Probabilistic Graphical Models

Inference for Continuous-Variable Models

Volkan Cevher, Matthias Seeger Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

28/11/2011



Image: A matrix







Variational Bayesian Inference Relaxations

... as simple as possible, but not simpler.

Introduction

What do you mean with simple?



... as simple as possible, but not simpler.

Introduction

What do you mean with simple?



Classical (Gaussian)

- All specified elements
- Use each of them a little

... as simple as possible, but not simpler.

Introduction

What do you mean with simple?



Classical (Gaussian)	Sparsity
 All specified elements 	• As few elements as possible
Use each of them a little	 If at all, use them big

Sparsity: A Fundamental Concept



... as simple as possible, but not simpler.

Introduction

What do you mean with simple?



Classical (Gaussian)	Sparsity
 All specified elements 	• As few elements as possible
Use each of them very little	 If at all, use them big

Classical linear framework: Shapes the way we think

- Nyquist/Shannon limit. Point spread function
- Aliasing. Ringing. Signal-to-noise ratio
- Linear measurements? Linear reconstruction!

... as simple as possible, but not simpler.

Introduction

What do you mean with simple?



Classical (Gaussian)	Sparsity
 All specified elements 	• As few elements as possible
Use each of them very little	 If at all, use them big

- Sparsity: A concept as basic as classical linear reconstruction
- Profound implications for how we (should) think about modelling, reconstruction, acquisition of real-world signals

Many Faces of Sparsity

- Image modelling
 - Processing
 - Reconstruction
 - Acquisition (sampling)
 - Computational neuroscience



< A

Many Faces of Sparsity

- Image modelling
 - Processing
 - Reconstruction
 - Acquisition (sampling)
 - Computational neuroscience
- Relaxation of combinatorial optimization
 - Maximally sparse reconstruction



Many Faces of Sparsity

- Image modelling
 - Processing
 - Reconstruction
 - Acquisition (sampling)
 - Computational neuroscience
- Relaxation of combinatorial optimization
 - Maximally sparse reconstruction
- Learning dependency structure
 - Meinshausen, Buehlmann
 - Graphical Lasso



Many Faces of Sparsity

- Image modelling
 - Processing
 - Reconstruction
 - Acquisition (sampling)
 - Computational neuroscience
- Relaxation of combinatorial optimization
 - Maximally sparse reconstruction
- Learning dependency structure
 - Meinshausen, Buehlmann
 - Graphical Lasso
- Sparse coding
 - Olshausen, Field
 - Learning image priors



Image Reconstruction



Reconstruction is Ambiguous



Least Squares Estimation



Least Squares Estimation (Linear Model)

$$\boldsymbol{u}_* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{u}\|^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2 \text{ small}$$

Least Squares Estimation



Least Squares Estimation (Linear Model)

- Simple. Fast. Well understood
- Arbitrary decision (why squares?)

(EPFL)

Image Statistics

Whatever images are ...

they are not Gaussian!



- Small noisy steps
- Gaussian random walker through pixel-land

(EPFL)

Image Statistics

Whatever images are ...

they are not Gaussian!



- Small noisy steps
- Gaussian random walker through pixel-land

Tiptoeing, edge jumping

< 47 ▶

Gaussian won't do

(EPFL)

Graphical Models

28/11/2011 10/30

Image Statistics

Whatever images are ...

they are not Gaussian!

• Spatial smoothness: Image gradient super-Gaussian, sparse



Capture image properties in prior distribution P(u)

Sparsity Priors



Best of Both Worlds

$$P(\boldsymbol{u}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{q} t_i(s_i), \quad \boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{u}, \quad t_i(s_i) = e^{-\tau_i |s_i|^2/2}$$



• • • • • • • • • • • •

Best of Both Worlds

$$P(\boldsymbol{u}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{q} t_i(\boldsymbol{s}_i), \quad \boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{u}, \quad t_i(\boldsymbol{s}_i) = \boldsymbol{e}^{-\tau_i|\boldsymbol{s}_i|}$$

Gaussian Prior $P(\boldsymbol{u})$	Sparsity Prior <i>P</i> (<i>u</i>)	
Simple. FastWell understood	 Better prior for real-world signals (images) 	

