

# Elementary $\infty$ -Topos Theory: Constructing Coproducts in locally Cartesian closed $\infty$ -Categories

Nima Rasekh  
joint with Jonas Frey

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne



January 20th, 2022

# What am I doing here?

## Slogan

I am a homotopy theorist who wants a working foundation for homotopical mathematics!

# How to do Foundations in non-homotopical math

Non-Homotopists have so many choices:

- 1 Set theories
- 2 Type theories
- 3 Elementary toposes

You can choose your axioms and then do your math.

# Menu of Axioms

- 1 If we have a weakly inaccessible cardinal then ...
- 2 If the law of excluded middle holds then ...
- 3 If the axiom of choice holds then ...
- 4 If the continuum hypothesis holds then ...

# Homotopy type theory as a Foundation

Homotopy type theory and univalent foundations is one elegant example of such possible foundation! It gives us model-independence of results such as

- 1 Loop space of the circle is the free group on one generator
- 2 Blakers-Massey
- 3 Hurewicz theorem
- 4 ...

# Elementary $\infty$ -Topos Theory

Another possible foundation,

- 1 Closely (expected to be) related to type theory
- 2 Much less understood!
- 3 I want to know more about them!

## History

- Generalize non-Grothendieck 1-toposes
  - Giraud
  - coalgebras
- work out n-truncations non-syntactically
  - understand groupoid objects
  - image of HoTT in  $\infty$ -categories through contextual cats
    - preimage of elementary  $\infty$ -toposes in contextual cats
  - homotopy-theoretic consequences of descent
- - constructing coproducts using Yoneda
  - equivalence between descent & Giraud axioms
  - natural numbers via  $\pi_1(S^1)$
  - logical functors: what should they be?

Figure: Chris Kapulkin on 6/5/2017 in Snowbird at MRC.

# We have some answers

- 1 Examples: *Filter Quotients and Non-Presentable  $(\infty, 1)$ -Toposes*
- 2 N-Truncations: *An Elementary Approach to Truncations*
- 3 Coproducts: *Constructing Coproducts in locally Cartesian closed  $\infty$ -Categories*
- 4 Natural number objects: *Every Elementary Higher Topos has a Natural Number Object*
- 5 Logical functors: *A Theory of Elementary Higher Toposes*

The work on finite coproducts started with Jonas Frey on that date!



# Let's Start: $\infty$ -Categories

Our goal is to construct colimits in certain  $\infty$ -categories. What are  $\infty$ -categories?

- 1 If you know: **quasi-categories** (but any biequivalent  $\infty$ -cosmos works).
- 2 If you don't know: A type of category weakly enriched over spaces, where all standard notions of category theory exist.

# What's up with topos theory and colimits?

Why do we expect to be able to construct coproducts in certain  $\infty$ -categories?

# What's up with topos theory and colimits?

Why do we expect to be able to construct coproducts in certain  $\infty$ -categories?

Answer

Because of existing results in elementary topos theory!

# Subobject classifier

$\mathcal{C}$  a finitely complete  $\infty$ -category. A subobject classifier is ...

- An object  $\Omega$
- A mono  $t : 1 \rightarrow \Omega$

such that pulling back  $t$  gives us a bijection

$$\text{Sub}(\underline{-}) \cong \text{Map}(\underline{-}, \Omega).$$

# Elementary Toposes and Finite Colimits

Originally elementary toposes were defined as locally Cartesian closed categories with finite colimits and subobject classifier.

# Elementary Toposes and Finite Colimits

Originally elementary toposes were defined as locally Cartesian closed categories with finite colimits and subobject classifier.

However, we have:

**Theorem (Paré, Mikkelsen)**

*Every **locally Cartesian closed** 1-category with **subobject classifier** has finite colimits.*

So, the assumption of finite colimits in an elementary topos is redundant.

# The $\infty$ -Version

Can we prove (or disprove) the  $\infty$ -categorical analogue?

## Conjecture

Every locally Cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category with subobject classifier has finite colimits.

# How does the 1-Categorical Proof Work?

The proof by Paré is a one package deal. It consists of showing that the functor

$$\Omega^{(-)} : \mathcal{E}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

is monadic and so  $\mathcal{E}^{op}$  has finite limits (as  $\mathcal{E}$  has them) and so  $\mathcal{E}$  has finite colimits.



# How does the 1-Categorical Proof Work?

The proof by Paré is a one package deal. It consists of showing that the functor

$$\Omega^{(-)} : \mathcal{E}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

is monadic and so  $\mathcal{E}^{op}$  has finite limits (as  $\mathcal{E}$  has them) and so  $\mathcal{E}$  has finite colimits.

