $V = f(U, K)$  and  $U = g(V, K)$ , and (iii) the system is supposed to be secure regardless of the distribution of  $U$  on a given alphabet  $\mathcal{U}$ .

(e) (2 pts) Show that  $H(K) \geq \log |\mathcal{U}|$ .

*From (d) we have  $H(K) \geq H(U)$ , and from the problem statement, this property must hold for any distribution of  $U$ . Take  $U$  to be distributed uniformly on  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $H(U) = \log |\mathcal{U}|$ . This gives us  $H(K) \geq H(U) = \log |\mathcal{U}|$ .*

(f) (4 pts) With  $\mathcal{U} = \{0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{U}| - 1\}$ , show that if we take  $K$  to be uniform on  $\mathcal{U}$ , the secrecy requirement is satisfied by  $f(u, k) = u + k \pmod{|\mathcal{U}|}$ .

*To fulfill the secrecy requirement, we need to show that  $U$  and  $V$  are independent. One way to do this is by showing that  $P(V = v | U = u) = P(V = v)$  for all  $v$  and  $u$ . As we have  $V = K + U \pmod{|\mathcal{U}|}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} P(V = v | U = u) &= P(K = u - v \pmod{|\mathcal{U}|} | U = u) \\ &= P(K = u - v \pmod{|\mathcal{U}|}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{U}|} \end{aligned}$$

*where the second line is due to  $U$  and  $K$  are independent. From this equality, we can see that for any  $v$ ,  $P(V = v | U = u)$  does not depend on  $u$ . Therefore we can assert that  $P(V = v | U = u) = P(V = v)$  for all  $u$  and  $v$ .*

PROBLEM 2. (18 points)

Suppose  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. random variables with finite alphabet and let  $p$  denote the distribution of each  $U_i$ . Suppose we do not know  $p$ , but we know that it is included in the set of  $K$  possible distributions, i.e.,  $p \in \mathcal{P} = \{p_k : k = 1, \dots, K\}$ .

For any distribution  $q$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ , define  $r(q) = \max_k D(p_k||q)$ .

- (a) (4 pts) Show that for any  $q$  there exists a prefix-free code  $C : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that

$$E [\text{length}(C(U))] - H(U) \leq r(q) + 1$$

whenever the distribution of random variable  $U$  is in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

For each  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , we assign a code of length  $l(u) = \lceil -\log_2 q(u) \rceil$ . We can see that

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} 2^{-\lceil -\log_2 q(u) \rceil} \leq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} 2^{\log_2 q(u)} = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} q(u) = 1.$$

and due to Kraft's inequality, there exists a prefix-free code with such code lengths.

Now, suppose each  $U_i$  has distribution  $p_k$  for some  $k \in [K]$ , then we have the following relations between the expected length of the code designed as above and the entropy of  $U_i$ s.

$$\begin{aligned} E [\text{length}(C(U))] - H(U) &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) l(u) - \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} -p_k(u) \log_2 p_k(u) \\ &\leq -\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 q(u) + 1 + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 p_k(u) \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 \frac{p_k(u)}{q(u)} + 1 \\ &= D(p_k||q) + 1 \\ &\leq \max_k D(p_k||q) + 1 \\ &= r(q) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

where the second line is due to  $\lceil x \rceil \leq x + 1$ , and the fourth line is due to the definition of  $D(p_k||q)$ . Since the last inequality obtained does not depend on  $k$ , it is valid no matter what distribution  $U_i$ s have.

- (b) (4 pts) Show that  $\min_q r(q) \leq \log K$ . [Hint: try  $q(u) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_k p_k(u)$ .]

We use the  $q$  given in the hint to show the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{q'} \max_k D(p_k||q') &\leq \max_k D(p_k||q) \\ &= \max_k \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 \frac{p_k(u)}{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{u' \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u')} \\ &= \max_k \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 \frac{p_k(u)}{\sum_{u' \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u')} + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 K \\ &\leq \max_k \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 K \\ &= \log_2 K \end{aligned}$$

where the third line is due to the fact that  $p_k(u) \leq \sum_{u' \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u')$  and  $\log_2(x) \leq 0$  for all  $0 < x \leq 1$ .

- (c) (4 pts) Show that for fixed  $K$  there exists a sequence of prefix-free codes  $C_n : \mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E [\text{length}(C_n(U^n))] = H(U)$$

whenever  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. and have a distribution in  $\mathcal{P}$ . [Hint: use (b).]

Define  $p_{k,n}(U^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_k(U_i)$ . We use the results of (a) on the random variables  $U^n$  such that we have for every  $n$  there exists a prefix-free code  $C_n$  such that

$$E [\text{length}(C_n(U^n))] - H(U^n) \leq \min_q \max_k D(p_{k,n} || q) + 1.$$

Furthermore, from the result of (b) and the fact that  $U_i$ 's are i.i.d. we have

$$E [\text{length}(C_n(U^n))] - nH(U) \leq \log_2 K + 1.$$

Dividing both sides by  $n$  gives us

$$\frac{1}{n} E [\text{length}(C_n(U^n))] - H(U) \leq \frac{\log_2 K + 1}{n}. \quad (1)$$

We also know from the lectures that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{n} E [\text{length}(C_n(U^n))] - H(U). \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2), and taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we finally obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E [\text{length}(C_n(U^n))] - H(U) = 0.$$

- (d) (2 pts) Let  $Z = \sum_u \max_k p_k(u)$ . Show that  $\min_q r(q) \leq \log Z$ . [Hint: try choosing  $q(u)$  proportional to  $\max_k p_k(u)$ .]

