Prof. Friedrich Eisenbrand

Location: MA A3 31

Question session: 13.10.10

Discussion: 20.10.10

Exercises

Optimization Methods in Finance

Fall 2010

Sheet 2

Exercises marked with (*) qualify for one bonus point, if correctly presented in the discussion session

Exercise 2.1 (*)

Consider again the simple setting, where we have N experts that (over a time horizont of T units) predict a binary event $(y_j^t \in \{0,1\})$ and a forecaster tries to predict the events so that he is not making significantly more mistakes than the best of the experts. Consider the following strategies:

- Strategy 1: The forecaster chooses at any time t the prediction \hat{p}_t of the expert j who made the least number of mistakes so far (i.e. $\hat{p}_t = y_j^t$ where $j = \operatorname{argmin}\{m_j\}$ and $m_j = |\{t' < t \mid y_j^{t'} \neq z_{t'}\}|$ is the number of mistakes, which were made by expert j in time $1, \ldots, t-1$). If several experts have the same minimal number of mistakes, we choose that one with a smaller index j.
- Strategy 2: The forecaster chooses the prediction of expert j with probability

$$\frac{t - m_j}{\sum_{j'=1}^{N} (t - m_{j'})}$$

(i.e. proportional to the number of correct predictions; say in the first iteration, we choose an expert uniformly at random).

Show that both strategies can be much worse (say for $T \gg N$ and suitable ε) than the weighted majority experts algorithm (Algorithm 2 from the lecture).

Exercise 2.2 (*)

Consider again the setting with N experts and loss vectors $\ell^t \in [0,1]^N$. Let T be the number of iterations, \hat{L} be the forecasters loss and L_j be the loss of expert j. In the lecture we saw the bound

$$E[\hat{L}] \leq \frac{\ln(N)}{\varepsilon} + (1+\varepsilon)L^{j}.$$

Observe that this just bounds the *average loss* of the forecaster. Can you give a concentration bound statement of the form $\Pr[\hat{L} > (1+\ldots) \cdot L^j + \ldots] \leq \ldots$ Here the following theorem (a.k.a. *Azuma's Inequality*) might be helpful (which you may use without proving it):

Let $0 = X_0, X_1, ..., X_n$ be a sequence of random variables with increment $Y_i := X_i - X_{i-1}$. Here $Y_i := Y_i(X_0, ..., X_{i-1})$ might arbitrarily depend on $X_0, ..., X_{i-1}$, but always $|Y_i| \le 1$ and $E[Y_i] = 0$. For $\lambda \ge 0$ one has $\Pr[X_n \ge \lambda \sqrt{n}] \le e^{-\lambda^2/2}$.

Exercise 2.3 (*)

Recall that a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex, if dom(f) is a convex set and for all $x, y \in dom(f)$ and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ one has $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$. Prove that if $f_1, \ldots, f_n : K \to \mathbb{R}$ are convex, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \ge 0$, then also $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(x)$ is convex.

Exercise 2.4 (*)

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector with $y_i > 0$ for all i = 1, ..., n and $x \in \Sigma^n$. Prove

$$\|\nabla(-\ln(y^T x))\|_{\infty} \le \max_{i,j} \left|\frac{y_i}{y_j}\right|$$

Note: The gradient is w.r.t. *x* as variable.

Exercise 2.5 (one practical bonus point)

Recall the example from the lecture

	Stock A	Stock B	Money Market
Up	2	1.5	1
Stable	1.2	1.7	1.3
Down	0.8	1.2	1.4

Implement the presented algorithm to determine an optimum row strategy. Choose $\varepsilon := 0.1$, $\delta := 0.2$ and run the algorithm for T = 100 iterations.

The details for the submission are as follows:

- 1. You can implement the algorithm in one of the programming languages C/C++/Java/Pascal/Basic/Matlab (you can choose your favourite one).
- 2. Your submission should contain your (compilable) code together with an output of the algorithm, which states t, w^t, p^t, j_t for all iterations t = 0, ..., 100.
- 3. Send the files till **20.10.10** to thomas.rothvoss@epfl.ch.
- 4. You can work in groups up to 3 people (you need only one submission per group).