# Discrete Optimization 2023 (EPFL): Problem set of week 6 

April 4, 2023

1. Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with rows $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be given. Consider the polyhedron $P$ defined by $A \vec{x} \leq \vec{b}$.
Assume that $I=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is a basis, but not a feasible basis. Denote by $Q$ the point that is the intersection of the $n$ hyperplanes $\left\{\left\langle a_{i}, x\right\rangle=b_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Prove that for every $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}>0$ there is $\alpha$ such that the hyperplane $H=\left\{\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} a_{i}, x\right\rangle=\alpha\right.$ separates $Q$ and $P$.
Solution: Because $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are linearly independent $Q$, is the only point that satisfies $\left\{\left\langle a_{i}, x\right\rangle=b_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Therefore, for every point of $x \in P$ we have $\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} a_{i}, x\right\rangle<\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}$.
Let $y \in P$ be the point of maximum of $\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} a_{i}, x\right\rangle$ over all $x$ in $P$. We have $\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} a_{i}, y\right\rangle<\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}$. Taking $\alpha$ to be any number between $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}$ and $<\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} a_{i}, y\right\rangle$ will yield a separating hyperplane.
2. Let $P$ be a (bounded) polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$. Let $\vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be such that $\left\langle c, v_{i}\right\rangle \neq\left\langle c, v_{j}\right\rangle$ for every $i \neq j$. Assume that $P$ is a simple polytope, in the sense that every vertex has precisely 3 neighbors.
We say that a vertex $v$ of $P$ is of type 1 if precisely two of its three neighbors have their scalar product with $c$ larger than the scalar product of $v$ and $c$. We say that $v$ is of type 2 if precisely two of its three neighbors have their scalar product with $c$ smaller than the scalar product of $v$ with $c$.

Show that the number of vertices of type 1 is always equal to the number of vertices of type 2. Conclude that every simple polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ must have an even number of vertices.

Is it true also in dimensions $2,4,5,6,7$ ?
hint: observe that a vertex of type 1 is the "lowest" vertex of precisely one face of dimension 2 of $P$. A vertex of type 2 is the "highest" vertex of precisely one face of $P$ of dimension 2 .

Solution: Every two dimensional face of $P$ is by itself a polytope and it has one vertex with the largest scalar product with $c$ and one vertex with the smallest scalar product with $c$. Any vertex is a meeting point of three 2-dimensional faces of $P$ (because $P$ is simple). If it is of type 1 , it will be the minimum vertex of exactly one of the three faces. If it is of type 2 , it will be the maximum in exactly one of the three faces. There is also the maximum vertex of $P$ that is maximum in all three faces meeting there. There is also the minimum vertex of $P$ that is also the minimum of the three faces containing it. Altogether because the number of times a vertex is minimum in a 2 -dimensional face is equal to the number of times a vertex is maximum in a 2 -dimensional face of $P$, then the number of vertices of type 1 must be equal to the number of vertices of type 2 . This implies that the number of vertices in $P$ is even because there are only two additional vertices the global maximum and the global minimum with respect to the scalar product with $c$.
By the way, the fact that the number of vertices is even is not surprising because in the graph of neighboring vertices every vertex has degree 3. We know that $3 V=2 E$ so $V$ is even. This is true in any odd dimension. But also the result about type 1 and 2 can be generalized to higher dimensions (with more types). This can be done by induction of the dimension. Try it for dimension 4 using the result for dimension 3. You need to consider the 3 -dimensional faces of $P$ and for each one we know already what is going on inside it.
Recall that $K$ is a convex set if for every $x, y \in K$ and every $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ we have $\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y \in K$.
3. Let $P$ be a set of vectors (points) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The cone generated by $P$ is the set of all finite sums $\sum a_{i} p_{i}$ such that $a_{i} \geq 0$ for every $i$ and $p_{i} \in P$. Show that the cone generated by a set of points is always a convex set.
Solution: Suppose $A=\sum a_{i} p_{i}$ and $B=\sum b_{i} p_{i}$ are two points in $P$. Then for every $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ we have $\lambda A+(1-\lambda) B=\sum\left(\lambda a_{i}+(1-\lambda) b_{i}\right) p_{i}$ is by definition also a point in the cone generated by $P$.
4. Let $K$ be a convex set and assume $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{t} \in K$. Show that $\sum \lambda_{i} p_{i} \in$ $K$ for every positive $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}$ whose sum is equal to 1 ,

Hint: use induction on $t$. For $t=2$ it is just the definition of being convex.
Solution: By induction on $t$. $\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_{i} p_{i}=\left(1-\lambda_{t}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{1-\lambda_{t}} p_{i}\right)+\lambda_{t} p_{t}$.
Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{1-\lambda_{t}}=1$ by induction hypothesis.
We may assume $\lambda_{t}<1$ for otherwise $\lambda_{1}=\ldots=\lambda_{t-1}=0$ and there is nothing to prove.
5. Given a set $P$ of points, the convex hull of $P$ is the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum \lambda_{i} p_{i}$ where $\sum \lambda_{i}=1$ and the $\lambda_{i}$ 's are all nonnegative and the $p_{i}$ 's are points in $P$. Show that the convex hull of a set $P$ of points is a convex set. Conclude it is the smallest convex set containing $P$.
Solution: Assume $A=\sum \alpha_{i} p_{i}$ and $B=\sum \beta_{i} p_{i}$ are two such sums (we can assume the points $p_{i}$ in both are the same by taking the union). For any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ we have $\lambda A+(1-\lambda) B=\sum\left(\lambda \alpha_{i}+(1-\lambda) \beta_{i}\right) p_{i}$. Notice that $\sum \lambda \alpha_{i}+(1-\lambda) \beta_{i}=\lambda \sum \alpha_{i}+(1-\lambda) \sum \beta_{i}=\lambda+(1-\lambda)=1$.