We use the same argument as in (b) by just replacing  $q$  with the new hint ( $q(u) = \max_k p_k(u)/Z$ ,  $Z = \max_k p_k(u)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{q'} \max_k D(p_k || q') &\leq \max_k D(p_k || q) \\ &= \max_k \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 \frac{p_k(u)}{\max_j p_j(u)} + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 Z \\ &\leq \max_k \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) \log_2 Z \\ &= \log_2 Z \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is due to the fact that for all  $u$ ,  $p_k(u) \leq \max_j p_j(u)$ .

- (e) (4 pts) Show that  $Z \leq \min\{K, |\mathcal{U}|\}$ .

We have two upper bounds on  $Z$ , (i)

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \max_k p_k(u) \leq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} 1 = |\mathcal{U}|$$

and, (ii)

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \max_k p_k(u) \leq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_k p_k(u) = \sum_k \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_k(u) = \sum_k 1 = K.$$

Combining these two upper bounds give us

$$Z \leq \min\{K, |\mathcal{U}|\}.$$

PROBLEM 3. (12 points)

Suppose  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K$  are probability distributions on the finite alphabet  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $H_1, \dots, H_K$  be the entropies of these distributions, and let  $H = \max_k H_k$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each  $n \geq 1$  consider the set

$$T(n, \epsilon) = \bigcup_k T(n, p_k, \epsilon)$$

where  $T(n, p_k, \epsilon)$  is the set of  $\epsilon$ -typical sequences of length  $n$  with respect to the distribution  $p_k$ , i.e.,  $T(n, p_k, \epsilon) = \{u^n \in \mathcal{U}^n : \forall u' \in \mathcal{U} \left| \frac{1}{n} N_{u'}(u^n) - p_k(u') \right| < \epsilon p_k(u')\}$  where  $N_{u'}(u^n)$  is the number of occurrences of  $u'$  in sequence  $u^n$ .

Suppose that  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. with distribution  $p$  where  $p$  is one of  $p_1, \dots, p_K$ , i.e.,  $p \in \mathcal{P} = \{p_k : k = 1, \dots, K\}$ .

- (a) (4 pts) Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, \epsilon)) = 1$ . (In particular for any  $\delta > 0$ , for  $n$  large enough  $\Pr(U^n \in T(n, \epsilon)) > 1 - \delta$ .)

We have for all  $k, n$  and  $\epsilon$ ,  $P((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, p_k, \epsilon)) \leq P((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, \epsilon))$  as  $T(n, \epsilon) \supseteq T(n, p_k, \epsilon)$ . This implies that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , with  $k$  and  $p$  such that  $p_k = p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, p_k, \epsilon)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, \epsilon)) \\ &1 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, \epsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

where the second line is due to the property of typical sets.

As we also have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr((U_1, \dots, U_n) \in T(n, \epsilon)) \leq 1$ , with these inequalities we prove the statement.

- (b) (4 pts) Show that for large enough  $n$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \log |T(n, \epsilon)| < (1 + \epsilon)H + \epsilon$ .

For typical sets, we know that  $|T(n, p_k, \epsilon)| \leq 2^{(1+\epsilon)H_k n} \leq 2^{(1+\epsilon)H n}$ . Hence, we obtain the following upper bound.

$$|T(n, \epsilon)| = \left| \bigcup_k T(n, p_k, \epsilon) \right| \leq \sum_k |T(n, p_k, \epsilon)| \leq K 2^{(1+\epsilon)H n}.$$

By taking logarithm and dividing by  $n$  the above expression, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \log |T(n, \epsilon)| \leq (1 + \epsilon)H + \frac{\log K}{n}.$$

This implies that for any  $n \geq \log K / \epsilon$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \log |T(n, \epsilon)| \leq (1 + \epsilon)H + \epsilon.$$

- (c) (4 pts) Fix  $R > H$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Show that for  $n$  large enough there is a prefix-free code  $c : \mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that

$$\Pr(\text{length}(c(U^n)) < nR) > 1 - \delta.$$

Let us use the construction of prefix-free code for typical set given in the lectures. First, take an injective function  $f_{\epsilon, n} : T(n, \epsilon) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\lceil n(1+\epsilon)H + n\epsilon \rceil}$ , this function exists

for large enough  $n$  due to our result in (b). Now take another injective function  $g_n : \mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\lceil n \log |\mathcal{U}| \rceil}$ . We define  $c_{\epsilon, n}(x)$  as  $0 \parallel f_{\epsilon, n}(x)$  if  $x \in T(n, \epsilon)$  and  $1 \parallel g_n$  otherwise, where  $\parallel$  is the concatenation operator.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left(U^n \in T(n, \epsilon)\right) &= \Pr\left(\text{length}(c_{\epsilon, n}(U^n)) = \lceil n(1 + \epsilon)H + n\epsilon \rceil + 1\right) \\ &\leq \Pr\left(\text{length}(c_{\epsilon, n}(U^n)) \leq n(1 + \epsilon)H + n\epsilon + 2\right). \end{aligned}$$

