

# A Bayesian adaptive singular control problem arising from corporate finance

by

J.-P. Décamp and S. Villeneuve

discussant: H. Mete SONER,  
Department of Mathematics ETH Zürich  
Swiss Finance Institute

EPFL, November, 9 2012

This is the classical set-up with cash reserves

$$dX_t = \mu dt + \sigma dB_t - dL_t,$$

where  $B$  Brownian motion and  $L_t$  cumulative **dividend process**.  $L$  is the only control available and one wants to **maximize**

$$L \text{ non-decreasing} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\tau e^{-rt} dL_t \right],$$

where  $\tau$  is the time of bankruptcy. With  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  constant this is a simple singular control problem and can be solved explicitly.

The new feature here is the **uncertainty** about the drift  $\mu$ .

We assume that  $\mu$  is a random variable independent of the Brownian motion with values  $[\underline{y}, \bar{y}]$ , where  $\underline{y} < 0 < \bar{y}$  are two **known** constants. Then, the probability distribution of this random variable given the observations of the cash reserves is a scalar quantity. One may use the following conditional expectation to track it,

$$Y_t := \mathbb{E} [ \mu \mid \mathcal{F}_t ],$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the observed filtration, i.e., the one generated by  $X$  or equivalently by  $dR = \mu dt + \sigma dB_t$ .

Recall

$$dX_t = \mu dt + \sigma dB_t - dL_t, \quad \text{and} \quad Y_t := \mathbb{E}[\mu \mid \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Also  $\mu$  and  $B$  are independent. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t - dL_t \\ dY_t &= \frac{1}{\sigma} (Y_t - \underline{y})(\bar{y} - Y_t) dW_t, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W$  is a Brownian motion in the observed filtration.

$$V^*(x, y) := \sup_L \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\tau e^{-rt} dL_t \right],$$

$$dX_t = Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t - dL_t$$

$$dY_t = \frac{1}{\sigma} (Y_t - \underline{y})(\bar{y} - Y_t) dW_t =: \sigma F(Y_t) dW_t,$$

$L$  is non-decreasing process,

$\tau$  is the bankruptcy time,

$W$  is a Brownian motion in the observed filtration  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

everything needs to be adapted to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

# Dynamic Programming Equation

This is a **singular, degenerate**, two-dimensional optimal control problem : for  $x > 0$  and  $y \in (\underline{y}, \bar{y})$ ,

$$\min \{ rV^*(x, y) - \mathcal{L}V^*(x, y) , V_x^*(x, y) - 1 \} = 0,$$

together with the boundary conditions,  $V^*(0, y) = 0$ , and the functions  $V^*(x, \underline{y})$  and  $V^*(x, \bar{y})$  are computed explicitly as the  $Y_t$  is a constant in each case. And

$$\mathcal{L}v(x, y) = yv_x + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (v_{xx} + F^2(y)v_{yy} + 2F(y)v_{xy}).$$

# An upper bound

$$dX_t = Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t - dL_t \quad \text{and} \quad X_t \geq 0,$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-rt} dL_t \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-rt} Y_t dt \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-rt} dX_t \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-rt} Y_t dt \right] + x. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$V^*(x, y) \leq \hat{V}(x, y) := x + \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\tau} e^{-rt} Y_t dt \right].$$

The upper bound can be solved explicitly, in particular there exists a threshold  $y^* \in (\underline{y}, \bar{y})$  so that

$$\hat{V}(x, y) = x, \quad \forall y \leq y^*.$$

Hence, if our estimate of the drift based on our observations is less than  $y^*$ , then the optimal strategy is to pay all cash reserves as dividend and go bankrupt.



$x \rightarrow V^*(x, y)$ , is concave,

$y \rightarrow V^*(x, y)$ , is convex.

Proofs are control theoretic.

In the symmetric case,

$$\underline{y} = -\bar{y},$$

$V^*$  is computed explicitly.

- Under the assumption of regularity, the value function  $V^*$  is proved to be the unique classical solution of the dynamic programming equation.
- In fact, more is assumed and more is proved.
- I also think that  $V^*$  is the **unique viscosity** solution among the class of continuous solutions that are convex in  $y$  and concave in  $x$ . This uniqueness would be important to ensure that the numerical studies are giving approximation of the value function.

- Uncertainty in the drift is a very appropriate extension of the previous theory.
- Addition of issuance would be quite interesting as the optimal issuance level could be non-zero for certain values of  $y$ .
- However, this would be hard to analyze explicitly. Instead one can do numerical studies.
- A study in this direction was done by [Akyildirim, Güney, Rochet and Soner](#) with uncertain interest rate with issuance.