

## Giant Spin-Polarization and Magnetic Anisotropy of Nanostructures at Surfaces\*

H. Brune<sup>†</sup>

*Institute of the Physics of Nanostructures (IPN),  
Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*  
(Received 19 December 2005; Accepted 5 March 2006; Published 2 May 2006)

We present spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscopy measurements demonstrating spin-polarizations of up to 80 % for Co islands on a Pt(111) surface and a tunnel magneto resistance of 850 % between the islands and an anti-ferromagnetic Cr-coated W-tip. These values are stable up to  $\pm 0.7$  V bias. We report on the magnetic moments and anisotropy energies of two-dimensional Co islands on Pt(111) comprising only a few atoms. Our results show the correlation between orbital moments and magneto-crystalline anisotropies and reveal that both properties strongly depend on the lateral atomic coordination. The anisotropy of single adatoms is found to be 200 times the Co hcp bulk value. We also present well ordered superlattices of Co islands self-assembled on Au(788). The particles have uniaxial out-of-plane magnetization and no dipolar interactions. They present a model system for ultra-high density storage media since they have the most uniform anisotropy energies and the highest density of non-interacting particles so far realized. [DOI: 10.1380/ejsnt.2006.478]

Keywords: Spin-polarized Scanning Tunneling Microscopy; X-ray magnetic circular dichroism; Magneto-optical Kerr-effect; Self-assembly; Magnetic properties of nanostructures, Tunnel magneto resistance, Spin-polarization

## I. SPIN-POLARIZATION IN STM-JUNCTIONS

Magnetic random access memories (MRAMs) will possibly replace our current dynamic random access memories (DRAMs) due to their shorter access times, and to the fact that they are non-volatile. Depending on cost, they may even replace hard-drives and flash-memories. The MRAM cell consists of a planar tunnel junction between two ferromagnets with its tunnel-magneto-resistance (TMR) being used for readout. The TMR is defined in recent papers as  $(R_a - R_p)/R_p$ , with  $R_p$  and  $R_a$  being the junction resistance for parallel and anti-parallel magnetization of the ferromagnets. One readily derives  $\Delta R/R_p = 2P_1P_2/(1 - P_1P_2)$  with  $P = (g^+ - g^-)/(g^+ + g^-)$  being the ferromagnets spin polarizations and  $g^+$  and  $g^-$  denoting the density of states for spin up, respectively, spin down electrons at  $E_F$ . For comparison with TMR values mentioned in early papers we note that  $\Delta R/\bar{R} = 2\Delta R/(R_p + R_a) = 2P_1P_2$  [1] and  $\Delta R/R_a = 2P_1P_2/(1 + P_1P_2)$  [2], note also that appreciable differences between the three definitions occur at high  $P$  only.

Theory predicts that coherent and state selective tunneling in fully epitaxial junctions may give rise to TMRs of the order of 1000 % [3, 4]. Experimental junctions have rapidly improved their TMR over recent years, today they come indeed close to the theoretical maximum. A breakthrough was achieved in 1995 when the TMRs went from former values of a few percent up to 18 % in Fe/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Fe [1] and 12 % in CoFe/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Co tunnel junctions [2] (if not otherwise mentioned, we refer to values at 300 K). Another order of magnitude was achieved in 2004 where 188 % were reported for fully epitaxial Fe/MgO(100)/Fe junctions [5], 220 % for polycrystalline FeCo/MgO/FeCoB junctions with (001) texture [6], and in 2005 finally 230 % for CoFeB/MgO(100)/CeFeB junc-

tions with polycrystalline ferromagnets facilitating fabrication of junctions with uniform properties [7].

