Introduction

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of live cells is typically performed using antibodies that bind to proteins present on the cell surface or using intracellular co-expressed fluorescent reporter proteins. For characterization of embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells, the expression of transcription factors, such as Oct4, Nanog and Sox2, is at present the most meaningful indication of stemness, yet the presence of these intracellular proteins cannot be detected in living cells without the use of co-expressed fluorescent reporters [1,2,3]. It would be useful to be able to omit the insertion of fluorescence reporters and instead sort based on transcription factor expression in a manner that is independent of genetic modification.

The use of molecular beacons (MBs) as reporters for the presence of mRNA expression levels. All expressed mRNAs can be potential targets and thus could be used as sorting parameters. MBs consist of sequences of 25–30 bases in length with a fluorophore attached to the 5'-end and a quencher molecule to the 3'-end [4]. At 37°C, the MB forms a hairpin structure that causes the fluorophore to be quenched. The sequence within the loop of the hairpin is designed to be complementary to the target mRNA of interest (Figure 1A). Upon hybridization of the central loop of the MB to its target, the hairpin opens, correspondingly releasing the fluorophore from the quencher. Therefore, the MB reports only upon binding with the target mRNA.

To explore the use of MBs in live cell sorting of stem cells from mixed populations, we targeted SRY (sex determining region Y)-box2 (Sox2), a gene encoding a transcription factor reflective of stemness in embryonic stem cells [5,6], induced pluripotent stem cells [7] and adult stem cells [8]. We designed and characterized four candidate Sox2-targeting MBs. We showed that we could deliver our MBs intracellularly using a simple PEI-based polymer micelle delivery method as well as a commercial method using cationic lipids. Finally, we verified that MBs enable FACS discrimination and sorting of live Sox2+ embryonic and somatic stem cells from mixed populations; a capability that should be useful in a wealth of applications.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

The primary mouse tissue was obtained under ethical approval by the Office Vétérinaire Cantonale Vaud (Switzerland).

Reagents and cell culture

Cell culture media were from Gibco Invitrogen, and all other reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise stated. R1 mouse embryonic stem (mES) cell lines were purchased from ATCC, and R1 lines expressing green fluorescence protein (GFP) corresponding with Oct4 expression, were kindly donated by Peter Zandstra, University of Toronto [2]. Culture of mES cells was...
Figure 1. Mechanism and design of Sox2 mRNA-specific MB. (A) Opening of the Sox2-MB is induced in the cytoplasm of cells expressing Sox2 and emission of Cy3 fluorescence is detected. In contrast, Sox2-MB remains in the hairpin conformation in the cytoplasm of Sox2 negative cells, and no emission of Cy3 fluorescence is detected. (B) The sequences of the designed Sox2-MBs and the non-specific-MB. (C) The sequences of the synthesized oligonucleotides complementary to the loop sequence of each Sox2-MB. (D) The Sox2-MBs were mixed with or without its target sequence and the Cy3-fluorescence was detected with microplate reader. A difference was seen in all of the designed Sox2-MBs between when the target sequence was present or not. Error bars represent the mean ± SEM. Asterisks denote statistical significance (n = 3 samples, ***\(p<0.001\)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049874.g001
performed as previously described [9]. Briefly, undifferentiated mES cells were maintained on mitomycin C-treated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) in standard mES cell culture medium containing leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF; Chemicon International). Differentiation of mES cells was conducted by incubating mES cells for 4 days in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone), 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% (v/v) penicillin streptomycin and 1 μM retinoic acid (RA).

Isolation and culture of mouse neural stem/progenitor cells (mNSCs) were performed as previously described [10,11]. Briefly, the two neurogenic areas (subventricular zone (SVZ) and hippocampus) of 2–5 days old mice (C57BL6) were dissociated in 300 μL papain:ovomucoid (1:1) mixture at 37°C for 45 min. The papain mixture consisted of DMEM-F12 containing 30 U/g L papain, 240 μg/mL cysteine and 40 μg/mL DNAseI, and the ovomucoid mix consisted of L15 medium (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1.125 mg/mL trypsin inhibitor, 0.5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 40 ng/mL DNAse I. Papain activity was then blocked by addition of one extra volume of ovomucoid mix. Subsequently, the cell suspension was centrifuged (5 min, 80 g). The cell pellet was resuspended in 0.3 mg/mL sucrose and centrifuged for 10 min at 850 × g to clear from myelin debris, after which cells were resuspended and cultured in suspension in the standard neurosphere medium (DMEM/F12+ Glutamax) containing 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (Peprotech) and B27 supplement for 4 d at 37°C. Neurospheres were passaged with 0.05% trypsin in Versene (Invitrogen) followed by mild mechanical trituration.