Best of Both Worlds

$$P(\boldsymbol{u}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{q} t_i(\boldsymbol{s}_i), \quad \boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{u}, \quad \boldsymbol{t}_i(\boldsymbol{s}_i) = \boldsymbol{e}^{-\tau_i|\boldsymbol{s}_i|}$$

Gaussian Prior $P(\boldsymbol{u})$	Sparsity Prior <i>P</i> (<i>u</i>)	
Simple. FastWell understood	 Better prior for real-world signals (images) 	

Latent Gaussian Representations

- Gaussian scale mixtures
- Super-Gaussian potentials

$$\begin{split} t_i(\boldsymbol{s}_i) &= \int_{\gamma_i \geq 0} \boldsymbol{e}^{-|\boldsymbol{s}_i|^2/(2\gamma_i)} f_i(\gamma_i) \, \boldsymbol{d}\gamma_i \\ t_i(\boldsymbol{s}_i) &= \max_{\gamma_i \geq 0} \boldsymbol{e}^{-|\boldsymbol{s}_i|^2/(2\gamma_i)} g_i(\gamma_i) \end{split}$$

• Mixture of Gaussians? K-means, EM,

$$P(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j N(X|\mu_j, \sigma^2)$$

 $t_i(s_i)$ unimodal: Means are not the issue

• Mixture of Gaussians? K-means, EM,

$$P(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j N(X|\mu_j, \sigma^2)$$

 $t_i(s_i)$ unimodal: Means are not the issue

- What makes $t_i(s_i)$ non-Gaussian:
 - More mass close to origin
 - More mass in tails (far from origin)
 - Less mass at moderate distance
 - \Rightarrow Mass at different scales



• Mixture of Gaussians? K-means, EM,

$$P(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j N(X|\mu_j, \sigma^2)$$

 $t_i(s_i)$ unimodal: Means are not the issue

- What makes $t_i(s_i)$ non-Gaussian:
 - More mass close to origin
 - More mass in tails (far from origin)
 - Less mass at moderate distance
 - \Rightarrow Mass at different scales
- Why not mix over the scales?



Gaussian Scale Mixtures

$X = \sqrt{\gamma} Y$: $Y \sim N(0, 1), \gamma \sim f(\gamma) I_{\{\gamma \ge 0\}}$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

$$X = \sqrt{\gamma} Y$$
: $Y \sim N(0, 1), \, \gamma \sim f(\gamma) \mathrm{I}_{\{\gamma \geq 0\}}$

• Many distributions you know are scale mixtures



 $P(X) = N(X|0,\gamma)$

$$X=\sqrt{\gamma}\,Y$$
: $Y\sim N(0,1),\,\gamma\sim f(\gamma)\mathrm{I}_{\{\gamma\geq 0\}}$

• Many distributions you know are scale mixtures

• Gaussian [:-)]. Spike and slab



$$P(X) = \pi N(X|0,\gamma_1) + (1-\pi)N(X|0,\gamma_2), \quad \gamma_1 \ll \gamma_2$$

$$X=\sqrt{\gamma} Y$$
: $Y\sim N(0,1),\, \gamma\sim f(\gamma) \mathrm{I}_{\{\gamma\geq 0\}}$

• Many distributions you know are scale mixtures

- Gaussian [:-)]. Spike and slab
- Exponential power ($\alpha \leq$ 2)



$$P(X) \propto e^{-\tau |X|^{lpha}}, \quad lpha \in (0, 2], \ \tau > 0$$

$$X=\sqrt{\gamma} Y$$
: $Y\sim N(0,1),\, \gamma\sim f(\gamma) \mathrm{I}_{\{\gamma\geq 0\}}$

• Many distributions you know are scale mixtures

- Gaussian [:-)]. Spike and slab
- Exponential power ($\alpha \leq$ 2)
- Student's t



$$P(X) \propto (1 + (\tau/
u)|X|^2)^{-(
u+1)/2}, \quad au,
u > 0$$

28/11/2011 15/30

Gaussian Scale Mixtures

$$X=\sqrt{\gamma}\,Y:\,Y\sim {\it N}(0,1),\,\gamma\sim f(\gamma){
m I}_{\{\gamma\geq 0\}}$$

• Many distributions you know are scale mixtures

- Gaussian [:-)]. Spike and slab
- Exponential power ($\alpha \leq$ 2)
- Student's t