These high TMR values are, however, restricted to very small bias, they steeply decrease as the voltage is increased to technical useful values [5, 6]. A second important characteristic for applications is therefore the voltage  $V_{1/2}$  at which the TMR drops to half of its close to zero bias value. This voltage defines the memory output voltage  $V_{out} = V_{1/2}(R_a - R_p)/R_a$ , one of the parameters defining the MRAM density limit. In planar junctions,  $V_{1/2}$  has been increased from 0.2 [2] to 0.6 V [7]. Compared to the achieved TMR increase this is moderate improvement and prompts the question how much of the bias dependence is being intrinsic. An intrinsic effect is the variation of the  $g$ 's with energy. However, this is expected to show up only beyond 0.5 V and to give rise to a relatively small decrease up to 1 V. Other effects are spin-scattering at interfacial spins, magnon creation in the oxide, or tunneling via trap states formed by oxide defects [8]. All of them give rise to loss of spin conservation at imperfections and are therefore avoidable. Which one is dominant and what are the ultimate values of  $V_{1/2}$  and  $V_{out}$  of a perfect junction are at present open questions. We briefly note that the so-called zero-bias anomaly, a TMR-peak sometimes observed at low  $T$  and at a view milli-volts [9] is related to Kondo and/or inelastic spin-flip scattering [10], but not to the above discussed TMR decrease currently affecting operation of planar junctions in MRAMs.

The junction of a spin-polarized STM has controlled interfaces and a clean vacuum barrier. Therefore it promises TMR values closer to the theoretical upper limit, higher stability of these values with increasing bias, and most importantly, one of the junction interfaces can be "seen" on the atomic scale, enabling to investigate the causes of performance limits of planar junctions in a systematic way. We present spin polarization and TMR measurements on single monodomain particles. TMR values reach up to 850 % for vacuum STM tunnel junctions formed by out-of-plane magnetized ferromagnetic bilayer Co islands on Pt(111) (140 K) and anti-ferromagnetic Cr-coated W-tips (280 K). These values are observed up to biases of  $\pm 0.7$  V, shifting  $V_{1/2}$  far beyond 1.0 V [11].

The Co islands shown in Fig. 1a) were created by depo-

\*This paper was presented at 5th International Symposium on Atomic Level Characterizations for New Materials and Devices (ALC05), Hawaii, USA, 4-9 December, 2005.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: harald.brune@epfl.ch




FIG. 1: **a)** Constant current STM image of double-layer Co islands on Pt(111) recorded with a Cr-coated W tip. Islands with opposite magnetization appear with two different heights ( $T_{\text{sample}} = 140$  K,  $T_{\text{tip}} \approx 280$  K,  $V_t = -0.08$  V,  $I_t = 0.3$  nA). **b)** Averaged line-profile ( $\pm 5$  lines) at the indicated position in figure **a)** showing a difference of  $0.20 \pm 0.05$  Å in the apparent height of islands with opposite magnetization.

sition of 0.40 monolayers (ML, 1 ML being one Co atom per Pt surface atom) on the Pt(111) substrate held at 130 K and subsequent annealing to 340 K [12]. The -9.4 % misfit between Co and Pt leads to partial dislocations in the first layer [13]; in double layer islands the stress is partly relieved by a moiré structure [14, 15]. The moiré consists of smooth transitions between three-fold hollow and on-top sites of the Co atoms leading to a long-period vertical modulation of the atomic positions reflected in their apparent heights in constant current STM images. Part of this modulation is still visible in the averaged line scans, and looks as if it was noise (Fig. 1b)).

In addition to the corrugation of the moiré, one clearly discerns two island species by an apparent height difference. This contrast is magnetic since it is only obtained with magnetic tips (either Cr-coated W-tips, or FeMn bulk-tips), and it vanishes above the island blocking temperature of  $T_b = 180$  K, independently determined by means of magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) measurements [12]. The MOKE measurements also reveal out-of-

plane magnetization in agreement with the fact that spin contrast is only observed with a Cr coating thickness of 20 – 40 ML reported to give out-of-plane polarization of the tip [16].

