

Discussion of  
**“Corporate Bond Liquidity Before and After  
the Onset of the Subprime Crisis”**

by J. Dick-Nielsen, P. Feldhütter, D. Lando

Discussant: **Loriano Mancini**  
Swiss Finance Institute at EPFL

Swissquote Conference on Interest Rate and Credit Risk  
Lausanne, 29th October 2010

# Goal of the paper

- ▶ Impact of illiquidity on corporate bond spreads:

$$\text{spread}_{it} = \alpha + \gamma \text{illiquidity}_{it} + \theta \text{credit-risk}_{it} + \dots + \epsilon_{it}$$

- ▶ Economically highly relevant issue
- ▶ Challenging task:
  - ▶ illiquidity and credit risk not observed (need proxies)
  - ▶ illiquidity difficult to quantify (many dimensions)
  - ▶ illiquidity small fraction of spreads  
(e.g. 3% for AAA bonds, pre-subprime)
- ▶ Inference method: pooled linear regression
- ▶ Methodology: PCA on eight, different, liquidity measures  $\Rightarrow$   
New liquidity measure  
 $= 1\text{st PC} \approx \text{Amihud} + \text{URC} + \text{std}(\text{Amihud}) + \text{std}(\text{URC})$

# Main empirical findings

- ▶ During subprime crisis:
  - ▶ Bid-ask spreads  $\uparrow$  strongly
  - ▶ Market depth  $\downarrow$
  - ▶ Liquidity risk  $\uparrow$
  - ▶ Number of trades  $\uparrow$ , trade size  $\downarrow$  (to reduce price impact)
- ▶ Impact of illiquidity on AAA bond spreads small (flight-to-quality)
- ▶ Liquidity slowly returns in second quarter of 2009
- ▶ Fraction of bond spreads due to illiquidity is generally small  
E.g. pre-subprime: 3% AAA, 8% BBB;  
during subprime: 7% AAA, 29% BBB
- ▶  $\downarrow$  Liquidity of bonds underwritten by Bear Stearns and Lehman Brothers during their financial distress / default (liquidity spiral)
- ▶ Not use DATASTREAM, but TRACE for zero trading days, etc.

# Yield spread

- ▶ On last day  $t$  in the quarter and for every bond:

$$\text{spread}_{it} = \text{daily-average yield}_{it} - \text{swap rate}_t$$

- ▶ daily-average yield $_{it}$  =  
average yield for all trades on last day  $t$
- ▶ In total  $> 8$  million trades from 10/2004 to 6/2009
  - ▶ Is a substantial amount of data discarded?
  - ▶ Analysis at higher frequency? (some analysis monthly)
- ▶ Reason: quarter end yield spreads allow for lagged in time liquidity measure  $\Rightarrow$  avoid endogeneity

# Regression methodology

- ▶ For each rating, before and during subprime, pooled linear regression:

$$\text{spread}_{it} = \alpha + \gamma \text{illiquidity}_{it} + \theta \text{credit-risk}_{it} + \dots + \epsilon_{it}$$

- ▶ Regression for each liquidity measure:  
 $R^2$ ? Residual diagnostics?
- ▶ Use all liquidity measures (horse race)? Multicollinearity?
- ▶ Another viewpoint: Partitioned regression
  - ▶ Regress  $\text{spread}_{it}$  on  $\text{credit-risk}_{it}$ : residuals  $\text{spread}_{it}^*$
  - ▶ Regress  $\text{illiquidity}_{it}$  on  $\text{credit-risk}_{it}$ : residuals  $\text{illiquidity}_{it}^*$
  - ▶ Then, partial correlations between  $\text{spread}_{it}^*$  and  $\text{illiquidity}_{it}^*$ , etc.
- ▶ Crucial issue: controlling for credit risk

# Controlling for credit risk

- ▶ Credit risk controls (directly available from Bloomberg, etc.):
  - ▶ (operating income)/sales
  - ▶ (long-term debt)/assets
  - ▶ leverage
  - ▶ equity volatility
  - ▶ pretax interest coverage dummies
  - ▶ level and slope of swap curve
  - ▶ dispersion in earnings forecasts ( $\approx$  firm's true credit quality)
- ▶ Distance-to-default  
( $\approx$  asset volatility-adjusted measure of leverage)  
not included
- ▶ Robustness check: rating-wise “paired” regressions  
(reduced sample)

# Cross sectional analysis

- ▶ Analysis focuses relatively more on *time series patterns* of liquidity, etc.
  - ▶ Example: Liquidity of bonds issued by financial and industrial firms
  - ▶ Finding: **average** liquidities similar (except in worst months during crisis)
- ▶ *Cross sectional differences?* Dispersion, higher order moments of liquidities, etc.
- ▶ Same remark for time series average number of trades and average size, etc.

# Liquidity risk premium

- ▶ Usual approach:
  - 1) commonality in liquidity;
  - 2) pricing of systematic liquidity
- ▶ *total liquidity risk* =  
systematic liquidity risk + idiosyncratic liquidity risk
- ▶ Only systematic liquidity risk is important for pricing
- ▶ For equities (e.g. Korajczyk, Sadka, 2008)  
and FX rates (M., Rinaldo, Wrampelmeyer, 2010):  
especially shocks to systematic liquidity carry large risk  
premium
- ▶ In the current paper, most analysis based on *total liquidity risk*
- ▶ Motivation: difficult to measure systemic liquidity risk on a  
quarterly base. More details?

# Liquidity spirals

- ▶ Brunnermeier and Pedersen (2009):  
link trader's funding liquidity and asset's market liquidity
- ▶ Model predictions: market liquidity
  - ▶ can suddenly dry up (✓)
  - ▶ has commonality (?)
  - ▶ is related to volatility (?)
  - ▶ is subject to flight-to-quality (✓)
  - ▶ co-moves with the market (✓)
- ▶ Empirical findings in the current paper ✓

## Very illiquid bonds discarded

- ▶ *“Since we are interested in yield spread effects of **illiquidity**, we must confine ourselves to the **more liquid** segment of the corporate bond market for which we can actually observe some trading and therefore some prices and price changes.”  
[bold added]*
- ▶ Illiquidity effects even more severe on less liquid segment?
- ▶ Special tools required for analysis of very illiquid bonds?

# In short

This paper

- ▶ deals with a highly relevant topic
- ▶ provides very interesting empirical findings
- ▶ is nicely executed, easy to read