• Duality between P(X) and $f(\gamma)$

West, Biometrika 87

• For the Laplace:

$$egin{aligned} &rac{ au}{2}e^{- au|s|} = \mathrm{E}[N(|s||0,\gamma)], \quad \gamma \sim (au^2/2)e^{-(au^2/2)\gamma} \ &= \int_{\gamma \geq 0} N(s|0,\gamma) f(\gamma) \, d\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Super-Gaussian Potentials

$$t(s) = \max_{\gamma \ge 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} g(\gamma)$$



イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Sparsity. Super-Gaussianity Super-Gaussian Potentials

$$t(s) = \max_{\gamma \geq 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} g(\gamma)$$



- t(s) even and positive: Let's look at $|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$
- What's that for a Gaussian $t(s) = N(|s||0, \sigma^2)$?

F7

Sparsity. Super-Gaussianity Super-Gaussian Potentials

$$t(s) = \max_{\gamma \geq 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} g(\gamma)$$



- t(s) even and positive: Let's look at $|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$
- What's that for a Gaussian $t(s) = N(|s||0, \sigma^2)$? A linear (affine) function





(EPFL)

$$t(s) = \max_{\gamma \geq 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} g(\gamma)$$

$$|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$$
 is convex

 Affine → convex: Shift mass to center and tails


Sparsity. Super-Gaussianity Super-Gaussian Potentials

$$t(s) = \max_{\gamma \geq 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} g(\gamma)$$

$$|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$$
 is convex

- Affine → convex: Shift mass to center and tails
- Scale mixtures are super-Gaussian



(EPFL)

Scale Mixtures are Super-Gaussian

Gaussian scale mixture : $t(s) = \int_{\geq 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} f(\gamma) d\gamma$

• t(s) even and positive: $x := |s|^2 \Rightarrow t(s) = e^{g(x)}$

F9

Scale Mixtures are Super-Gaussian

Gaussian scale mixture : $t(s) = \int_{\geq 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} f(\gamma) d\gamma$

- t(s) even and positive: $x := |s|^2 \Rightarrow t(s) = e^{g(x)}$
- Super-Gaussian? $|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$ is convex. Show that g(x) is convex

Scale Mixtures are Super-Gaussian

Gaussian scale mixture :
$$t(s) = \int_{\geq 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} f(\gamma) \, d\gamma$$

•
$$t(s)$$
 even and positive:
 $x := |s|^2 \Rightarrow t(s) = e^{g(x)}$

- Super-Gaussian? $|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$ is convex. Show that g(x) is convex
- Log-convexity: Closed under summation

Boyd, Vandenberghe, 2002

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\gamma) \text{ convex } \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log \int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{\psi(\mathbf{x},\gamma)} \, d\gamma \, \text{ convex}$$

Scale Mixtures are Super-Gaussian

Gaussian scale mixture : $t(s) = \int_{\geq 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} f(\gamma) d\gamma$

•
$$t(s)$$
 even and positive:
 $x := |s|^2 \Rightarrow t(s) = e^{g(x)}$

- Super-Gaussian? $|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$ is convex. Show that g(x) is convex
- Log-convexity: Closed under summation

Boyd, Vandenberghe, 2002

$$\psi(x,\gamma) \text{ convex } \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log \int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{\psi(x,\gamma)} \, d\gamma \, \text{ convex}$$

• Apply to g(x):

$$g(x) = \log \int_{\geq 0} e^{-x/(2\gamma)} f(\gamma) \, d\gamma = \log \int_{\geq 0} e^{-x/(2\gamma) + \log f(\gamma)} \, d\gamma$$

$$t_i(s_i) = \max_{\gamma_i \geq 0} e^{-|s_i|^2/(2\gamma_i)} g_i(\gamma_i)$$

- $t_i(s_i)$ depends on absolute value $|s_i|$ only
- Can just as well plug in vector norm $\|\boldsymbol{s}_i\|$

$$t(oldsymbol{s}_i) = \max_{\gamma_i \geq 0} e^{-\|oldsymbol{s}_i\|^2/(2\gamma_i)} g_i(\gamma_i)$$