The magnetic contrast amounts to  $\Delta z = 0.20 \pm 0.05$  Å and can be analyzed in terms of the junction polarization and the TMR that would be observed at constant height. With the above definitions, one finds:

$$P_t P_s = \frac{I_p - I_a}{I_p + I_a} = \frac{\exp(A\sqrt{\phi}\Delta z) - 1}{\exp(A\sqrt{\phi}\Delta z) + 1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi$  is the average over the work-functions of tip and sample and  $A = 2\sqrt{2m_e/\hbar^2} = 1.025$  eV $^{-1/2}$ Å $^{-1}$ . With a typical value of  $\phi = 4$  eV we find  $P_t P_s = 0.20 \pm 0.05$  from the difference in apparent height of Fig. 1. This is comparable with  $P_t P_s$  values reported between Co(0001) surfaces and amorphous Co-based alloy tips [17]. The magnetization in the investigated Co domains was at  $\pm 80^\circ$  with respect to the out-of-plane magnetization of the tip and thus the results had to be rescaled by  $1/\cos(80^\circ)$  in order to retrieve the difference between fully parallel and anti-parallel states. In our experiments, the spin contrast depends on the orientation of the magnetic moment of the atoms at the apex, strongly varying for each newly prepared tip. Accordingly, we observed different  $\Delta z$ -values from day to day, 0.2 Å being the typical value. In a single case we observed a difference of  $\Delta z = 1.1 \pm 0.1$  Å, corresponding to  $P_t P_s = 0.80 \pm 0.04$  [11]. This value implies a polarization of the Co islands of at least 80 %, about two times larger than the value determined by Andreev reflection [18, 19] for Co bulk. This can be rationalized by the low dimensionality of the islands increasing the density of states at  $E_F$  or by state selective tunneling leading to a higher polarization than the state averaged value. The strong polarization of the tip, which must have been close to 100% in this experiment, can possibly be caused by chemisorbed species at the tip apex.

The TMR for a tunnel junction formed by the tip kept at constant height above an island which magnetization switches between up and down evaluates to:

$$\Delta R/R_p = \frac{2P_t P_s}{1 - P_t P_s} = \exp(A\sqrt{\phi}\Delta z) - 1 \quad (2)$$

For our typical  $\Delta z$  values Eq. (2) gives  $\Delta R/R_p = 50 \pm 15$  %, and the largest observed magnetic contrast corresponds to  $\Delta R/R_p = 850 \pm 200$  %. The latter value largely overcomes the highest TMR value yet reported and can be considered as bench mark for ideal planar junctions. We observe stable TMR values up to biases of  $\pm 0.7$  V, which is in agreement with former SP-STM junctions [8, 17]. Our results suggest that SP-STM experiments are well suited to investigate the effect of structural and chemical defects on the TMR bias dependence.

## II. MAGNETIC ANISOTROPY OF SINGLE ADATOMS

The energy barrier associated with magnetization reversal in a uniaxial system is the magnetic anisotropy energy  $K_2$ , which we call  $K$  for brevity ( $K$  for a monodomain particle and  $k$  for its constituent atoms). This

energy causes magnetic memories to be non-volatile since it preserves the magnetization from reversing its orientation by thermal excitation.  $K$  also defines, together with the particle moment  $M$ , the switching field  $H(T)$ . The anisotropy energy has several origins, such as shape, magneto-crystalline, surface and interface. In 3D particles several of these causes are present and it is difficult to disentangle them unambiguously. In 2D nanostructures at single crystal surfaces this is facilitated when morphology and magnetism are investigated in conjunction. This has led to the discovery that the low coordinated step atoms contribute 20 times more to the anisotropy of islands than the laterally 6-fold coordinated atoms sitting inside [12].

In Fig. 2 we present the most spectacular example of this coordination effect in showing an STM image of single Co atoms adsorbed onto a Pt(111) surface together with their magnetization curves recorded with X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) along the easy axis (out-of-plane) and at  $70^\circ$  to it [20]. Isolated Co adatoms have been created by atomic vapor deposition onto a substrate held at low enough temperature to entirely freeze thermally activated tracer diffusion of the deposited species. When atoms adsorb onto a surface, there is the possibility that part of their adsorption energy is transferred into motion along the surface. However, this transient mobility has been found to be absent in all metal/metal systems studied so far [21]. The atoms therefore come to rest at their site of impact. This is what is called statistical growth. Size distributions for this case can be inferred at low coverage from mathematics [22], and at higher coverages, where deposition onto filled sites comes into play, from kinetic Monte-Carlo or rate theory models [23]. The mean "island" sizes for the coverages used here go from 1.02 (coverage  $\theta = 0.007$  ML) to 1.11 atoms ( $\theta = 0.030$  ML), therefore at the surface are almost exclusively monomers, in agreement with the uniform apparent height for most of the islands in STM images.

