Optimization for lattices, packings, and coverings Lecture 2

Online summer school on optimization, interpolation and modular forms August 24 to 28, 2020 **EPF** Lausanne

Frank Vallentin (Universität zu Köln)



1. Introduction to conic optimization

Recap from yesterday: Conic optimization

E finite-dimensional Euclidean space with inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$

 $K \subseteq E$ proper convex cone

primal standard form of conic program

$$p^* = \sup \left\{ \langle c, x \rangle : x \in E, x \geq_K 0, \langle a_j, x \rangle = \right.$$

Semidefinite programming (SDP)

$$E = \mathbb{S}^n, \quad \langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} XY, \quad K = \mathbb{S}^n_+$$

Determinant maximization (MAXDET)

 $E = \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \langle (X, s), (Y, t) \rangle = \text{Tr} XY + st, \quad K = \mathcal{D}^{n+1} = \{ (X, s) : X \in \mathbb{S}^n_+, s \ge 0, (\det X)^{1/n} \ge s \}$

Polynomial optimization (POP)

$$E = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d, \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{d!} f(\nabla)g, \quad K =$$





 $P_{n,d} = \{ f : f(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \},\$ d even

Algorithms and complexity - bad news -

Conic programs can be difficult - NP-hard - to solve.

Polynomial time reduction from NP-complete PARTITION problem

PARTITION: Given $c \in \mathbb{N}^n$, does there exist $x \in \{-$

PARTITION has a positive answer exactly when

$$\sup\left\{t: (c^{\mathsf{T}}x)^4 + n\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^2 - t\right\}$$

has t = 0 as optimal solution.

$$-1, +1$$
 ^{*n*} so that $c^{\mathsf{T}}x = 0$?

 $t \in P_{n,4} \bigg\}$





Algorithms and complexity - good news -

LP, SOCP, SDP, MAXDET can be solved in polynomial time (under mild technical assumptions)

Theorem. Consider the primal semidefinite program

$$p^* = \sup\{\langle C, X \rangle : X \in \mathbb{S}^n_+, \langle A_1, X \rangle = b_1, \dots, \langle A_m, X \rangle = b_1$$

with rational input C, A_1, \ldots, A_m , and b_1, \ldots, b_m . Suppose we know a rational point $X_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and positive rational numbers r, R so that

$$B(X_0, r) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq B(X_0, R),$$

where $B(X_0, r)$ is the ball of radius r, centered at X_0 , in the affine subspace

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ X \in \mathbb{S}^n : \langle A_j, X \rangle = b_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m \}.$$

For every positive rational number $\epsilon > 0$ one can find in polynomial time a rational matrix $X^* \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\langle C, X^* \rangle - p^* \le \epsilon,$$

where the polynomial is in n, m, $\log_2 \frac{R}{r}$, $\log_2(1/\epsilon)$, and the bit size of the data $X_0, C, A_1, \ldots, A_m, \text{ and } b_1, \ldots, b_m$

 p_m .



Proof using ellipsoid method (Grötschel, Lovász, Schrijver, 1981)



Proof using interior point method (de Klerk, Vallentin, 2016) based on (Nesterov, Nemirovski, 1994)

technical assumptions needed: diag $\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{i-1} \\ x_{i-1} & x_i \end{pmatrix}, i = 1, ..., n \right) \in \mathbb{S}^{2n}_+, x_0 = 2 \Longrightarrow x_i \ge 2^{2^i}$



A first SDP application - eigenvalue optimization -

- $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$ symmetric matrix with (real) eigenvalues $\lambda_1(X) \ge \lambda_2(X)$
- Finding the sum of the largest k eigenvalues is an SDP $\lambda_1(X) + \cdots + \lambda_k$
 - $\mathcal{E}_k = \{Y \in \mathcal{S}^n : I_n \succeq$

This gadget can be used to show that optimizing convex functions which only depend on the eigenvalues over given affine spaces of symmetric matrices is (usually) SDP representable.

$$\tilde{X}) \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_n(X)$$

$$_{K}(X) = \max_{Y \in \mathcal{E}_{k}} \langle X, Y \rangle$$

$$\underline{Y} \succeq 0, \langle I_n, Y \rangle = k \}.$$

A second SDP application - polynomial optimization and sum of squares -



Lasserre (2001) Parrilo (2003)

 $p_{min} = mi$

where $p, g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ Clearly,

where

Sum of squares relaxation

 p_{\cdot}

where

inimize
$$p(x)$$

 $x \in K$,
 $K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\},$
 $, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[x].$

$$p_{min} = \sup\{t : p - t \in \mathcal{P}(K)\}$$

$$\mathcal{P}(K) = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}[x] : f(x) \ge 0 \ \forall x \in K \}$$

$$sos = \sup\{t: p - t \in \Sigma + g_1\Sigma + \cdots + g_m\Sigma\},\$$

 $\Sigma = \{h_1^2 + \dots + h_r^2 : r \in \mathbb{N}, h_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]\}$ cone of sum of squares polynomials.

