

# Integer Optimization

## Problem Set 6

Working session: April 17, Presentations: April 24

Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be a lattice and  $b_1, b_2 \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$  be a basis of  $\Lambda$ , ordered such that  $\|b_1\|_2 \leq \|b_2\|_2$ .

- i) Show that  $b_1, b_2 - xb_1, x \in \mathbb{Z}$  is also a basis of  $\Lambda$ .
- ii) Let  $b_2^* = b_2 - \mu b_1$  with  $\mu = \langle b_2, b_1 \rangle / \langle b_1, b_1 \rangle$  be the *projection* of  $b_2$  into the *orthogonal complement* of  $b_1$ .  
Prove that, if  $|\mu| > 1/2$ , then  $b_2 - \lfloor \mu \rfloor \cdot b_1$  is strictly shorter than  $b_2$ , w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . Here  $\lfloor \mu \rfloor$  is the closest integer to  $\mu$ .
- iii) If  $b_2 - \lfloor \mu \rfloor \cdot b_1$  is still longer than  $b_1$ , then the enclosed angle between these vectors is between  $60^\circ$  and  $120^\circ$ .
- iv) Show that the following algorithm terminates in  $O(\log(\|b_2\|))$  many steps: While  $\|b_2^*\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|b_1\|$ : Replace  $b_2$  by  $b_2 - \lfloor \mu \rfloor \cdot b_1$ . Swap  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ .

*Hint:  $b_2 - \lfloor \mu \rfloor \cdot b_1$  is much shorter than  $b_2$ .*

- v) We call  $b_1, b_2$  *partially reduced* if  $\|b_2\| \geq \|b_1\|$  and  $\|b_2^*\| \geq \frac{1}{4}\|b_1\|$  holds. Show how to compute a shortest nonzero lattice vector in constant time, given a partially reduced basis.

*Hint: The length of  $xb_1 + yb_2$  is at least  $|y|\|b_2^*\| \geq |y|\|b_2\|/4$ .*

For a lattice  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  the number  $\lambda_i(\Lambda)$  is defined as the minimum  $R \geq 0$  such that the ball or radius  $R$  around 0,  $B(0, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \leq R\}$  contains  $i$  *linearly independent* lattice points.

- vi) Show that each lattice  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  has a basis  $v_1, v_2$  such that  $\|v_i\| = \lambda_i(\Lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  holds.

*Hint: Use the first problems above. This answers the question of Samuel asked in class.*

- vii) Consider the lattice  $\Lambda = \{Ax : x \in \mathbb{Z}^5\}$  with  $A$  being the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Show that the vectors  $2 \cdot e_i$   $i = 1, \dots, 5$  are attaining the successive minima but do not form a basis of  $\Lambda$ .

- viii) Provide an example of a 2-dimensional lattice  $\Lambda(b_1, b_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  linearly independent, such that the projection of  $\Lambda$  onto the line generated by  $b_1$  is not a (one-dimensional) lattice. Recall that the projection of  $v$  onto the line generated by  $b_1$  is the vector

$$\frac{\langle v, b_1 \rangle}{\langle b_1, b_1 \rangle} b_1$$

Finally we repeat some basics from Linear Algebra 2. Recall that an integer matrix  $U \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$  is called *unimodular* if  $\det(U) = \pm 1$  holds.

- ix) Let  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be non-singular and linearly independent and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . One has  $\Lambda(B) = \Lambda(C)$  if and only if there exists a unimodular matrix  $U \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$  with  $B \cdot U = C$ .

Consequently, the absolute value of the determinant of any basis of a lattice  $\Lambda$  is an invariant of the lattice, called the *lattice determinant*,  $\det(\Lambda)$ .