# Homology of the real projective plane 

April 14, 2019

This is essentially a solution for exercise 2 of set 6 in which one was supposed to find a(n abstract) simplicial complex $K$ whose realization is homeomorphic to the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ and compute its simplicial homology using a Mayer-Vietoris sequence. For the sake of clarity, this document is more detailed than what would be expected from a submission.

In the following, we freely use some usual conventions and abuses of notation such as representing abstract simplicial complexes and their labelings with pictures, using the alphabetical ordering of the vertices for homology calculations, writing $v$ instead of $\{v\}$ for a 0 -simplex etc.

Fixing possible sign issues is left as an exercise to the reader.

## The complex and the decomposition

Here is a possible way to realize $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ as a simplicial complex: ${ }^{1}$


To use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we want to write $K$ as the union of two subcomplexes whose homology (and that of their intersection) we know well (or can compute easily). One of the many possibilities to do this is as follows:

[^0]

## First subcomplex

Note that $K_{1}$ is a representation of the Möbius strip. You may have seen that its homology is isomorphic to that of $\partial \Delta^{2}$, but we will compute it to have another demonstration of how to use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and because it will be important to know an explicit generator of $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \cong H_{1}\left(\partial \Delta^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Here is the decomposition of $K_{1}$ that we will use to compute its homology:

Note that $K_{1,1}$ is the union of two copies of $\Delta^{2}$ whose intersection is isomorphic to $\Delta^{1}$. Thus it is acyclic as the union of two acyclic subcomplexes whose intersection is also acyclic.

Doing the identifications given by the labeling, we see that $K_{1,2}$ can be written as the union of two copies of $K_{1,1}$ whose intersection is isomorphic to $\Delta^{1}$ :


Hence $K_{1,2}$ is also acyclic.
The intersection $K_{1,1} \cap K_{1,2}$ consists of two disjoint copies of $\Delta^{1}$ (namely those spanned by $\{g, j\}$ and $\{h, i\})$. Thus $H_{n}\left(K_{1,1} \cap K_{1,2}\right) \cong 0$ for $n>0$ and $H_{0}\left(K_{1,1} \cap K_{1,2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is a free abelian group on the generators [g] and [ $h$ ].

We can now compute the homology of $K_{1}$. First we note that $K_{1}$ is connected, so $H_{0}\left(K_{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (which can also be seen from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence).

To calculate $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$, we have a look at the corresponding segment of the MayerVietoris sequence:

$$
0 \cong H_{1}\left(K_{1,1}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(K_{1,2}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} H_{0}\left(K_{1,1} \cap K_{1,2}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{0}} H_{0}\left(K_{1,1}\right) \oplus H_{0}\left(K_{1,2}\right) .
$$

This means that $\partial_{1}$ is injective and thus an isomorphism onto its image im $\partial_{1}=\operatorname{ker} \phi_{0}$.
To determine ker $\phi_{0}$, we note that $[h]=[g]$ in $H_{0}\left(K_{1,1}\right)$ and $H_{0}\left(K_{1,2}\right)$, so

$$
\phi_{0}(m[g]+n[h])=((m+n)[g],-(m+n)[g]) \in H_{0}\left(K_{1,1}\right) \oplus H_{0}\left(K_{1,2}\right)
$$

which is zero if and only if $m=-n$. Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{ker} \phi_{0}=\{-k[g]+k[h] \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\mathbb{Z} \cdot([h]-[g]) \subseteq H_{0}\left(K_{1,1} \cap K_{1,2}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \cdot[g] \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot[h] .
$$

Thus $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the preimage of $[h]-[g]$ under $\partial_{1}$ is a generator.
Intuitively speaking, this preimage is represented by two sequences of edges connecting $g$ and $h$ in $K_{1,1}$ resp. $K_{1,2}$ such that their union is a cycle in $K_{1}$. An example of this would be taking $(\{g, h\})$ in $K_{1,1}$ and $(\{f, g\},\{e, f\},\{e, h\})$ in $K_{1,2}$, which would yield the generator $[\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, f\}] \in H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$ after choosing appropriate signs.
In order to be more precise about this, we have to recall how $\partial_{1}$ is defined using the following diagram:


Namely, given a 1 -cycle $\eta$ in $K_{1}$, one lifts $\eta$ along $\varrho_{1}$, checks that the image of the lift under $d_{1}^{K_{1,1}} \oplus d_{1}^{K_{1,2}}$ comes from a 0 -cycle $\eta^{\prime}$ in $C_{0}\left(K_{1,1} \cap K_{1,2}\right)$ and sets $\partial_{1}([\eta])$ to be $\left[\eta^{\prime}\right] \in H_{0}\left(K_{1,1} \cap K_{1,2}\right)$.