- $t_i(s_i)$ depends on absolute value $|s_i|$ only
- Can just as well plug in vector norm $\|\boldsymbol{s}_i\|$
- Useful for complex values: $|s_i| = \|(\Re s_i, \Im s_i)^T\|$

$$t(oldsymbol{s}_i) = \max_{\gamma_i \geq 0} e^{-\|oldsymbol{s}_i\|^2/(2\gamma_i)} g_i(\gamma_i)$$

- t_i(s_i) depends on absolute value |s_i| only
- Can just as well plug in vector norm ||s_i||
- Useful for complex values: $|s_i| = ||(\Re s_i, \Im s_i)^T||$
- Useful to structure sparsity: Joint penalization of groups $\Rightarrow \ell_1 \ell_2$ norms, group Lasso, and all that ...

$$t(oldsymbol{s}_i) = \max_{\gamma_i \geq 0} e^{-\|oldsymbol{s}_i\|^2/(2\gamma_i)} g_i(\gamma_i)$$

- t_i(s_i) depends on absolute value |s_i| only
- Can just as well plug in vector norm ||s_i||
- Useful for complex values: $|s_i| = ||(\Re s_i, \Im s_i)^T||$
- Useful to structure sparsity: Joint penalization of groups $\Rightarrow \ell_1 \ell_2$ norms, group Lasso, and all that ...
- Latent Gaussian representations: Just parameter tying $e^{-\|\boldsymbol{s}_i\|^2/(2\gamma_i)} \propto N(\boldsymbol{s}_i|\boldsymbol{0},\gamma_i\boldsymbol{1})$

$$t(\boldsymbol{s}_i) = \max_{\gamma_i \geq 0} e^{-\|\boldsymbol{s}_i\|^2/(2\gamma_i)} g_i(\gamma_i)$$

- t_i(s_i) depends on absolute value |s_i| only
- Can just as well plug in vector norm ||s_i||
- Useful for complex values: $|s_i| = ||(\Re s_i, \Im s_i)^T||$
- Useful to structure sparsity: Joint penalization of groups $\Rightarrow \ell_1 \ell_2$ norms, group Lasso, and all that ...
- Latent Gaussian representations: Just parameter tying $e^{-\|\boldsymbol{s}_i\|^2/(2\gamma_i)} \propto N(\boldsymbol{s}_i|\boldsymbol{0},\gamma_i\boldsymbol{1})$

Sparsity vs. Super-Gaussianity

Sparse s

• Many/most $s_i = 0$				
		•••• •••••••		

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Sparsity vs. Super-Gaussianity



< <p>A < </p>

Sparsity vs. Super-Gaussianity



 P(s) super-Gaussian: s ~ P(s) no zeros in general (only if P(s) degenerate)

Where Are We?

- Real-world signals are not Gaussian.
 Gaussian assumptions made for convenience only.
- Super-Gaussian distributions: Trade-off between realistic and tractable/simple
- Latent Gaussian representations:
 - Gaussian scale mixtures
 - Super-Gaussian potentials
- Group potentials: Simple way to structure sparsity
- "Sparse" may mean super-Gaussian

$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = Z^{-1}P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u})\prod_{i}t_{i}(s_{i}), \ Z = \int P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u})\prod_{i}t_{i}(s_{i})\,d\boldsymbol{u}$$

• Bayesian integration over $P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$ intractable

< 47 ▶

$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = Z^{-1}P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u})\prod_{i}t_{i}(s_{i}), \ Z = \int P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u})\prod_{i}t_{i}(s_{i})\,d\boldsymbol{u}$$

- Bayesian integration over $P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$ intractable
- Integration tractable for Gaussians $Q(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$
 - \Rightarrow Approximate $P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$ by $Q(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})!$

$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = Z^{-1}P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u})\prod_{i}t_{i}(s_{i}), \ \boldsymbol{Z} = \int P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u})\prod_{i}t_{i}(s_{i})\,d\boldsymbol{u}$$

- Bayesian integration over $P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$ intractable
- Integration tractable for Gaussians $Q(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$
 - \Rightarrow Approximate $P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$ by $Q(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})!$

Variational approximation

Apply variational principle to fit master function $\log Z$

$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = Z^{-1}P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u})\prod_{i}t_{i}(s_{i}), \ Z = \int P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u})\prod_{i}t_{i}(s_{i})\,d\boldsymbol{u}$$

- Bayesian integration over $P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$ intractable
- Integration tractable for Gaussians Q(u|y)
 ⇒ Approximate P(u|y) by Q(u|y)!