Application of the XMCD sum rules [24] to the spectra measured for the sample shown in Fig. 2a) at saturation and along its easy axis yields an orbital Co moment of  $m_L = 1.1 \pm 0.1 \mu_B/\text{atom}$  (the number of core holes needed for this evaluation was calculated within the local spin density approximation to be 2.4). This value is smaller than  $3 \mu_B$  of Co atoms in the gas phase, but much higher than orbital moments in the bulk, where the hybridization of the  $d$ -states reduces  $m_L$  to  $0.1 - 0.2 \mu_B$ . The high  $m_L$  value comes from the reduced coordination of the adatoms favoring  $d$ -electron localization and thus the survival of atomic-like character in the  $3d$  orbitals. In a band picture, the  $d$ -bands are narrowed increasing the local density of states near the Fermi level. This is expected to augment the spin-orbit energy and thereby also the magneto-crystalline anisotropy energy.

Figure 2b) shows the magnetization along the field applied once parallel to the easy axis (out-of-plane) and once at  $70^\circ$  to it. The fits yield  $k = 9.3 \pm 1.6$  meV, which is 200 times the Co hcp bulk value. It is also much higher than the anisotropies of hard magnets, such as  $\text{SmCo}_5$  or  $\text{CoPt L}_{10}$  ( $k = 1.8$ , and  $0.8$  meV/Co atom, respectively [25]), and higher than the values formerly reported for atomic Co chains attached to Pt-steps ( $k = 2.0$  meV [26]). The CoPt alloys, the Co chains, as well as the present system, benefit from strong spin-orbit coupling of the Pt  $5d$ -states




FIG. 2: **a)** STM image of isolated Co adatoms created by statistical growth on Pt(111) ( $\theta = 0.010$  ML, deposition temperature  $T_{\text{dep}} = 5.5$  K). **b)** XMCD magnetization curves at  $0^\circ$  (black squares) and  $70^\circ$  (red squares) with respect to the surface normal measured at  $T = 5.5$  K. The data points represent the peak of the  $L_3$  XMCD intensity at 778.6 eV divided by the pre-edge intensity at 775 eV as a function of  $B$ . The difference between the  $0^\circ$  and  $70^\circ$  curves was checked for consistency with the XAS-normalized XMCD spectra. The solid lines are fits to the data considering the Zeeman energy resulting from the applied field and the magnetic moments of the atoms and assuming uniaxial out-of-plane anisotropy energy  $k$  per atom

resulting in additional anisotropy energy of the induced magnetization [27]. The fact that the value reported here is much higher than formerly reported ones suggests that coordination has a stronger effect than polarization and spin-orbit coupling of a second element. In line with the dominant role of coordination we observed a rapid decrease of  $m_L$  and  $k$  when going from monomers to dimers, trimers, tetramers and so forth [20]. In good agreement with our MOKE-results on much larger Co islands on Pt(111) where we found  $k_p = 0.9 \pm 0.1$  meV/perimeter-atom [12] XMCD yields  $k = 1.0 \pm 0.1$  meV/atom for Co heptamers, which are almost entirely composed of step atoms.

The results suggest that Co monomers on Pt(111) behave as classical magnetic moments which reverse their magnetization by passing over the activation energy  $K$

and not by tunneling, which has been observed for single-molecule-magnets [28]. The reason for the unexpected classical behavior of isolated adatoms could be their strong coupling to the surface. This coupling can be reduced by an oxide spacer which has been reported to enable spin-flip scattering [29] therefore restoring the quantum mechanical behavior of the impurities. Whether single atoms show hysteresis at  $T < 10$  K and how their magnetization reverses as function of  $T$  remains to be explored. From our data, the smallest unit to store information magnetically at room temperature is a ring of 400 2-fold coordinated atoms, each having an anisotropy of 3.3 meV.