Hence, if we can find $\alpha \in C_{1}\left(K_{1,2}\right)$ and $\beta \in C_{1}\left(K_{1,2}\right)$ such that $\left(d_{1}^{K_{1,1}}(\alpha), d_{1}^{K_{1,2}}(\beta)\right)=$ $(h-g, g-h)=\varphi_{0}(h-g)$ and $\gamma:=\varrho_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha+\beta \in \operatorname{ker} d_{1}^{K_{1}}$, we will have $\partial_{1}([\gamma])=$ $[h]-[g]$, which means that $[\gamma]$ is a generator of $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$.

To realize the example from above, we set $\alpha=\{g, h\}$ and $\beta=-\{e, h\}+\{e, f\}+\{f, g\}$. Then we indeed have $d_{1}^{K_{1,1}}(\alpha)=h-g$ and $d_{1}^{K_{1,2}}(\beta)=e-h+f-e+g-f=g-h$. Moreover, a straightforward calculation shows that $\gamma:=\varrho_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, f\}$ is a cycle, so $[\gamma]=[\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, f\}]$ is indeed a generator of $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$.

Next, we see that $H_{2}\left(K_{1}\right)$ is "squeezed between trivial groups" in the MV sequence:

$$
0 \cong H_{2}\left(K_{1,1}\right) \oplus H_{2}\left(K_{1,2}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(K_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(K_{1,1} \cap K_{1,2}\right) \cong 0
$$

so it also is trivial. Moreover, $H_{n}\left(K_{1}\right) \cong 0$ for $n>2$ as $K_{1}$ is a 2 -dimensional complex.
All in all, we have calculated that

$$
H_{n}\left(K_{1}\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} & n \in\{0,1\} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \cdot[\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, f\}]$.

## Second subcomplex

After doing the identifications given by the labeling, $K_{2}$ looks as follows:


The picture makes it evident that $\left|K_{2}\right|$ is homeomorphic to a disk and we will show that $K_{2}$ is indeed acyclic by decomposing it into two acyclic subcomplexes whose intersection is acyclic.

First we note that the simplicial complex

is acyclic because it is the union of two copies of $\Delta^{1}$ whose intersection is isomorphic to $\Delta^{0}$.

Now we can iteratively build $K_{2}$ by starting with a complex isomorphic to the acyclic complex $K_{1,1}$ from above and in each step adding a copy of $K_{1,1}$ in a way that the intersection is isomorphic to $\Delta^{1}$ or $L$ (thus also acyclic), which means that each complex in the sequence is acyclic:


## The intersection and the final MV sequence

The intersection $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ is given by

$$
K_{1} \cap K_{2}: \left.\begin{gathered}
{ }^{f} \\
g \\
h \\
e
\end{gathered} \right\rvert\, \begin{aligned}
& e \\
& j \\
& i \\
& f
\end{aligned}
$$

which represents a hexagon with vertices $f, g, h, e, j, i$ after doing the identifications indicated by the labeling. ${ }^{2}$

We refrain from computing its homology here which can be done directly or using a Mayer-Vietoris sequence. The result is

$$
H_{n}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} & n \in\{0,1\} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $H_{1}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right)$ is generated by the class of $\theta:=\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, j\}-$ $\{i, j\}-\{f, i\}$, i.e. a generating cycle is given by "going around the circle once".

Now we start computing the homology of $K$. Since $K$ is connected, $H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.
To compute $H_{1}(K)$, we will analyze the following segment of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence:
$H_{1}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(K_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\rho_{1}} H_{1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} H_{0}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{0}} H_{0}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus H_{0}\left(K_{2}\right)$.
Using the homology class of $g$ as a generator of 0 -th homology groups of $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Z} \cong H_{0}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{0}} H_{0}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus H_{0}\left(K_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
& m[g] \mapsto(m[g],-m[g])
\end{aligned}
$$

is injective, i. e. $\operatorname{ker} \phi_{0}=0$.
Hence, by exactness, $\operatorname{im} \partial_{1}=\operatorname{ker} \phi_{0}=0$. This yields, again by exactness, $H_{1}(K)=$ $\operatorname{ker} \partial_{1}=\operatorname{im} \rho_{1}$. Using that $\operatorname{ker} \rho_{1}=\operatorname{im} \phi_{1}$, this means that $H_{1}(K) \cong \operatorname{coker} \phi_{1}$ by the first isomorphism theorem.

