The deadline is Tuesday, May 28, 2019. Please hand in your homework during the lecture (May 27) or the exercise session (May 28). No scan of handwritten homework is accepted.

**Note:** The tensor product is denoted by  $\otimes$ . In other words, for vectors  $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}$  we have that  $\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}$  is the square array  $a^{\alpha}b^{\beta}$  where the superscript denotes the components, and  $\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b} \otimes c$  is the cubic array  $a^{\alpha}b^{\beta}c^{\gamma}$ . We often denote components by superscripts because we need the lower index to label vectors themselves.

### Problem 1: Moments of GMM

Consider the mixture of Gaussians model:

$$p(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\underline{x}-\underline{a}_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

where  $\underline{x}, \underline{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$  are column vectors and the weights  $w_i \in (0, 1]$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i = 1$ . Here we look at the special case where all the covariance matrices are equal to  $\sigma^2 I_{D \times D}$ .

1) For  $j \in [D]$ ,  $\underline{e}_j$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  canonical basis vector of  $\mathbb{R}^D$ . Prove the following identities for the mean vector, the second moment matrix and the third moment tensor:

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{x}] = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i \, \underline{a}_i \quad ;$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{x} \, \underline{x}^T] = \sigma^2 I_{D \times D} + \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i \, \underline{a}_i \underline{a}_i^T \quad ;$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{x} \otimes \underline{x} \otimes \underline{x}] = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i \, \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{a}_i + \sigma^2 \sum_{j=1}^{D} \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i (\underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_j + \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{a}_i + \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j) \, .$$

2) Let  $R \neq K \times K$  orthogonal (rotation) matrix. Define the matrix  $\widetilde{R}$  whose entries are  $\widetilde{R}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_i}} R_{ij} \sqrt{w_j}$ , as well as the transformed vectors

$$\underline{a}_i' = \sum_{j=1}^K \widetilde{R}_{ij} \underline{a}_j$$

Show that the mixture of Gaussians

$$p(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\underline{x} - \underline{a}'_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

has the same second moment matrix as the previous one.

#### Problem 2: Examples of tensors and their rank

We recall that the rank of a tensor is the minimum possible number of terms in a decomposition of a tensor as a sum of rank-one tensors. Let  $e_0^T = (1,0)$  and  $e_1^T = (0,1)$ . Consider the following second-order tensors (also called mode-2 or 2-way tensors):

$$B = e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1$$
  

$$P = e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_0 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_0$$
  

$$E = e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_0 \otimes e_1$$

as well as the third-order tensors (mode-3 or 3-way):

$$G = e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1$$
$$W = e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_1 + e_0 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 .$$

- 1) Draw the two and three-dimensional multiarrays for all these tensors. Give the matricizations of G and W along the three modes, i.e., give the matrices  $G_{(n)}$  and  $W_{(n)}$  for the three modes n = 1, 2, 3.<sup>1</sup>
- 2) Determine the rank of each tensor (and justify your result).
- **3**) Let *O* be an orthogonal  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Show that  $B = (Oe_0) \otimes (Oe_0) + (Oe_1) \otimes (Oe_1)$ . Does Jennrich's theorem allow to conclude that a similar result is possible or is not possible for *G*? And what about *W*?
- 4) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and

$$D_{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (e_0 + \epsilon e_1) \otimes (e_0 + \epsilon e_1) \otimes (e_0 + \epsilon e_1) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0$$

Check that  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} D_{\epsilon} = W$ . In other words, the rank-3 tensor W can be obtained as a limit of a sum of two rank-one tensors: W is on the "boundary" of the space of rank-2 tensors.

#### Problem 3: Frobenius norm minimizations: matrix versus tensors.

The Frobenius norm  $\|\cdot\|_F$  of a tensor is defined as the Euclidean norm of the multi-array:

$$||T||_F^2 = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} |T^{\alpha\beta\gamma}|^2$$

We recall the following important theorem for matrices.

**Theorem 1** (Eckart-Young-Mirsky theorem). Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  be a rank-R matrix whose singular value decomposition is given by  $U\Sigma V^*$  where  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  are both unitary matrices and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$  is a diagonal matrix with real nonnegative diagonal entries. Without loss of generality we assume that the singular values are arranged in decreasing order, i.e.,  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{\min\{M,N\}}$  (where  $\sigma_i = \Sigma_{ii}$ ). Then, the best rank-k ( $k \leq R$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See section 3.2 in Review of Rabanser, Shchur, Gunnemann on the course webpage.

approximation of A is given by the truncated SVD  $\hat{A} = U \widetilde{\Sigma} V^*$  with  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{ii} = \sigma_i$  if  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{ii} = 0$  otherwise. More precisely:

$$||A - \hat{A}||_F = \min_{S: \operatorname{rank}(S) \le k} ||A - S||_F.$$

1) Do you think the analogous problem for tensors is well posed? In other words, given a tensor T of order  $p \ge 3$  and rank R, can we always find a order-p tensor  $\widehat{T}$  whose rank is strictly smaller than R and that achieves the minimum of  $||T - S||_F$  over all the order-p tensors S of rank k < R?

2) Now we wish to come back to the interesting phenomenon observed in question 4) of Problem 2 where an order-3 rank-3 tensor could be obtained as the limit of a sequence of rank-2 tensors. Use the Eckart-Young theorem to show that a rank R + 1 matrix cannot be obtained as a limit of a sum of R rank-one matrices. Can we obtain a rank-(R - 1) matrix as the limit of a sequence of rank-R matrices? And what about tensors?