### III. SELF-ASSEMBLY OF MODEL SYSTEMS FOR ULTRA-HIGH DENSITY MAGNETIC STORAGE MEDIA

Magnetic hard disk media are believed to reach very soon a bit density where the magnetic grains used to store one bit become super-paramagnetic, *i.e.*, their magnetization reverses due to thermal excitation on a time scale shorter than the desired memory retention time of typically 10 years. Since then the memory gets volatile, there is considerable interest in further shifting this limit, and in knowing its ultimate value. Here we present a way to create model systems suited to explore the ultimate density limit of magnetic information storage. Our example are Co islands self-assembled on a Au(788) surface. The islands are monodomain particles and have a density of 26 Tbits/in<sup>2</sup>. They are characterized by uniaxial out-of-plane magnetization, by the absence of dipolar interactions, and by unprecedentedly narrow magnetic anisotropy energy and moment distributions [30].

Figure 3a) shows an STM image of a Au(788) surface onto which 0.35 ML of Co have been deposited at 130 K with subsequent annealing to 300 K. The Au(788) surface is stable against faceting [31] due to the following reasons. The steps repel each other due to elastic substrate mediated interactions [32]. The sample is cut in such a way that the steps form dense {111}-facets, which are energetically favored with respect to the more open {100}-facets, which would be present on a pristine Au(877) surface. The {111}-faceted steps can be crossed perpendicularly [33] by the partial surface dislocations of the  $(\sqrt{3} \times 22)$ -reconstructed terraces [34]. Finally, elastic interactions align the reconstruction pattern from one terrace to the next. Altogether, this leads to a long range ordered lattice formed by the intersections of steps and reconstruction lines. These intersections are the nucleation sites for Co [31, 35], leading to a regular lattice of double layer high Co islands extending phase-coherently over the entire crystal, since on this surface steps do not destroy the coherence from terrace to terrace.

XMCD-measurements of the angular dependence of the orbital moment  $m_L$  reveal a common easy magnetization axis close to out-of-plane, tilted by 15° towards the ascending steps. Zero-field susceptibility measurements with MOKE as a function of temperature,  $\chi(T)$ , show the transition from the blocked to the superparamagnetic state to take place in a narrow temperature window with a width of 15 K. Comparison of STM-derived




FIG. 3: a) STM image showing Co bilayer islands self-assembled on Au(788) into a long-range ordered superlattice with a unit-cell of  $3.5 \text{ nm} \times 7.0 \text{ nm}$ , corresponding to a density of 26 Tera-islands/in<sup>2</sup> ( $\theta = 0.35 \text{ ML}$ ,  $T_{\text{dep}} = 130 \text{ K}$ ,  $T_{\text{ann}} = 300 \text{ K}$ ). b) The  $K$ -distribution inferred from MOKE is with  $\text{HWHM} = 17\%$  roughly two times more narrow than size-distribution having  $\text{HWHM} = 32\%$  ( $\theta = 0.75 \text{ ML}$  deposited in several steps, each time followed by annealing with temperatures as in a))

island size and perimeter length distributions with the  $\chi(T)$ -curve leads to an anisotropy energy per perimeter atom of  $k_p = 0.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ meV}$  and yields the distribution of island anisotropy energies  $K$  shown in Fig. 3b). The  $K$ -distribution has a  $\text{HWHM}_K$  of 17%. This value is almost a factor of two smaller than the one of the size of 32%. In fact this is expected since the anisotropy is largely given by the perimeter length, which in 2D has a distribution half as wide as the one of the size. The value of 17% is also less than half of the best result so far achieved for colloid particles [36]. The fact that our islands have a more narrow distribution of magnetic properties than the much more mono-disperse looking colloid particles can be rationalized by the fact that the magnetic moments of 2D lattices of colloid particles are not yet uni-axial, implying dipolar interactions. In addition, the competition between several causes of anisotropy, such as faceting, strain or shape anisotropy, may give rise to several easy axes per particle [37].