Sum of squares and SDP

 $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq d} \cap \Sigma$ if and only if $\exists Q \in \mathcal{S}_{\succ 0}^{\binom{n+d}{d}}$:

$$p = [x]_d^{\mathsf{T}} Q[x]_d, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \sum_{\substack{\beta,\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_d^n \\ \beta+\gamma=\alpha}} Q_{\beta,\gamma} = p_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha$$

Clearly

 $p_{min} = \sup\{t : p - t \in \mathcal{P}(K)\} \le p_{sos} = \sup\{t : p - t \in \Sigma + g_1 \Sigma + \dots + g_m \Sigma\}$ and generally $p_{min} \neq p_{sos}$.

Equality guaranteed for example by **Putinar's theorem**: If $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \in \Sigma + g_1 \Sigma + \cdots + g_m \Sigma$. Then

$$\forall x \in K : p(x) > 0 \Longrightarrow p \in \Sigma + g_1 \Sigma + \cdots$$

- 1. Extremely general and powerful result
- 2. Degree of Σ can be very high
- 3. Even for small degree: numerical instability
- 4. Right choice of polynomial basis poorly understood

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{2d}^n$

 $+ g_m \Sigma$

G = (V, E) finite graph with weight function $w : V \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ $I \subseteq V$ is **independent** if $\{x, y\} \notin E$ or all $x, y \in I$

$$\alpha_w(G) = \max\left\{\sum_{x \in I} w(x) : I \text{ independent}\right\}$$

weighted independence number of G.

$$\chi(G) = \min \left\{ k : \exists C_1, \dots, C_k \text{ independent } : V = \bigcup_{i=1}^k v_i \right\}$$

chromatic number of G.

Finding α_w and χ is NP-hard.





Lovász' ϑ **-number** is an SDP relaxation for α_w

 $\alpha_w(G) \le \vartheta'_w(G)$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \vartheta'_w(G) = \min & M \\ & K - (w^{1/2})(w^{1/2})^{\mathsf{T}} & \text{is positive semidefinite,} \\ & K(x,x) \leq M & \text{for all } x \in V, \\ & K(x,y) \leq 0 & \text{for all } \{x,y\} \not\in E \text{ where } x \\ & M \in \mathbb{R}, K \in \mathcal{S}^V \end{array}$$

and for χ

$$\begin{split} \chi(G) \geq \vartheta_1'(\overline{G}) &= \max \left\{ \frac{\lambda_n(A) - \lambda_1(A)}{\lambda_n(A)} : \\ &A \in \mathcal{S}^V, A_{xy} \geq 0, A_{xy} = 0 \text{ for } \{x, y\} \end{split}$$







2. Voronoi's lattice reduction theory

G.F. Voronoi (1868–1908)

Lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \mathbb{Z}b_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}b_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$



Parameter space of lattices



Reduction theory of lattices

 $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{+}^{n} = \operatorname{cone}\{xx^{\top} : x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\} \subset \mathbb{S}_{+}^{n}$ rational closure of \mathbb{S}_{++}^{n}

$$GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$$
 acts on $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^n_+$ by $(g, Q) \mapsto g^{\mathsf{T}}Qg$

reduction theory of lattices = find "nice" fundamental domain for \mathbb{S}^{n}_{+} / $GL_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$

many constructions are known (coincide for n = 2, but not for n > 2)

Minkowski, Voronoi (2x), ..., LLL (Lenstra, Lenstra, Lovász)

""","","Best" (= most expensive): Voronoi's second reduction theory



Voronoi's second reduction theory



properties

infinite polyhedral face-to-face tiling all triangular polyhedra $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent



B.N. Delaunay = Б.Н. Делоне = B.N. Delone (1890–1980) **Empty sphere construction**

Delaunay polyhedra of $Q \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$

 $P = \operatorname{conv}\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}, v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, where

there is a center $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a radius r > 0 so that

 $Q[v_i - c] = r^2$ and $Q[w - c] > r^2$ for all $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{v_1, v_2, ...\}$

with $Q[x] = x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx$

Construction of Delaunay polyhedra





