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## The notion of modular forms

Let $\mathbb{H}=\{\tau \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} \tau>0\}$ be the complex upper half-plane.
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A modular form (of weight $k$ ) on $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is an analytic function $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$
f\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=(c \tau+d)^{k} f(\tau), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
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that has a convergent Fourier expansion of the form
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If $a_{0}=0, f$ is called a cusp form. We denote by $M_{k}\left(S_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ and $S_{k}\left(S_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ the spaces of modular forms and cusp forms of weight $k$ respectively.

## Moduli space of genus 1 curves
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and since $F(\lambda \Lambda)=F(\Lambda), F(\Lambda)$ is an invariant of the complex curve $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda$.
By analogy, if $f$ is a modular form of weight $k$, then

$$
\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2} \quad \mapsto \quad F(\Lambda):=\omega_{2}^{-k} f\left(\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}\right)
$$

satisfies $F(\lambda \Lambda)=\lambda^{-k} F(\Lambda)$.
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The condition (ii) and the definition of cusp forms need to be changed appropriately.
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## Fact 1

There exist many different sources of modular forms, often quite dissimilar in nature.

## Fact 2 <br> The spaces of modular forms are finite-dimensional.

Because of this one can often prove identities $a_{n}=b_{n}$ between sequences of numbers by observing that their generating series land in the same space of modular forms and then checking finitely many of them.
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## Modular forms are everywhere!

Modular forms often appear rather unexpectedly.
■ explicit class field theory for imaginary quadratic fields (Kronecker's Jugendtraum)

- irrationality of $\zeta$ (3) (Apéry)
- construction of non-torsion rational points on elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Gross-Zagier)

■ algebraic independence of $\pi$ and $e^{\pi}$ (Nesterenko)

- Fermat's Last Theorem (Wiles)
- sphere packing problem in 8 dimensions (Viazovska)
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For all even $k>2$ the function

$$
G_{k}(\tau):=-\frac{B_{k}}{2 k}+\sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^{n}
$$

is a modular form of weight $k$ for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Here

$$
\sigma_{s}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} d^{s}
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The function $G_{2}(\tau)$ is not a modular form, but transforms according to

$$
G_{2}\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=(c \tau+d)^{2} G_{2}(\tau)-\frac{c(c \tau+d)}{4 \pi i}
$$

It is sometimes more convenient to use a normalization

$$
E_{k}(\tau):=1-\frac{2 k}{B_{k}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^{n}
$$
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As we will see, the space $M_{8}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ is 1-dimensional, and since $E_{4}^{2}$ and $E_{8}$ both belong to it and have the expansion $1+O(q)$, we must have $E_{4}^{2}=E_{8}$.
This leads to a nontrivial identity

$$
\frac{\sigma_{7}(n)-\sigma_{3}(n)}{120}=\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{3}(m) \sigma_{3}(n-m)
$$

Simiarly, $E_{4} E_{6}=E_{10}$, and thus

$$
\frac{11 \sigma_{9}(n)-21 \sigma_{5}(n)+10 \sigma_{3}(n)}{5040}=\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{5}(m) \sigma_{3}(n-m)
$$

## Examples: eta-quotients

The Dedekind eta-function

$$
\eta(\tau)=q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)
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satisfies
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$$
\eta(\tau)=q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
\eta(\tau+1)=e^{\pi i / 12} \eta(\tau), \quad \eta(-1 / \tau)=\sqrt{\tau / i} \eta(\tau)
$$

As a corollary,

$$
\Delta(\tau):=\eta(\tau)^{24}=q-24 q^{2}+252 q^{3}+\cdots-6048 q^{6}+\ldots
$$

is a cusp form of weight 12 for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
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There are many other examples of modular forms that can be written as eta quotients:

$$
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$$
\begin{gathered}
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\eta^{4}(\tau) \eta^{4}(5 \tau)=q-4 q^{2}+2 q^{3}+8 q^{4}+\cdots \in S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(5)\right) \\
\eta^{2}(\tau) \eta^{2}(11 \tau)=q-2 q^{2}-q^{3}+2 q^{4}+q^{5}+\cdots \in S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

One can show that $M_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(2)\right)$ is 2-dimensional, and thus

$$
\frac{\eta^{16}(2 \tau)}{\eta^{8}(\tau)}=G_{4}(\tau)-G_{4}(2 \tau)
$$

## Examples: theta series

Let $\theta(\tau)$ be the Jacobi theta series

$$
\theta(\tau)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^{2}}=1+2 q+2 q^{4}+\ldots
$$

One can show that $\theta^{4}(\tau)$ is a modular form of weight 2 for $\Gamma_{0}(4)$, and since $M_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(4)\right)$ is spanned by $G_{2}(\tau)-2 G_{2}(2 \tau)$ and $G_{2}(\tau)-4 G_{2}(4 \tau)$, one gets

$$
\theta^{4}(\tau)=8\left(G_{2}(\tau)-4 G_{2}(4 \tau)\right)
$$

From this one obtains Jacobi's identity

$$
r_{4}(n)=8 \sum_{4 \nmid d \mid n,} d, \quad n \geq 1
$$

This also implies Lagrange's four-square theorem.