From (a) we know that there exists an  $n_a(\epsilon, \delta)$  such that  $1 - \delta < \Pr\left(U^n \in T(n, \epsilon)\right)$  for all  $n \geq n_a(\epsilon, \delta)$ . From (b) we require  $n \geq \log K/\epsilon = n_b(K, \epsilon)$ . To get the form required in the problem statement, we need that :

$$n((1 + \epsilon)H + \epsilon + 2/n) < nR$$

Since  $1/n \leq \epsilon$  for  $n \geq n_b(K, \epsilon)$ , the following inequality will also work

$$n((1 + \epsilon)H + 3\epsilon) < nR.$$

The above inequality satisfied by choosing an appropriate  $\epsilon$  (i.e.,  $0 \leq \epsilon < \frac{R-H}{H+3}$ ).

Therefore, for a code  $c_{\epsilon, n}$  constructed as above and  $\epsilon$  chosen small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left(\text{length}(c_{\epsilon^*, n}(U^n)) < nR\right) &\geq \Pr\left(\text{length}(c_{\epsilon, n}(U^n)) \leq n(1 + \epsilon)H + n\epsilon + 2\right) \\ &\geq \Pr\left(U^n \in T(n, \epsilon)\right) \\ &> 1 - \delta \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n > \max\{n_a(\epsilon, \delta), n_b(K, \epsilon)\}$ .

PROBLEM 4. (10 points)

Suppose  $C_p$  is a prefix-free binary code for non-negative integers  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Suppose  $C_i$  is an injective code for an alphabet  $\mathcal{U}$ .

- (a) (4 pts) Show that  $C$  defined by  $C(u) = C_p(l(u))C_i(u)$ , with  $l(u) = \text{length}(C_i(u))$  is a prefix-free code for  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*We need to show that for any  $u$  there is no  $u'$  such that  $C(u')$  is a prefix of  $C(u)$ . We can divide it into two cases;*

- The set of  $u'$  such that  $l(u) = l(u')$ . In this case  $\text{length}(C(u)) = \text{length}(C(u'))$ , but  $C(u) \neq C(u')$  due to the assumption that  $C_i$  is injective. This implies no such  $u'$  exists.*
- The set of  $u'$  such that  $l(u) \neq l(u')$ . As we assume that  $C_p$  is prefix-free, it implies that  $C(u')$  must always have a prefix that is not a prefix of  $C(u)$ . Therefore no such  $u'$  exists.*

Observe that (i) the code  $C_a$  with  $C_a(j) = 0^j1$ , (i.e.,  $C_a(0) = 1$ ,  $C_a(1) = 01$ ,  $C_a(2) = 001, \dots$ ) is prefix-free with  $\text{length}(C_a(j)) = j + 1$ , and (ii) the code  $C_b$  for non-negative integers with

$$C_b(0) = \lambda, \quad C_b(j) = \text{bin}(j - 1), \quad j > 0$$

where  $\text{bin}(j)$  denotes the binary expansion of the integer  $j$ , (i.e.,  $\text{bin}(0) = 0$ ,  $\text{bin}(1) = 1$ ,  $\text{bin}(2) = 10$ ,  $\text{bin}(3) = 11$ , ...) is injective with  $\text{length}(C_b(j)) = \lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor$ .

- (b) (2 pts) Show that there exists a prefix-free code  $C'$  for non-negative integers with

$$\text{length}(C'(j)) = 2\lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor + 1, \quad j \geq 0.$$

*We take  $C_p = C_a$  and  $C_i = C_b$ . Therefore, by result on (a), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(C'(j)) &= l_b(j) + l_a(l_b(j)) \\ &= \lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor + \lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor + 1 \end{aligned}$$

*where  $l_b(j) = \text{length}(C_b(j))$  and  $l_a(j) = \text{length}(C_a(j))$ .*

- (c) (4 pts) Consider a sequence of functions

$$\begin{aligned} l_1(j) &= 2\lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor + 1 \\ l_n(j) &= \lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor + l_{n-1}(\lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor), \quad n > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Show that for each  $n > 0$  there exists a prefix-free code for non-negative integers  $C_n$  such that

$$\text{length}(C_n(j)) = l_n(j).$$

[Hint: use induction.]

*We define the code recursively as*

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(j) &= C_a(j)C_b(j) \\ C_n(j) &= C_{n-1}(j)C_b(j), \quad n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

*The code  $C_1$  is prefix-free and satisfies the length requirement due to (b). The code  $C_n$  is prefix-free due to (a) in which we take  $C_{n-1}$  as the prefix-free code and  $C_b$  as the injective code. It also satisfies the length requirement as*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(C_n(j)) &= l_a(j) + l_{n-1}(l_a(j)) \\ &= \lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor + l_{n-1}(\lfloor \log_2(j + 1) \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$