Not even monadic for spaces! In fact not even conservative for 1-types:

$$\Omega^{S^1} \cong \{0, 1\}^{S^1} \cong \{0, 1\}$$

# How does the 1-Categorical Proof Work? (Second Try)

The proof attributed to Mikkelsen is more adhoc:

- 1 First we realize  $\text{Hom}(1, \Omega^A) \cong \text{Sub}(A)$  is a Heyting algebra!
- 2 The initial object is the minimal subobject
- 3 The coproduct of  $A$  and  $B$  is given as the join inside  $\Omega^A \times \Omega^B$ .
- 4 Coequalizers are constructed analogously via equivalence relations.

We want to generalize these steps to the  $\infty$ -setting!

# Subobjects

First of all even in an  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Sub}(-)$  is a partially ordered **set** (0-type). So using similar ideas we have:

## Theorem (Frey - R.)

*Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally Cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category with subobject classifier. Then  $\text{Sub}(A)$  has finite joins.*

## Initial Objects I

The argument for initial objects in the  $\infty$ -setting is roughly similar

- 1  $\Omega$  is a Heyting algebra and so has an initial object  $I$ . This means  $\text{Sub}(I)$  is trivial.
- 2 **Homotopy type theory fact:** We have a functor

$$\text{isContr} : \mathcal{C}/X \rightarrow \text{Sub}(X),$$

which takes a map to the maximal subobject if and only if it is an equivalence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{isContr}(A) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow \text{mono} & \\
 A \times_X A & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & A & \longrightarrow & X
 \end{array}$$

# Initial Objects II

- 3 By (2), for an object  $X$ ,  $\text{Sub}(X)$  is trivial if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{/X}$  is trivial. So by (1) all of  $\mathcal{C}_{/I}$  is trivial.
- 4 Finally,  $X^I$  is the pushforward of the equivalence  $X \times I \rightarrow I$  along  $I \rightarrow 1$  and so is terminal, meaning

$$\underline{\text{Map}(I, X)} \simeq \text{Map}(1, X^I)$$

is a contractible space.

# Disjoint Subobjects in 1-Categories

Why does the Mikkelsen coproduct argument work? In an elementary topos we have

- ①  $A \rightarrow \Omega^A$  is a subobject!
- ②  $f : 1 \rightarrow \Omega^A$  is a disjoint point!

As a result, we have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \emptyset & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 A & \longrightarrow & \Omega^A
 \end{array}
 \times
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \emptyset & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^B
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \emptyset & \longrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 A & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{A+B}
 \end{array}
 \times
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \emptyset & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^B
 \end{array}$$

# Disjoint Subobjects in $\infty$ -Categories

This argument generalizes:

## Lemma

*Let  $A, B$  be two objects in a locally Cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category with subobject classifier and assume an object  $C$  exists such that  $A \hookrightarrow C \leftarrow B$ . Then the join in  $\text{Sub}(C)$  is the coproduct of  $A$  and  $B$ .*

# Proof 1



# Proof 2

# How to find the right Superobject?

All that is left to construct coproducts is to show every object has a superobject with disjoint point!  $A \rightarrow \Omega^A$  is not mono (unless  $A$  is 0-truncated) so that doesn't work. Here is the idea that we came up with:

.

# How about coequalizers?

Coequalizers fail.

## Example

The  $\infty$ -category of eventually truncated spaces is locally Cartesian closed and has a subobject classifier  $\{0, 1\}$ , but the suspension of (for example)  $S^1$  does not exist.

## Example (Anel)

Truncated coherent spaces are locally Cartesian closed and have a subobject classifier  $\{0, 1\}$ , but also do not have coequalizers, as the suspension of  $S^0$  does not exist.

# The corrected conjecture

## Theorem (Frey - R.)

*Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally Cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category with subobject classifier. Then it has a strict initial object and disjoint universal finite coproducts. However, there are examples where it does not have coequalizers.*

# How do we get finite colimits?

The next naive conjecture goes along the following lines:

## Conjecture

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a locally Cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category with subobject classifier and sufficient univalent universes. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  has finite colimits.

The conditions are (more or less) the current definitions of an elementary  $\infty$ -topos, so that would match well with the results in the elementary topos world, justifying the additional assumption.

# The End!

Questions?

- **Source:** Constructing Coproducts in locally Cartesian closed  $\infty$ -Categories, arXiv:2108.11304
- **E-Mail:** nima.rasekh@epfl.ch

**Thank You!**