## Examples: theta series

If $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is an even unimodular lattice, then one can show that

$$
\Theta_{\Lambda}(\tau)=\sum_{v \in \Lambda} q^{|v|^{2} / 2}
$$

is a modular form of weight $d / 2$ for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
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If $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is an even unimodular lattice, then one can show that

$$
\Theta_{\Lambda}(\tau)=\sum_{v \in \Lambda} q^{|v|^{2} / 2}
$$

is a modular form of weight $d / 2$ for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
In particular, since the $E_{8}$-lattice

$$
\Lambda_{8}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{8} \cup(1 / 2+\mathbb{Z})^{8} \mid x_{1}+\cdots+x_{8}=0(\bmod 2)\right\}
$$

is even and unimodular, we have $\Theta_{\Lambda_{8}}(\tau) \in M_{4}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$, and thus one has

$$
\Theta_{\Lambda_{8}}(\tau)=E_{4}(\tau)
$$

## Examples: Euler's pentagonal number theorem

The first example of a nontrivial identity between modular forms was observed by Euler:

$$
\prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-q^{n}\right)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n} q^{\left(3 n^{2}-n\right) / 2}
$$
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The first example of a nontrivial identity between modular forms was observed by Euler:

$$
\prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-q^{n}\right)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n} q^{\left(3 n^{2}-n\right) / 2}
$$

To see why this an identity between modular forms, one needs to "complete squares"

$$
\frac{3 n^{2}-n}{2}=\frac{(6 n-1)^{2}}{24}-\frac{1}{24}
$$

to get an equivalent formulation

$$
q^{1 / 24} \prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-q^{n}\right)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{12}(n) q^{n^{2} / 24}
$$

where $\chi_{12}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow\{-1,0,1\}$ is a 12 -periodic function defined by

$$
\chi_{12}( \pm 1)=1, \quad \chi_{12}( \pm 5)=-1
$$

## Examples: arithmetic sources

A much deeper source of modular forms is arithmetic geometry. In these examples the coefficients $a_{p^{k}}$ are obtained by counting the solutions of systems of polynomial equations in finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$.
Here is an explicit example. If we denote by $a_{n}$ the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of

$$
f(\tau)=\eta^{2}(\tau) \eta^{2}(11 \tau)=q-2 q^{2}-q^{3}+2 q^{4}+q^{5}+2 q^{6}-2 q^{7}+\ldots
$$

then

$$
a_{p}=p-\#\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{2}: y^{2}+y=x^{3}-x^{2}\right\}
$$
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A much deeper source of modular forms is arithmetic geometry. In these examples the coefficients $a_{p^{k}}$ are obtained by counting the solutions of systems of polynomial equations in finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$.
Here is an explicit example. If we denote by $a_{n}$ the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of

$$
f(\tau)=\eta^{2}(\tau) \eta^{2}(11 \tau)=q-2 q^{2}-q^{3}+2 q^{4}+q^{5}+2 q^{6}-2 q^{7}+\ldots
$$

then

$$
a_{p}=p-\#\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{2}: y^{2}+y=x^{3}-x^{2}\right\}
$$

This is a special case of the modularity theorem for elliptic curves (in this case for a curve of conductor 11).

## Examples: toric modular forms

There is a construction of modular forms associated to toric varieties due to Borisov and Gunnels. The simplest nontrivial example is

$$
T_{k}(\tau)=\sum_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{2 k+1}=0} \frac{1}{\left(1+q^{n_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(1+q^{n_{2 k+1}}\right)} \in M_{2 k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(2)\right)
$$

## Examples: toric modular forms

There is a construction of modular forms associated to toric varieties due to Borisov and Gunnels. The simplest nontrivial example is

$$
T_{k}(\tau)=\sum_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{2 k+1}=0} \frac{1}{\left(1+q^{n_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(1+q^{n_{2 k+1}}\right)} \in M_{2 k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(2)\right)
$$

In particular, since $M_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(2)\right)$ is 1-dimensional,

$$
\sum_{a+b+c=0} \frac{8}{\left(1+q^{a}\right)\left(1+q^{b}\right)\left(1+q^{c}\right)}=E_{2}(\tau)-2 E_{2}(2 \tau)
$$

## Gauss's discovery of modular forms

Gauss was led to consider theta functions when he was studying the arithmetic-geometric mean $M(a, b)$. Let $a \geq b$ be two positive numbers, and define recursively $a_{0}=a, b_{0}=b$, and

$$
a_{n+1}=\frac{a_{n}+b_{n}}{2}, \quad b_{n+1}=\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}}, \quad n \geq 0
$$

The limit of $a_{n}$ (or $b_{n}$ ) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is the arithmetic-geometric mean $M(a, b)$. Sometime around 1794 he discovered the following remarkable fact. If we denote

$$
P(q)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^{2}}, \quad Q(q)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n} q^{n^{2}}
$$

then

$$
M\left(P^{2}(q), Q^{2}(q)\right)=1, \quad|q|<1
$$

## Gauss's discovery of modular forms

Gauss's proof is based on the following identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{P^{2}(q)+Q^{2}(Q)}{2} \\
& Q^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)=P(q) Q(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first identity is not hard to prove directly, but for the second one essentially needs

$$
P(q)=\prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)\left(1+q^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}, \quad Q(q)=\prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)\left(1-q^{2 n-1}\right)^{2}
$$

which is equivalent to showing that

$$
P(q)=\frac{\eta^{5}(2 \tau)}{\eta^{2}(\tau) \eta^{2}(4 \tau)}, \quad Q(q)=\frac{\eta^{2}(\tau)}{\eta(2 \tau)}
$$