Delivery vehicle
A poly(ethylene imine) (PEI)-based cationic polymer micelle delivery vehicle produced in our lab [12] was used to deliver the MBs into the cytoplasm. Briefly, the cationic micelle vehicle consisted of a diblock copolymer, poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(propylene sulfide) (PEG-PPS) and a triblock copolymer, poly(ethylene glycol)-bl-b-poly(propylene sulfide)-bl-b-poly(ethylene imine) (PEG-PPS-PEI). In a volume of 300 μL dichloromethane, 10 mg of diblock copolymer was dissolved together with 1 mg of the triblock copolymer. At room temperature (RT), this solution was dropped into 1 mL of ultrapure water. The solution was then stirred at RT until the organic solvent was completely removed. Subsequently, the aqueous phase containing the formed copolymer blend cationic micelles was mixed with the MBs (see below).

MB design and synthesis
Four Sox2 mRNA-specific candidate molecular beacons (Figure S1A) were designed using software that predicts RNA secondary structures [mFOLD, http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/applications/mfold/ [13,14]). The complete murine Sox2 mRNA was analyzed for potential openings or voids in the mRNA. The target sequences were BLASTed against the mouse genome to ensure specificity to Sox2 mRNA. The candidate MBs had a Cy3 molecule attached to the 5’-end and a black hole quencher-2 attached to the 3’-end (Microsynth) (Figure 1A and 1B). A nonspecific-MB target sequence that is not complementary to any known mRNA in mouse was used as a negative control (5’ Cy3-CGAGGAGCAAGCGCACCCTAGCTCG-BHQ2 3’). The four designed Sox2-targeted candidate MBs were assayed for fluorescence levels in the presence and the absence of their complementary designed oligonucleotides to their loop sequences (Figure S1B), mixing 0.4 μM MBs with 1 μM oligonucleotide in a 96-well plate. After 1 h of incubation at 37°C, fluorescence was measured at the Cy3 wavelengths (excitation 550 nm/emission 570 nm) using a microplate reader (Sapphire2; Tecan).

MB delivery to cells
100 nM Sox2-targeting candidate MBs or nonspecific-MB was mixed together with 1 μL of cationic micelles (containing 10 μg of diblock copolymer mixed with 1 μg of triblock copolymer) and incubated at RT for 20 min. Subsequently, the candidate MB solutions were re-suspended in a total volume of 200 μL standard mES cell culture medium containing LIF and added to mES cells grown in 24 well plates. The cells were then incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Alternatively, mNSCs, grown in suspension, were treated with the candidate MBs after centrifugation (3 min, 80 g), as described above but in the standard neurosphere medium. As an alternative method using commercial reagents, lipofectamine-2000 delivery of MBs was done according to the manufacturer’s protocol (24 well plate DNA transfection, Invitrogen). Briefly, 200 nM Sox2-targeted candidate MBs or nonspecific-MB was mixed together with 1 μL lipofectamine-2000 in Opti-MEM (Gibco Invitrogen) and incubated at RT for 20 min. The candidate MB solutions were re-suspended in a total volume of 400 μL DMEM and added to the mES cells. The cells were then incubated at 37°C for 1 h. After incubation, cells were washed twice with D-PBS (Gibco Invitrogen), and respective cell culture medium was added. Fluorescence images were taken with an Axiovert 200 M microscope (Zeiss) or a LSM 700 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss). Dissociated mES cells were also washed once in D-PBS and were analyzed by flow cytometry using a Cy3/NAP ADPS (Beckman Coulter). Analysis was done with FlowJo software (Tree Star) (Figure 2C).

