## Exercise session - 24/11/2022

## Alkynolate chemistry: Applications and mechanism investigations

Part I: Discovery of alkynolate - J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 321-323

**[Q1a]** Give the name of this rearrangement and its mechanism:

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ R \\ NH_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} NaOH, Br_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad R-N=C=O$$

**[Q1b]** Kowalski & al discovered a new type of rearrangement:

Find the structure of the key intermediate (explain why excess of BuLi is necessary)

**[Q1c]** Write the mechanism to find the structure of the major product:

**[Q1d]** When the previous reaction is carried in THF, the yield drops to 70% and a new product is formed in 12% yield. There is now a new benzylic signal in <sup>1</sup>H NMR. Provide a mechanism for this new side product.

**[Q1e]** How would you improve the yield of this side product? (2 major changes are needed)

**[Q1f]** After optimization, when switching cyclohexanone to benzaldehyde, another product is formed, which shows conjugation of the two phenyl rings. Propose a mechanism.

**[Q1g]** Try to find another way to reach the alkynolate by passing by the same double negatively charged precursor but with a different starting material. Propose a synthesis of this starting material.

**[Q1h]** How could you determine the mechanism of the rearrangement? The starting material must be pinacolone derivative and needs to be synthesized from scratch.

## Part II: Relationship with carbene chemistry and application to a homologation reaction - J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1429-1430

**[Q2a]** Villieras and Normant's work (Cocorico) showed that  $\alpha$ -haloenolates are versatile building blocks that can be used as nucleophiles and electrophiles.

One way to make them is by rearrangement (of an intermediate that you will name):

**[Q2b]** When replacing aldehydes with cyclic ketones, the migration product is still observed, and a new side product is formed (Villiéras. C. Bacquet and J.F. Normant, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **1973** *Ser. C*, t.276 433):

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CH}_2\text{CI}_2 \\ \hline\\ \text{then} \\ \hline\\ \text{O}\\ \text{In} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{BuLi or} \\ \text{NLi} \\ \text{, -90 °C, THF} \\ \text{O}\\ \text{then} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{LDA} \\ \text{migration product expected + one side product yield = 90% (64%) if n=1, with Piperide (BuLi)} \\ \text{70% (41%) if n=2 with Piperidide (BuLi)} \\ \text{68% (0%) if n= 3 with Piperidide (BuLi)} \\ \text{48% (0%) if n= 4 with Piperidide (BuLi)} \\ \end{array}$$

For the bromo analogs, the yields drops (60% starting from cyclopentanone and 53% w/cyclohexanone).

**[Q2c]** It is possible to replace ketones and aldehydes by esters. However, a completely different reactivity is observed:

Provide a mechanism. Make the connection with [Q1g]

**[Q2d]** Modification of Normant's procedure by Kowalski gave a different product. Provide a mechanism for the formation of this new product.

## <u>Part III: Deep dive into the homologation mechanism</u> - *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, 57, 7194-7208

**[Q3a]** Write a mechanism when R is bulky or not, considers these feedbacks:

- When R isn't bulky, quenching after addition to nBuLi at -78 °C gives an analog of [Q2c].
- When R isn't bulky, **after addition of nBuLi** and **warming to r.t.**, another reaction occurs leading to the **key intermediate <u>precursor</u> of this reaction**. (precursor --> key intermediate)
- When R is bulky, quenching **prior to addition to nBuLi** gives the same results as **[Q2c]**
- When R is bulky, **after addition of nBuLi** the same precursor as **warming to r.t.** with non-bulky group is formed.

Give your conclusion on the necessity (or not) to differentiate bulky and non bulky group for this procedure.

Remark: it is possible to quench the reaction with chlorosilanes to yield siloxyacetylenes.

[Q3b] Addition of acidic ethanol solution to the key intermediate gives a complex mixture. Why?

**[Q3c]** "Although this chemistry worked for a wide variety of esters with primary, secondary, tertiary, aryl, alkenyl, and alkynyl R groups, the yields (53-75%) and scale of reactions (2-5 mmol) were only moderate"

Provide a mechanism to explain the formation of the dimeric side products.

**[Q3d]** How would you detect formation of SP1 precursor?

[Q3e] How would you avoid the formation of these dimeric compounds? (read [Q3a] until the end)

**[Q3f]** Converting bromoacetphenone to the corresponding rearranged product works well when using LiTMP rather than nBuLi.

However, when using the same procedure to avoid the dimeric side products, only a complex mixture is observed.

How would you prove that the use of LiTMP is problematic when trying to avoid dimeric side products?

**[Q3g]** During formation of the key intermediate precursor, either E o Z configuration can be obtained. How would you determine the ratio?

**[Q3h]** The enolate formation is highly regioselective with a 97:3 ratio in favor of the Z isomer. Is this result concordant with the mechanism of the rearrangement?

[Q3i] How would you prove the importance of the enolate geometry for this reaction?

**[Q3j]** The ynolate reactivity has been studied, the enolate reactivity too. This only leaves tetrahedral intermediate left:

How would you prove that these side products do not arise directly from the bromo-enolate? Suggest another control experiment to understand where the butyl side chains come from.

**[Q3k]** Propose a new intermediate that would explain the formation of these side products, considering that carbenoids are known to undergo addition by organometallic reagents. And how to solve this problem.