The value for the anisotropy energy per perimeter atom is in agreement with  $0.9 \pm 0.1$  meV obtained for Co/Pt(111) [12], and with the estimate of  $1.0 \pm 0.3$  meV derived from Ref. [38] for Co islands with comparable size on Au(111). We can compare the anisotropy of the orbital moment,  $m_{L,\parallel} - m_{L,\perp}$ , derived from XMCD, with the magneto-crystalline anisotropy  $k_{MC}$  per atom, independently derived from MOKE. Both quantities are predicted to be linked to each other by the relation [39]

$$k_{MC} = -\alpha \frac{\xi}{4\mu_B} (m_{L,\parallel} - m_{L,\perp}), \quad (3)$$

with the spin-orbit coupling constant  $\xi = 70$  meV for Co [40]. Since MOKE determines the total anisotropy per atom,  $k = k_{MC} + k_{shape}$ , we subtract the shape anisotropy  $k_{shape} = -0.08$  meV/atom, obtained assuming circular islands, and obtain  $k_{MC} = 0.45 \pm 0.04$  meV/atom. With  $m_{L,\parallel} - m_{L,\perp} = 0.11 \pm 0.01 \mu_B$  we find  $\alpha = 0.23 \pm 0.02$ , confirming previously reported estimates of 0.2 [41]. This result points out the direct connection between increased orbital moments of low coordinated atoms and their increased anisotropy energy.

Dipolar interactions between monodomain particles manifest themselves by a flatter than  $1/T$ -decrease of the  $\chi(T)$ -curve above  $T_b$  [42]. For the present system, we

find the slightly steeper than  $1/T$ -decrease, characterizing ensembles of non-interacting particles. The absence of dipolar interactions is further corroborated by a double peak in  $\chi(T)$  for a bimodal size distribution, showing that small islands can become superparamagnetic at their blocking temperature, independent of the larger ones which are still blocked and sit next by.

The Co particle superlattices created by self-assembly on a Au(788) surface have an unprecedented narrow anisotropy distribution, a common out-of-plane easy magnetization axis, and the absence of mutual magnetic interactions at a density record of 26 Tb/in<sup>2</sup>. Admittedly, one has to work on the blocking temperature, which is with 50 K too low. This can be done by adding more Co, for example by growing pillars in the third direction [43], which, according to our data, would still be non-interacting, or by using CoFe or CoPt alloys, which we currently pursue.

### Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges the contributions of his collaborators P. Gambardella, S. Rusponi, T. Cren, N. Weiss, M. Epple, P. Buluschek, and L. Claude to this work, as well as fruitful collaborations with S. Rousset, K. Kern, and C. Carbone.

