



OPPORTUNISTIC EXPLORATION

Studio GRILLOVASIU
EPFL Autumn Semester 26

TEAM:
Romina Grillo
Liviu VasIU
Valentin Hostettler

MANIFESTO

Architecture can no longer limit itself to the design of isolated elements.

The challenges shaping our world — climate instability, resource scarcity, demographic transitions, ecological transformations, technological acceleration and social inequality — operate at scales that exceed the building. They unfold across territories, infrastructures, networks and systems.

To engage with these realities, architects must learn to read beyond the site.

This studio approaches context as a field of information: a dynamic constellation of environmental, social, economic, cultural and political forces. A living system continuously producing data, relationships, conflicts and opportunities.

Data is not neutral. It is not simply collected or displayed.

Data is interpreted.

Data becomes a design material.

But a material is not an outcome. Mapping does not end in a diagram; it ends in a building, a landscape, a threshold, a space. The purpose of reading complexity is not to represent it more accurately, it is to design with it. To let a flow of capital suggest a form. To let a migration pattern generate a typology. To let an ecological cycle become a spatial sequence, an atmosphere, a material behaviour, a section that breathes.

We are not interested in data as illustration, nor in analysis as an end in itself. We are interested in data as provocation, as a way of destabilizing conventional form, of opening architecture to unexpected constellations, unlikely programs, subversive spatial possibilities that a purely formal or purely functional process would never produce.

The rigor is in the reading. The risk is in the design.

We believe that mapping is not documentation but investigation. Not a description of reality but a way of uncovering hidden conditions, latent potentials and emerging futures. Through observation, analysis and representation, we seek to transform complexity into knowledge and knowledge into action.

We are interested in territories as active systems rather than passive backgrounds.

We believe architecture should act within these systems.

As catalyst.

As mediator.

As a supportive element.

As environmental device.

As social platform.

As strategic intervention. As opportunistic infrastructure.

Architecture is understood not as an autonomous object but as an agent capable of influencing larger territorial dynamics.

This studio values curiosity over certainty.

Experimentation over repetition.

Questions over assumptions.

Students are encouraged to explore unfamiliar datasets, challenge conventional forms of representation and construct new ways of seeing.

Mistakes mean action. Every attempt moves us forward; inaction does not.

We move constantly between scales: from the regional to the local, from the landscape to the building, from data points to spatial experiences.

But movement between scales is not neutral either, it is a design trajectory, not a research method. Every zoom, every shift from territory to site, from system to structure, is already a series of decisions: what to amplify, what to discard, what to make visible in built form. The territorial reading does not conclude the project. It begins it.

We understand that every architectural decision participates in broader environmental and societal systems, and, in turn, every system we study must eventually resolve into an architectural decision: a wall, a threshold, a section, a material, a space someone will inhabit. Analysis is the instrument. Architecture is the instrument's purpose.

The architect's role is no longer simply to shape form.

It is to reveal relationships.

To identify opportunities.

To anticipate change.

To imagine alternatives.

To transform information into meaningful action.

Our ambition is to cultivate a generation of architects capable of reading complexity without being paralyzed by it, and capable of transforming knowledge into spatial intelligence.

Because the future of architecture lies not only in what we build, but in how we understand the world before we build it.

CONTENT/OBJECTIVES

We will start with pieces of land, fragments of a territory. A piece of territory, already organized into a grid. Ten zones. Ten fragments of a territory that pretends to be neutral, orderly, finished. It is not. Nothing is finished. Everything is data waiting to be read.

Choose one fragment. Not because it is interesting, everything is interesting, this is the first illusion to abandon, because you must start somewhere, and starting is arbitrary.

Trust this arbitrariness. Intuition is not the opposite of method's method before it has been asked to justify itself. Instinct gets you into the territory faster than analysis ever will. Analysis comes after. Let it.

Find the agent. Every territory has one: a force pretending to be background. Traffic. Water. Bureaucracy. Boredom. You will not find it by looking for it directly will find it the way you found your fragment: by sensing where the pressure is.

Scan it. Not survey : scan. Surveying implies you already know what you are looking for. Scanning admits you don't, yet. Move across the territory the way a machine reads a surface: quickly, indiscriminately, before judgment sets in. Interrogate it. Extract it.

Dissect it anyway. Expose it. This is not documentation. Documentation is what you do when you have already given up.

Here is the paradox the studio insists on: data is objective, and yet the moment you touch it, it becomes opinion. There is no way around this. The landscape will be manipulated. Not "enhanced," not "activated," manipulated until it becomes an ecosystem that did not exist before

you arrived and will not survive after certain decisions are made. This is not failure. This is architecture's oldest function, disguised for a century as neutrality.

Use the digital tool not because it is fashionable, but because it is the only instrument honest enough to admit it is reshaping reality rather than representing it.

Your intervention, a building. An infrastructure. Inserted, not composed, not integrated, inserted into a context you have just finished inventing. The architecture is not a response to the site. The site, by now, is your response.

Find the opportunity in the mess you created. Exploit it. "Opportunistic" is not an insult here it is the only honest description of what architecture has always done. Blur the boundary between context and building until neither can claim priority over the other. Let them need each other. Let neither survive alone.

This is not harmony in the decorative sense. It is codependency, dressed as design

METHOD

1.Find your spot and your intuition.

2.Create your own ecosystem within a real, existing territory: Switzerland.

3.Find your digital tool.

4.Support your world through supportive infrastructure.

5.Find an opportunity through opportunistic intervention.

6.Negotiate with your neighbours, your intervention may extend beyond your grid portion, if agreed with adjacent students.

7.Use architecture to complete and support your ecosystem architecture is the solution and the key.

EVALUATION

Part I: 30% Part II: 70%

Evaluation criteria:

- Intuition, finding, discovering - Idea
- Translation of the idea
- Capacity for elaboration
- Capacity for formulating thesis and conclusions - Architectural design