Immunostaining
To stain for stemness markers, cells were permeabilized with 0.4% saponin (Applichem) in D-PBS for 30 min. After blocking for 1 h (3% BSA and 0.4% saponin in D-PBS), the cells were incubated with primary antibodies for 2 h at RT. Primary antibodies used were anti-SSA1 (mab4301, Chemicon), anti-Sox2 (48–1400, Invitrogen), anti-Nestin (611658, BD Bioscience) and anti-Nanog (ab80982, Abcam). After washing in D-PBS, cells were incubated for 2 h with secondary mouse antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 and secondary rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 546 (Invitrogen). After washing, Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen) was added to the cells and incubated for 10 min before imaging with an Axiovert 200 M microscope (Zeiss).

Real-time PCR
mRNA was isolated using a RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instruction, and the extracted mRNA concentration was measured with NanoDrop™ 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). An amount of 1 μg mRNA was used to produce cDNA with the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and analysis of mRNA level were performed by the iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Standard curves for each primer were plotted and samples were measured in triplicate with an iCycleriQ Multicolor Realtime PCR detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The mRNA levels of genes were normalized to that of a housekeeping gene, beta-actin. General information and sequences of primer designed with cDNA sequences obtained from GenBank for mouse and by Primer3 software (Whitehead Institute/MIT Center for Genome Research) (Table S1).
Flow cytometry, cell sorting and analysis

mES cells treated with RA were used for analysis and sorting. Dissociated cells were re-suspended in D-PBS (Gibco Invitrogen) and filtered through a 70 μm cell strainer (BD-Falcon). Cells were treated with MBs as described above. Then, cells were incubated for 15 min in Alexa Fluor 647 SSEA-1 antibody (51-8813, eBioscience), were washed once in D-PBS and were analyzed by flow cytometry using a CyAN ADPS (Beckman Coulter). Analysis was done with FlowJo software (Tree Star). mES cells were sorted using a FACS Vantage (BD Bioscience) into a 24-well plate. The non-specific-MB was used to set the quadrants in the dot-plot of SSEA1 expression versus MB signal. From each quadrant, SSEA1+/Sox2-MBhigh (Q1), SSEA1-/Sox2-MBhigh (Q2), SSEA1+/Sox2-MBlow (Q3) and SSEA1-/Sox2-MBlow (Q4), 500 cells were sorted and cultured for 5 d (Figure 3D). Subsequently, colonies of mES cells were fixed with 10% (v/v) natural buffered formalin, and undifferentiated colonies were counted to calculate the colony forming efficiency by dividing with the initial sorted number of cells. Primary isolated mNSC or cultured neurospheres were dissociated in single cell suspension and treated with the non-specific-MB to set the sorting gate for a high and low population of neurospheres. The Sox2+-treated primary isolated mNSC or cultured neurospheres were sorted into a Sox2-MBhigh and Sox2-MBlow population. 350 cells in triplicate were plated into a 96-well plate using a FACSAria II (BD Bioscience). Sox2- MB in undifferentiated mES cells as compared to Sox2- MB (blue line) and nonspecific-MB (control, red line) had a 2.0-fold higher mean fluorescence as compared with the non-specific-MB and analyzed by flow cytometry, neither showed a fluorescence signal (Figure 2B, Figure S1A). In contrast, when the Sox2- negative MEFs were treated with the candidate Sox2-MBs or non-specific-MB and analyzed by flow cytometry, both showed a fluorescence signal (Figure 2B, Figure S1A). In contrast, when the Sox2-MBs were incubated with mES cells, two of the MBs (Sox2-MB1 and Sox2-MB3) clearly displayed an increase in fluorescence as detected by microscopy (Figure S2), whereas the non-specific-MB (Sox2-MB2 and Sox2-MB4) did not show fluorescence over background in both the feeder cultures and the mES colonies. Similar results were obtained by flow cytometry: Sox2-MB1 and Sox2-MB3 showed a 2.6 and 4.6-fold higher mean fluorescence signal as compared with the non-specific-MB (Figure 2C, Figure S1B). Based on these results from microscopy and flow cytometry, we selected Sox2-MB3 for further study (hereon referred to simply as Sox2-MB; 5’ Cy3-CCTCGGTACTTATCCTTCTT- CATCGAGG-BHQ2 3’).