Variational approximation

Apply variational principle to fit master function $\log Z$

- Super-Gaussian bounding
- Expectation propagation
- Variational mean field Bayes [not here]
- Gaussian KL minimization [not here]

Super-Gaussian Potentials

$$t(s) = \max_{\gamma \ge 0} e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)} e^{-h(\gamma)/2}$$



- t(s) even and positive: Let's look at $|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$
- What's that for a Gaussian $t(s) = N(|s||0, \sigma^2)$? A linear (affine) function





(EPFL)

Super-Gaussian Potentials

$$t(s)=\max_{\gamma\geq 0}e^{-|s|^2/(2\gamma)}e^{-h(\gamma)/2}$$



Sparsity potentials are super-Gaussian

$$|s|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t(s)$$
 is convex

•
$$t(s) = \max_{\gamma \ge 0} \dots$$
 Why?



Variational Bayesian Inference Relaxations Convex (Fenchel) Duality

Super-Gaussian: t(s) even, $|s|^2 \mapsto \log t(s)$ convex.

Convex function: Maximum of its affine lower bounds Super-Gaussian function: Maximum of its Gaussian lower bounds

Convex (Fenchel) Duality



Convex (Fenchel) Duality

Super-Gaussian: t(s) even, $|s|^2 \mapsto \log t(s)$ convex.



(EPFL)

Convex (Fenchel) Duality



Convex (Fenchel) Duality



Convex (Fenchel) Duality



Convex (Fenchel) Duality



Super-Gaussian Potentials



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) \times P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

Sparsity potentials are super-Gaussian

 $|s_i|^2 \mapsto 2 \log t_i(s_i)$ is convex

Convex (Fenchel) duality

$$2\log t_i(s_i) = \max_{\pi_i} |s_i|^2 \pi_i - f^*(\pi_i)$$



Super-Gaussian Potentials



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) \times P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

Sparsity potentials are super-Gaussian

$$t_i(s_i) = \max_{\gamma_i \geq 0} e^{-|s_i|^2/(2\gamma_i) - h_i(\gamma_i)/2}$$



э.

Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) \times P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

Sparsity potentials are super-Gaussian

$$t_i(s_i) = \max_{\gamma_i \ge 0} e^{-|s_i|^2/(2\gamma_i) - h_i(\gamma_i)/2},$$

 $h(\gamma) := \sum_i h_i(\gamma_i), \ \Gamma = \operatorname{diag} \gamma$



Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) imes P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

Exact representation

$$\log Z = \log \int P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{u}) \max_{\gamma} e^{-(\mathbf{s}^{H} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{s} + h(\gamma))/2} d\mathbf{u}$$



 $t_i(s_i) = \ \max_{\gamma_i \ge 0} e^{-|s_i|^2/(2\gamma_i) - h_i(\gamma_i)/2}$

< A

Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) \times P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

Lower bound

$$\log Z$$

$$= \log \int P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) \max_{\gamma} e^{-(\boldsymbol{s}^{H}\Gamma^{-1}\boldsymbol{s}+h(\gamma))/2} d\boldsymbol{u}$$

$$\geq \max_{\gamma} \log \int P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) e^{-(\boldsymbol{s}^{H}\Gamma^{-1}\boldsymbol{s}+h(\gamma))/2} d\boldsymbol{u}$$



 $t_i(s_i) =$ $\max_{\gamma_i \ge 0} e^{-|s_i|^2/(2\gamma_i) - h_i(\gamma_i)/2}$

A (1) > A (2) > A

Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) \times P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

Lower bound

$$\log Z$$

$$\geq \max_{\gamma} \log \int P(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{u}) e^{-(\mathbf{s}^{H} \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{s} + h(\gamma))/2} d\mathbf{u}$$

$$= \max_{\gamma} \log Z_{Q}(\gamma) - h(\gamma)/2$$

Gaussian approximation

$$Q(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = Z_Q^{-1} P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) e^{-\boldsymbol{s}^H \Gamma^{-1} \boldsymbol{s}/2}, \ \boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{u}$$