**3)** Independent question on the Frobenius norm. Recall that the multilinear transformation of a tensor is the new tensor  $T(R_1, R_2, R_3)$  with components

$$T(R_1, R_2, R_3)^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \sum_{\delta, \epsilon, \zeta} R_1^{\alpha\delta} R_2^{\beta\epsilon} R_3^{\gamma\zeta} T^{\delta\epsilon\zeta} .$$

Check that if  $R_1, R_2, R_3$  are rotation (orthogonal) matrices then the Frobenius norm is invariant, i.e.,  $||T||_F = ||T(R_1, R_2, R_3)||_F$ . You can limit your proof to real-valued tensors if you wish.

# Problem 4: Kronecker and Khatri-Rao products

The Kronecker product  $\otimes_{Kro}$  of two vectors  $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1}$  and  $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_2}$  is a vectorization of the tensor (or outer) product. This amounts to take the  $I_1 \times I_2$  array  $a^{\alpha}b^{\beta} = (\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b})^{\alpha\beta}$  and view it as an  $I_1I_2$  vector. More precisely we define the Kronecker product as the  $I_1I_2$  column vector:

$$\underline{a} \otimes_{Kro} \underline{b} = (a^1 \underline{b}^T, \cdots, a^{I_1} \underline{b}^T)^T.$$

Let  $A = [\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_R]$  and  $B = [\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_R]$  matrices of dimensions  $I_1 \times R$  and  $I_2 \times R$ . We define the *Khatri-Rao* product as the new  $I_1 I_2 \times R$  matrix

$$A \odot_{KhR} B = [\underline{a}_1 \otimes_{Kro} \underline{b}_1, \cdots, \underline{a}_R \otimes_{Kro} \underline{b}_R].$$

- 1) Assume that both A and B are full column rank. Prove that the Khatri-Rao product  $A \odot_{KhR} B$  is also full column rank.
- 2) Explain in detail in which step of Jennrich's algorithm<sup>2</sup> this fact is used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See section 4.1.1 in Review of Rabanser, Shchur, Gunnemann on the course webpage.

## Problem 5: Jennrich's type algorithm for order 4 tensors

Consider an order four tensor

$$T = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \underline{a}_r \otimes \underline{b}_r \otimes \underline{c}_r \otimes \underline{d}_r$$

where  $A = [\underline{a}_1, \cdots, \underline{a}_R] \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times R}$ ,  $B = [\underline{b}_1, \cdots, \underline{b}_R] \in \mathbb{R}^{I_2 \times R}$ ,  $C = [\underline{c}_1, \cdots, \underline{c}_R] \in \mathbb{R}^{I_3 \times R}$  and  $D = [\underline{d}_1, \cdots, \underline{d}_R] \in \mathbb{R}^{I_4 \times R}$  are full column rank.

1) Check that you can apply Jennrich's algorithm to a "flattened" version of T, namely the order three tensor

$$\widetilde{T} = \sum_{r=1}^{K} \underline{a}_r \otimes \underline{b}_r \otimes (\underline{c}_r \otimes_{Kro} \underline{d}_r) .$$

where Kro is the Kronecker product defined in the previous question.

2) Deduce that the rank R and A, B, C, D can be uniquely determined from the fourdimensional array of numbers  $T^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$  (up to permutations and scalings).

### Problem 6: The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse

Consider a  $M \times N$  matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ . Its transpose and complex conjugate (also called Hermitian conjugate) is the  $N \times M$  matrix  $\bar{A}^T$  that we denote  $A^*$ . Let  $A^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$  satisfy the following four conditions:

$$AA^{\dagger}A = A, \quad A^{\dagger}AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}, \quad (AA^{\dagger})^* = AA^{\dagger}, \quad (A^{\dagger}A)^* = A^{\dagger}A.$$

A theorem of Moore and Penrose states that such a matrix always exists and is unique. This matrix is called the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse. Answer the following questions:

1) Let  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  be a diagonal matrix, that is,  $\forall i \neq j : \Sigma_{ij} = 0$  (but you don't necessarily have M = N). Show that  $\Sigma^{\dagger}$  is the  $N \times M$  diagonal matrix with diagonal entries

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \min\{M, N\}\} : (\Sigma^{\dagger})_{ii} = \begin{cases} 1/\Sigma_{ii} & \text{if } \Sigma_{ii} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- 2) Let  $A = U\Sigma V^*$  be the singular value decomposition (SVD) of A, that is, both  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  are unitary matrices and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$  is a diagonal matrix with real nonnegative diagonal entries (the singular values). Give for  $A^{\dagger}$  an expression that only involves U, V (or their inverse  $U^*, V^*$ ) and  $\Sigma^{\dagger}$ .
- **3**) Show that if A has full column rank then  $A^{\dagger} = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*$  and  $A^{\dagger}A = I_{N \times N}$ .
- 4) Show that if A has full row rank then  $A^{\dagger} = A^* (AA^*)^{-1}$  and  $AA^{\dagger} = I_{M \times M}$ .
- 5) Show that if A is a square matrix with full rank then  $A^{\dagger} = A^{-1}$  is the usual inverse.
- 6) Let A have full column rank and B have full row rank. Check that  $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$ .