To test if a commercially available delivery vehicle can also be used to deliver the Sox2-MB to mES cells we used lipofectamine-2000, a cationic lipid. Flow cytometry showed that the Sox2-MB had a 2.0-fold higher mean fluorescence as compared with the

Results

Sox2-MBs detect their targets and discriminate between Sox2-positive and Sox2-negative cells

Four different MBs targeting Sox2 (Sox2-MBs) were designed (Figure 1B). To determine their sensitivity to their complementary target sequences, we measured Cy3 emission from the candidate Sox2-MBs in vitro in the presence and absence of their targets (Figure 1C and 1D). For all MBs assayed, a difference of 12-fold or more in Cy3 fluorescence was seen between the presence and absence of the complementary sequences, indicating functional molecular beacon reporting for all four candidates. We then assayed if our Sox2-MBs could be used to distinguish between Sox2-negative and Sox2-positive cell populations (i.e. if the MBs would recognize their targets in the complex milieu in vivo within the cell). As a model system to study the activity of our beacon, we choose mES, which are known to express Sox2. MEFs were used as negative control. Sox2 expression was first confirmed by RT-PCR (Figure 2A). MBs were delivered to cells using as a delivery vehicle the cationic micelles, consisting of a hydrophobic core, a hydrophilic corona of poly(ethylene glycol), and a cationic poly(ethylene imine) chain embedded in the corona [12]. As expected, when Sox2-negative MEFs were treated with the candidate Sox2-MBs or non-specific-MB and analyzed by flow cytometry, neither showed a fluorescence signal (Figure 2B, Figure S1A). In contrast, when the Sox2-MBs were incubated with mES cells, two of the MBs (Sox2-MB1 and Sox2-MB3) clearly displayed an increase in fluorescence as detected by microscopy (Figure S2), whereas the non-specific-MB (Sox2-MB2 and Sox2-MB4) did not show fluorescence over background in both the feeder cultures and the mES colonies. Similar results were obtained by flow cytometry: Sox2-MB1 and Sox2-MB3 showed a 2.6 and 4.6-fold higher mean fluorescence signal as compared with the non-specific-MB (Figure 2C, Figure S1B). Based on these results from microscopy and flow cytometry, we selected Sox2-MB3 for further study (hereon referred to simply as Sox2-MB; 5’ Cy3-CCTCGGTACTTATCCTTCTT- CATCGAGG-BHQ2 3’).

To test if a commercially available delivery vehicle can also be used to deliver the Sox2-MB to mES cells we used lipofectamine-2000, a cationic lipid. Flow cytometry showed that the Sox2-MB had a 2.0-fold higher mean fluorescence as compared with the

Statistical Analysis

The two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyze if a difference in two data sets was statistically significant. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant (*p<0.05, **p<0.01

***p<0.001). All the error bars represent the standard error of the mean (S.E.M.).
Figure 3. Detection of Sox2-MB in differentiated mES cells. (A) mES cells stained for SSEA-1 together with the Sox2-MB (blue dots) and the nonspecific-MB (red dots). (B) SSEA-1 stained differentiated mES cells treated with Sox2-MB (blue dots) were compared to SSEA1 stained undifferentiated mES treated Sox2-MB (red dots). (C) Undifferentiated mES cells and mES cells differentiated by exposure to RA were analyzed by RT-PCR. (D) Four quadrants (Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4) of the differentiated mES cells were selected by comparing the nonspecific-MB fluorescent signal with the Sox2-MB fluorescent signal. (E) The double-positive sorted cell populations (Q2: Sox2-MB + and SSEA1 +) formed significantly more undifferentiated colonies compared to the positive-negative sorted cell populations (Q1: Sox2-MB - and SSEA1 +, Q4: Sox2-MB + and SSEA1 -), and the double-negative sorted cell population (Q3: Sox2-MB - and SSEA1 -). (F) Undifferentiated colonies were positively stained for Sox2, Nanog and SSEA1 (Scale bar = 200 µm). Error bars represent the mean ± SEM. Asterisks denotes statistical significance (n = 3 samples ** p < 0.01, n = 4 samples *** p < 0.001).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049874.g003
Figure 4. Isolation of neurospheres from primary mouse tissue and of in vitro cultured neurospheres using Sox2-MB. (A) Two cell populations, namely Sox2-MB<sup>high</sup> and the Sox2-MB<sup>low</sup>, were first selected on Annexin-V cells and then by comparing the nonspecific-MB fluorescent signal to the Sox2-MB fluorescent signal. (B) After 1 wk, sphere-forming efficiency was calculated from the Sox2-MB<sup>high</sup> and the Sox2-MB<sup>low</sup> populations as well as non-sorted primary mouse hippocampus isolated cells. (C and D) Images of 1 wk old spheres generated from sorted Sox2-MB<sup>low</sup> cells and Sox2-MB<sup>high</sup> cells (scale bar = 25 μm). (E) Neurospheres from the Sox2-MB<sup>high</sup> and the Sox2-MB<sup>low</sup> populations were serially passaged and cumulative population doublings was calculated. (F) In vitro cultured neurosphere mRNA expression of Sox2 was analyzed by RT-PCR and compared to MEFs. (G) Two cell populations, namely Sox2-MB<sup>high</sup> and Sox2-MB<sup>low</sup>, were selected by comparing the nonspecific-MB fluorescent signal.
nonspecific-MB (Figure S3). The cationic micelle delivery vehicle (Figure 2C) provided a 4.6-fold higher mean fluorescence signal when delivering Sox2-MB in Sox2+ cells than did the cationic lipid vehicle (Figure S3).