 $t_i(s_i) = \ \max_{\gamma_i \ge 0} e^{-|s_i|^2/(2\gamma_i) - h_i(\gamma_i)/2}$

Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) imes P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

Variational problem: $Q(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) \approx P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$

$$\min_{\gamma} \left\{ \phi(\gamma) = -2 \log Z_Q + h(\gamma) \right\}$$

Gaussian approximation

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(oldsymbol{u}|oldsymbol{y}) &= Z_Q^{-1} \mathcal{P}(oldsymbol{y}|oldsymbol{u}) e^{-oldsymbol{s}^H \Gamma^{-1} oldsymbol{s}/2}, \ oldsymbol{s} &= oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{u}, \ Z_Q &= \int \mathcal{P}(oldsymbol{y}|oldsymbol{u}) e^{-oldsymbol{s}^H \Gamma^{-1} oldsymbol{s}/2} \, doldsymbol{u} \end{aligned}$$



 $t_i(s_i) = \ \max_{\gamma_i \ge 0} e^{-|s_i|^2/(2\gamma_i) - h_i(\gamma_i)/2}$

- E - N

Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(oldsymbol{u}|oldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(oldsymbol{y}|oldsymbol{u}) imes P(oldsymbol{u})}{P(oldsymbol{y})}$$

What did we do?

Start with tight single potential bounds: t_i(s_i) = max_{γi≥0}...
 ⇒ Auxiliary variables γ ≿ 0

Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) imes P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

What did we do?

- Start with tight single potential bounds: t_i(s_i) = max_{γi≥0}...
 ⇒ Auxiliary variables γ ≿ 0
- Plug into target function log Z. Interchange $\int \dots d\mathbf{u} \leftrightarrow \max_{\gamma} \Rightarrow$ Global lower bound on log Z
Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) imes P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

What did we do?

- Start with tight single potential bounds: t_i(s_i) = max_{γi≥0}...
 ⇒ Auxiliary variables γ ≿ 0
- Plug into target function log Z. Interchange $\int \dots d\mathbf{u} \leftrightarrow \max_{\gamma} \Rightarrow$ Global lower bound on log Z
- Lower bounds are log partition functions of Gaussians Q(u|y)
 ⇒ Approximation family Q = {Q(u|y)}

Super-Gaussian Bounding



$$P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) = rac{P(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{u}) imes P(\boldsymbol{u})}{P(\boldsymbol{y})}$$

What did we do?

- Start with tight single potential bounds: t_i(s_i) = max_{γi≥0}...
 ⇒ Auxiliary variables γ ≿ 0
- Plug into target function log Z. Interchange $\int \dots d\mathbf{u} \leftrightarrow \max_{\gamma} \Rightarrow$ Global lower bound on log Z
- Lower bounds are log partition functions of Gaussians Q(u|y)
 ⇒ Approximation family Q = {Q(u|y)}
- Divergence $Q(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y}) \leftrightarrow P(\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{y})$? Maximize lower bound! $\Rightarrow \phi(\gamma) = -2 \log Z_Q + h(\gamma)$

MAP Estimation and Variational Inference



28/11/2011 29/30

Coordinate Descent Algorithm

• Simple algorithm: Update single variables γ_j

repeat

for $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ do

Update γ_i , based on marginal $Q(s_i | \mathbf{y})$

Gaussian propagation of pseudo-evidence change

end for

Refresh representation

until convergence

Coordinate Descent Algorithm

• Simple algorithm: Update single variables γ_j

repeat

for $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ do

Update γ_i , based on marginal $Q(s_i | \mathbf{y})$

Gaussian propagation of pseudo-evidence change

end for

Refresh representation

until convergence

Representation of Q(u|y): Backbone for Gaussian propagation.
 Moderate size problems: Cholesky representation Seeger, JMLR 2008

Coordinate Descent Algorithm

• Simple algorithm: Update single variables γ_j

repeat

for $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ do

Update γ_i , based on marginal $Q(s_i | \mathbf{y})$

Gaussian propagation of pseudo-evidence change

end for

Refresh representation

until convergence

- Representation of Q(u|y): Backbone for Gaussian propagation.
 Moderate size problems: Cholesky representation Seeger, JMLR 2008
- Large scale problems? This algorithm is not scalable. Can do much better