- 
- [1] T. Miyazaki and N. Tezuka, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **139**, L231 (1995).
- [2] J. S. Moodera, L. R. Kinder, T. M. Wong, and R. Meservey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 3273 (1995).
- [3] J. Mathon and A. Umerski, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 220403 (2001).
- [4] X. G. Zhang and W. H. Butler, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 172407 (2004).
- [5] S. Yuasa, T. Nagahama, A. Fukushima, Y. Suzuki, and K. Ando, *Nat. Mater.* **3**, 868 (2004).
- [6] S. S. P. Parkin, C. Kaiser, A. Panchula, P. M. Rice, B. Hughes, M. Samant, and S. H. Yang, *Nat. Mater.* **3**, 862 (2004).
- [7] D. D. Djayaprawira, K. Tsunekawa, M. Nagai, H. Maehara, S. Yamagata, N. Watanabe, S. Yuasa, Y. Suzuki, and K. Ando, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **86**, 092502 (2005).
- [8] W. Wulfhchel, H. F. Ding, and J. Kirschner, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **242**, 47 (2002).
- [9] M. Jullière, *Phys. Lett. A* **54**, 225 (1975).
- [10] R. Meservey and P. M. Tedrow, *Phys. Rep.* **238**, 173 (1994).
- [11] S. Rusponi, N. Weiss, T. Cren, M. Epple, and H. Brune, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **87**, 162514 (2005).
- [12] S. Rusponi, T. Cren, N. Weiss, M. Epple, P. Buluschek, L. Claude, and H. Brune, *Nat. Mater.* **2**, 546-551 (2003).
- [13] T. Cren, S. Rusponi, N. Weiss, M. Epple, and H. Brune, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **108**, 14685 (2004).
- [14] P. Grütter and U. T. Dürig, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 2021 (1994).
- [15] E. Lundgren, B. Stanka, M. Schmid, and P. Varga, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 2843 (2000).
- [16] A. Kubetzka, M. Bode, O. Pietzsch, and R. Wiesendanger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 057201 (2002).
- [17] H. F. Ding, W. Wulfhchel, J. Henk, P. Bruno, and J. Kirschner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 116603 (2003).
- [18] R. J. Soulen, J. M. Byers, M. S. Osofsky, B. Nadgorny, T. Ambrose, C. F. Cheng, P. R. Broussard, C. T. Tanaka, J. Nowak, J. S. Moodera, et al., *Science* **282**, 85 (1998).
- [19] S. K. Upadhyay, A. Palanisami, R. N. Louie, and R. A. Buhrman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3247 (1998).
- [20] P. Gambardella, S. Rusponi, M. Veronese, S. S. Dhesi, C. Grazioli, A. Dallmeyer, I. Cabria, R. Zeller, P. H. Dederichs, K. Kern, et al., *Science* **300**, 1130 (2003).
- [21] H. Brune, in *Physics of Covered Solid Surfaces*, edited by H. P. Bonzel (Springer, Berlin, 2001), vol. 42 Subvolume A, Part 1 of *Landolt Börnstein New Series, Group III: Condensed Matter*, pp. 217–258.
- [22] M. F. Sykes and M. Glen, *J. Phys. A. Math. Gen.* **9**, 87 (1976).
- [23] H. Brune, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **31**, 121 (1998).
- [24] B. T. Thole, P. Carra, F. Sette, and G. v. d. Laan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1943-1946 (1992).
- [25] D. Weller and A. Moser, *IEEE Trans. Mag.* **35**, 4423 (1999).
- [26] P. Gambardella, A. Dallmeyer, K. Maiti, M. C. Malagoli, W. Eberhardt, K. Kern, and C. Carbone, *Nature* **416**, 301 (2002).
- [27] I. V. Solovyev, P. H. Dederichs, and I. Mertig, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 13419 (1995).
- [28] A. Mishra, W. Wernsdorfer, K. A. Abboud, and G. Christou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 15648 (2004).
- [29] A. J. Heinrich, J. A. Gupta, C. P. Lutz, and D. M. Eigler, *Science* **306**, 466 (2004).
- [30] N. Weiss, T. Cren, M. Epple, S. Rusponi, G. Baudot, V. Repain, A. Tejada, V. Repain, S. Rousset, P. Ohresser, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 157204 (2005).
- [31] V. Repain, G. Baudot, H. Ellmer, and S. Rousset, *Mat. Sci. Eng. B* **96**, 178 (2002).
- [32] G. Prévot and B. Croset, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 256104 (2004).
- [33] V. Repain, J. M. Berroir, S. Rousset, and J. Lecoer,

- Europhys. Lett. **47**, 435 (1999).
- [34] J. V. Barth, H. Brune, G. Ertl, and R. J. Behm, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 9307 (1990).
- [35] S. Rohart, G. Baudot, V. Repain, Y. Girard, S. Rousset, H. Bulou, C. Goyhenex, and L. Proville, Surf. Sci. **559**, 47 (2004).
- [36] S. I. Woods, J. R. Kirtley, S. Sun, and R. H. Koch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 137205 (2001).
- [37] G. A. Held, G. Grinstein, H. Doyle, S. Sun, and C. B. Murray, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 012408 (2001).
- [38] H. A. Dürr, S. S. Dhesi, E. Dudzik, D. Knabben, G. v. d. Laan, J. B. Goedkoop, and F. U. Hillebrecht, Phys. Rev. B **59**, R701 (1999).
- [39] P. Bruno, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 865 (1989).
- [40] T. Koide, H. Miyauchi, J. Okamoto, T. Shidara, A. Fujimori, H. Fukutani, K. Amemiya, H. Takeshita, S. Yuasa, T. Katayama, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 257201 (2001).
- [41] D. Weller, J. Stöhr, R. Nakajima, A. Carl, M. G. Samant, C. Chappert, R. Mégy, P. Beauvillain, P. Veillet, and G. A. Held, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3752 (1995).
- [42] R. W. Chantrell, N. Walmsley, J. Gore, and M. Maylin, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 024410 (2001).
- [43] O. Fruchart, M. Klaua, J. Barthel, and J. Kirschner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2769 (1999).