To compare our Sox2-MB reporter to a commonly used intracellular fluorescent reporter system for mES cells, we made use of a previously described Oct4-GFP reporter cell line [2]. We delivered our Sox2-MB to Oct4-GFP mES cells. The Sox2-MB co-localized inside GFP-positive cells, as shown by confocal microscopy, confirming reporting of Sox2-MB (Figure S4).

Furthermore, to verify that Sox2-MB did not influence mRNA expression of stemness genes in mES cells, such as Nanog and Sox2, RT-PCR was used to measure gene expression levels. Thus, mRNA was isolated from mES cells treated with Sox2-MB for 1 h and 24 h. There were no significant differences in the mRNA levels of the stemness genes in Sox2-MB-treated mES cells compared to untreated mES cells (Figure S5).

Sox2-MB mark pluripotent cells and can be used for sorting live mES cells in heterogeneous populations

To confirm that Sox2-MB label naïve mES cells and not their committed progeny, cells were stained for the well-known mES cell marker, SSEA1 (stage-specific embryonic antigen-1). As expected, mES cells cultured under self-renewal conditions were double-positive for both the Sox2-MB and SSEA1 (Figure 3A). When mES cells were induced to differentiate by incubation with RA, committed cells in these mixed cultures showed a lower fluorescence signals as compared to primitive mES cells (Figure 3B). This was also confirmed by RT-PCR; differentiated mES progeny had significantly lower Sox2 expression than mES cells (Figure 3C). Interestingly, 20% of mES cells that were treated with RA still maintained positivity for the two markers (SSEA1+/Sox2-MB+), indicating that our 4 day differentiation treatment was only 80% effective (Figure 3B).

To determine if Sox2-MB and SSEA1-double positive mES cell populations would indeed show phenotypic characteristics of pluripotent cells, the SSEA1+/Sox2-MB+ population was FACS sorted, and colony formation was assessed. The double-positive population (Q2, Figure 3D) formed at least 4-fold more mES colonies than the other three populations (Figure 3E). Furthermore, these sorted cells expressed pluripotency markers Sox2, Nanog and SSEA1 (Figure 3F), confirming that the Sox2-MB can be used to sort stem cells from a mixed cell population.

Sox2-MB can be used to sort live Sox2-positive cells from neurospheres

To demonstrate that our designed Sox2-MB could be used with other stem cells, an additional stem cell type expressing the Sox2 transcription factor was evaluated. Neural stem and progenitor cells can be isolated and expanded in vitro through a commonly used neurosphere assay [3,8] where epidermal growth factor-responsive cells are selected for their capacity to expand in vitro as free floating aggregates. Prospective isolation of NSCs has been previously performed using cell surface markers or transgenic fluorescent reporter lines [16]. We tested here the possibility of adopting a mRNA based approach for selection by targeting Sox2.