Now $\phi_{1}$ is a homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Z} \cong H_{1}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(K_{2}\right) \cong H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus 0 \cong \mathbb{Z},
$$

so it maps the generator $[\theta]=[\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, j\}-\{i, j\}-\{f, i\}]$ of $H_{1}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right)$ to a multiple $k \cdot[\gamma]$ of the generator $[\gamma]=[\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, f\}]$ of $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$ and thus its image is $k \cdot \mathbb{Z} \cdot[\gamma]$, which means that its cokernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$.

[^1]Intuitively speaking, one can say that "the cycle $\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, j\}-\{i, j\}-$ $\{f, i\}$ goes around the Möbius strip $K_{1}$ twice", so $k$ must be 2 . This can be made precise as follows:

Let $\gamma^{\prime}:=\{e, j\}-\{i, j\}-\{f, i\}-\{e, f\} \in C_{1}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \subseteq C_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$. Note that $\gamma^{\prime}$ is a cycle in $K_{1}$ and that $\theta=\gamma+\gamma^{\prime}$, so $[\theta]=[\gamma]+\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]$ in $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$. Therefore it is enough to show that $[\gamma]=\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]$, i. e. $\left[\gamma-\gamma^{\prime}\right]=0$, in $H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$. Also this has a geometric interpretation: In the representation of $K_{1}$ as a rectangle whose top and bottom edge are appropriately identified, $\gamma-\gamma^{\prime}$ corresponds to the boundary of the rectangle, so it is the image of an appropriate sum of the 2 -simplices in the rectangle under $d_{2}^{K_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & d_{2}^{K_{1}}(\{e, f, g\}+\{e, g, j\}+\{g, h, j\}+\{h, i, j\}-\{e, h, i\}+\{e, f, i\}) \\
= & (\{f, g\}-\{e, g\}+\{e, f\})+(\{g, j\}-\{e, j\}+\{e, g\})+(\{h, j\}-\{g, j\}+\{g, h\})+ \\
& (\{i, j\}-\{h, j\}+\{h, i\})-(\{h, i\}-\{e, i\}+\{e, h\})+(\{f, i\}-\{e, i\}+\{e, f\}) \\
= & (\{f, g\}+\{e, f\})+(-\{e, j\})+(\{g, h\})+(\{i, j\})-(\{e, h\})+(\{f, i\}+\{e, f\}) \\
= & (\{f, g\}+\{g, h\}-\{e, h\}+\{e, f\})+(-\{e, j\}+\{i, j\}+\{f, i\}+\{e, f\}) \\
= & \gamma-\gamma^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\phi_{1}([\theta])$ indeed corresponds to $\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]+[\gamma]=[\gamma]+[\gamma]=2[\gamma]$, so $H_{1}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
Note that the calculation above also shows that $\operatorname{ker} \phi_{1}=0$ as $\phi_{1}$ is essentially given by multiplication by 2 . Hence, looking at the exact sequence

$$
0 \cong H_{2}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(K_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\rho_{2}} H_{2}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} H_{1}\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} H_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(K_{2}\right),
$$

we see that $0=\operatorname{ker} \phi_{1}=\operatorname{im} \partial_{2}$, so $H_{2}(K)=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{2}=\operatorname{im} \rho_{2}=0$.
Moreover, as $K$ is a 2-dimensional simplicial complex, we have $H_{n}(K) \cong 0$ for all $n>2$.

All in all, we obtain

$$
H_{n}(K) \cong\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{Z} & n=0 \\
\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & n=1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is actually a simplicial complex with only ten 2 -simplicies that realizes $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$, but we'll stick to the one above because it's somewhat more straightforward to come up with: One can obtain $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ from a square by identifying "antipodal" points of its boundary, and inspired by how one realizes the cylinder as a simplicial complex, one can subdivide that square into three "layers" vertically and horizontally to obtain the simplicial complex above.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This complex may look familiar as one possible way to solve exercise 3 a of set 5 was taking an ( $n+2$ )-gon for every $n \geqslant 1$, so some of you may have already computed its homology.

