

---

 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS 6 : SOLUTIONS
 

---

1. Answer (iv). The Jacobian is given by

$$\mathcal{J}_v(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} xy^2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} xy^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} xy^3 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} xy^3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y^2 & 2xy \\ y^3 & 3xy \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. Answer (i). A simple computation give

$$\nabla \times F(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} z^3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} y^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} x - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z^3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} y^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} x \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

3. Answer (iv). The gradient is defined for function with real value only.

4. Answers (i), (ii) and (iii). Let's prove it !

(i) Since  $\text{Hess}(f)_{ii} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}$ , the claim follow.

(ii)

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

(iii) It's the definition of the Laplacian.

5. Answer (iv). Let  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$  and  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$ . We have that

$$\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} v_2 w_3 - v_3 w_2 \\ v_3 w_1 - v_1 w_3 \\ v_1 w_2 - v_2 w_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can see that (ii) can't be correct since there is no term of the form  $D_2 v_3 \cdot D_2 w_3$ . Also, (iii) is not defined since  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$  is a scalar and not a vector. Then, the only possibility is (i). Let show that it's not correct.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) &= w_1(D_2 v_3 - D_3 v_2) - v_1(D_2 w_3 - D_3 w_2) \\ &\quad + w_2(D_3 v_1 - D_1 v_3) - v_2(D_3 w_1 - D_1 w_3) \\ &\quad + w_3(D_1 v_2 - D_2 v_1) - v_3(D_1 w_2 - D_2 w_1) \\ &= \langle \nabla \times \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{v}, \nabla \times \mathbf{w} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

6. Answer (iv). We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) &= \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i \right) \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i D_1 v_i \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i D_n v_i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i D_1 w_i \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n v_i D_n w_i \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \mathcal{J}_v^T \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{J}_w^T \mathbf{v} \\
 &= (\mathbf{w}^T \mathcal{J}_v)^T + (\mathbf{v}^T \mathcal{J}_w)^T \\
 &= (\mathbf{w}^T \mathcal{J}_v + \mathbf{v}^T \mathcal{J}_w)^T
 \end{aligned}$$

7. Answer (iv). Recall that

$$\mathcal{J}_w(0, -2) = \left( \mathcal{J}_v(1, -1) \right)^{-1}.$$

However

$$\mathcal{J}_v(x, y)|_{(x,y)=(1,-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 3x^2 & -2y \\ -2x & 3y^2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{(x,y)=(1,-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{J}_w(0, -2) = \frac{1}{13} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

8. Answer (ii). For the first assertion,

$$\mathcal{J}_v(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x - 2y & -2x \\ 2y & 2x - 2y \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{(x,y)=(1,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus  $\det \mathcal{J}_v(1, 1) = 4$ . For the second assertion, let  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  locally invertible at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . Let denote  $\mathbf{w}$  it's inverse in a neighborhood of  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . If  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{C}^1$ , then  $\det \mathcal{J}_v(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq 0$  (see lecture). On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{w} \notin \mathcal{C}^1$ , we can't say anything. Indeed,  $v(x, y) = (x^3, y^3)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , it's inverse is  $\mathbf{w}(s, t) = (s^{1/3}, t^{1/3})$  but  $\det \mathcal{J}_v(0, 0) = 0$ .

**Remark :** If  $\mathbf{v}$  is an invertible vector field that is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and if it's inverse is also  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , then  $\mathbf{v}$  is called a diffeomorphism.

9. Answer (iv). We use the formula

$$Q(x, y) = f(0, 0) + xD_x f(0, 0) + yD_y f(0, 0) + \frac{x^2}{2} D_{xx} f(0, 0) + xy D_{xy} f(0, 0) + \frac{y^2}{2} D_{yy} f(0, 0).$$

We find easily

$$\nabla f(x, y) = (-\sin(x - y) + 2 \cos(x - y), \sin(x - y) - 2 \cos(x - y))$$

and thus

$$\nabla f(0, 0) = (2, -2).$$

Also

$$\text{Hess}(f)(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos(x - y) - 2\sin(x - y) & \cos(x - y) + 2\sin(x - y) \\ \cos(x - y) + 2\sin(x - y) & -\cos(x - y) - 2\sin(x - y) \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus

$$\text{Hess}(f)(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Replacing all those values in the formula above allow us to conclude.

- 10.** Answer (i). We use the same formula as the previous exercise and by computation, we find

$$\nabla f(0, 0) = (-2, -1)$$

and

$$\text{Hess}(f)(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -2 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Details of calculation are left to the reader.