Cells freshly dissected from the two neurogenic areas (SVZ and hippocampus) of 2–3 day old C57BL6 mice were treated with the MBs. Sox2-MB-treated cells had a higher fluorescence than cells treated with the nonspecific-MB (Figure 4A). The brightest 1.3% of cells (Sox2-MBhigh) were sorted and assayed for their capacity to form neurospheres and compared to cells with low fluorescence (Sox2-MBlow). Sox2-MBhigh sorted cells generated significantly more neurospheres compared to the Sox2-MBlow cells, which were also larger in size (Figure 4B, C and D). Moreover, the Sox2-MBhigh sorted neurospheres kept producing neurospheres with passaging in comparison to the Sox2-MBlow (Figure 4E). Thus, Sox2-MB can be used to sort neurosphere-forming cells from primary isolated tissues. Nevertheless, we did not generate a greater number of neurospheres by culture of Sox2-MB-based-sorted cells than by culture of non-sorted freshly isolated cells (Figure 4C).

Cells that were expanded for several passages in vitro maintained Sox2 expression, as shown by RT-PCR (Figure 4F). When neurospheres were treated with the MBs, Sox2-MB-treated cells had a 1.9-fold higher fluorescence than cells treated with the nonspecific-MB (Figure 4G). Also in this case, Sox2-MBhigh sorted cells formed more neurospheres that were also significantly larger (>50 μm) than the Sox2-MBlow sorted cells (Figure 4H). Sox2-MBhigh sorted cells also expressed mNSC markers Nestin and Sox2, as shown by microscopy (Figure 4I).

Discussion

We show the identification and characterization of a Sox2-targeting MB that can be delivered by chemical means to cells and used in live-cell-sorting of multiple cell types. Furthermore, Sox2-MB-based sorting allowed recovery of undifferentiated mES cells from a pool of RA-differentiated mES cells in which 80% of the cells had differentiated, and it allowed isolation and enrichment of neurosphere-forming cells based on the intensity of Sox2-MB reporting. As such, Sox2-MB appear to be useful for both positive and negative selections of stem cells from mixed populations. Importantly, we demonstrated that while the Sox2-MB binds to mRNA and fluoresces in the cytoplasm of cells expressing Sox2, binding does not influence expression of stemness genes in the treated cells.

Other research groups have used MBs to target various mRNAs related to stemness, including survivin [17], Bmp4 [15] and Oct4 [18]. Although these groups reported that they could detect specific MB signals by either fluorescence microscopy or flow cytometry, they did not demonstrate that designed MBs could be used for live cell sorting purposes. A more recent publication, however, described the post-sorting effects on cells sorted with a dual-FRET molecular beacon targeting Oct4 [19], using electroporation to deliver Oct4-MB to human embryonic stem (hES) cells. The positive-sorted cells showed properties of hES cells in vitro and in vivo. Here, we show that the Sox2-MB can be delivered with a cationic micelle vehicle or a cationic lipid vehicle to mES cells and mNSCs in both positive and negative sorting. The demonstration of live cell sorting raises the possibility of direct sorting of rare adult stem cells from primary cell isolates from tissues, to greatly accelerate the process of tissue-specific stem cell derivation.

Supporting Information

Figure S1  FACS analysis of MEFs and mES cells treated with Sox2-MBs and nonspecific-MB. (A) MEFs and (B) mES...
cells were treated with Sox2-MB1 (blue line), Sox2-MB2 (green line), Sox2-MB3 (orange line), Sox2-MB4 (cyan line) and nonspecific-MB (red line).

**Figure S2** Microscopy of living mES cells treated with Sox2-MBs with phase and fluorescent images. mES cells were treated with (A,B) Sox2-MB1, (C,D) Sox2-MB2, (E,F) Sox2-MB3, (G,H) Sox2-MB4 and (I,J) nonspecific-MB. Scale bar = 200 μm.

**Table S1** Primers used for Real-time PCR.
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**Figure S5** The effect of Sox2-MB on the mRNA level of stemness genes on treated and untreated mES cells. Cells were analyzed for (A) Sox2 and (B) Nanog mRNA expression after 1 h and 24 h of treatment with the Sox2-MB. As controls, untreated mES cells were analyzed in parallel. (n = 4 per sample, ns = not significant) Error bars represent the mean ± SEM.
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