Thomas Rothvoß
Location: AAC 132
Discussion: 5.05.10

Exercises

Approximation Algorithms

Spring 2010

Sheet 9

Reminder: On May 5, lecture and tutorial are moved to AAC 132.

Exercise 1

Recall that for the k-TSP problem, we are given a complete graph G = (V, E) with a metric cost function $c : E \to \mathbb{Q}_+$ and a parameter $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The goal is to find a minimum length tour, visiting at least k nodes.

- i) Show that if c is a tree metric (and you know the underlying tree T), then one can find an optimum tour in polynomial time.
- ii) Give an expected $O(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for k-TSP in general metric graphs. Can you derandomize it?

Solution:

i) We show the claim by dynamic programming. The dynamic program will be easier to state for a slightly more general problem, where the set of nodes V is partitioned into required vertices R and Steiner nodes S and we have to visit at least k many different required nodes at least once (by short-cutting one can again obtain a tour that does not revisit a node and does not visit more than k nodes). Then it would not make sense to use an edge (u, v) that is not contained in the tree — instead we can buy all edges on the u-v path in T for the same price. In other words, it suffices to use only tree edges. We guess a node $r \in V$ that is visited by the optimum tour and consider r as the root of the tree.

The degrees in T might be arbitrary. To make our life easier, by inserting new Steiner nodes and cost 0 edges, we turn T into a tree with out-degree ≤ 2 . Furthermore, by adding Steiner nodes, we may assume that required nodes have exactly $\{0,1\}$ children and Steiner nodes have degree 2 children.

For any node $v \in V$, and $k' \in \{0, ..., k\}$ we define table entries

A(v, k') = cheapest tour in the subtree below v, starting and ending at v that visits at least k' required nodes

If $v \in R$ and v has one child v_1 , then

$$A(v,k) = A(v_1, k - 1) + 2 \cdot d^{T}(v, v_1)$$

If $v \in S$ and has 2 children v_1, v_2 , we use:

$$A(v,k) = \min \left\{ A(v_1,k) + 2 \cdot d^T(v,v_1), A(v_2,k) + 2 \cdot d^T(v,v_2), \right.$$

$$\left. \min_{k=k_1+k_2} \left\{ A(v_1,k_1) + 2d^T(u,v_1) + A(v_2,k_2) + 2d^T(v,v_2) \right\} \right\}$$

- ii) We use the theorem from the last slide of the tree embedding section to obtain trees T_1, \ldots, T_q with cost d_i , weight λ_i . Suppose we choose a a tree T from T_1, \ldots, T_q (i.e. $\Pr[T = T_i] = \lambda_i$), and let d^T be the induced tree metric. Then for any $u, v \in V$
 - $c(u,v) \leq d^T(u,v)$
 - $E[d^T(u,v)] \leq O(\log n) \cdot c(u,v)$

Let OPT^{T_i} be the cost of the optimum k-TSP solution w.r.t. metric d^T . We claim that $E[OPT^T] \le O(\log n) \cdot OPT$. This can be easily seen as follows: Let E^* be the edges of the optimum tour in G. Then the same set is still a valid tour w.r.t. d^T . And

$$E[OPT^T] \leq E\left[\sum_{(u,v)\in E^*} d^T(u,v)\right] \stackrel{\text{linearity of expectation}}{=} \sum_{(u,v)\in E^*} E[d^T(u,v)] \leq O(\log n) \cdot c(u,v)$$

By i), we can compute a tour using edges E' of expected cost

$$E\left[\sum_{(u,v)\in E'} d^{T}(u,v)\right] \leq E\left[OPT^{T}\right] \leq O(\log n) \cdot OPT.$$

Then at least one of the trees T_i (with induced tree metric d^{T_i}) must have cost

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E'} d^{T_i}(u,v) \le E[OPT^T] \le O(\log n) \cdot OPT$$

Since $d^{T_i}(u, v) \ge c(u, v)$, the tour E' is also not more expensive w.r.t. the original costs.

Exercise 2

For STEINER FOREST, the input is a complete, undirected graph G = (V, E) with metric cost function $c : E \to \mathbb{Q}_+$ and pairs $(s_1, t_1), \ldots, (s_k, t_k)$ $(s_i, t_i \in V)$. The goal is to find a min cost subgraph H, that connects each s_i - t_i pair:

$$OPT = \min_{H \subseteq E} \left\{ \sum_{e \in H} c(e) \mid \forall i = 1, \dots, k : H \text{ connects } s_i \text{ and } t_i \right\}$$

(there is no need to connect s_i, t_j for $i \neq j$, hence H itself does not need to be connected. In fact, in general it will be a *forest*, that is a collection of trees). Consider the following linear program

$$\min \sum_{e \in E} x_e c_e$$

$$\sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x_e \geq 1 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k \, \forall S \subseteq V : s_i \in S, t_i \notin S$$

$$x_e \geq 0$$

Here x_e can be interpreted as a variable that indicates whether e is included in H or not. Prove that the integrality gap of this LP is upperbounded by $O(\log n)$.

Solution:

Let x_e^* be an optimum fractional solution of cost $OPT_f = \sum_{e \in E} x_e^* c_e$. Let T be a random tree and d^T be the induced tree embedding with $O(\log n)$ -distortion (which exists using a theorem from the lecture). Then the cost of x^* in the dominating metric is

$$E[\sum_{e \in E} d^T(e) \cdot x_e^*] = \sum_{e \in E} x_e^* E[d^T(e)] \le \sum_{e \in E} x_e^* O(\log n) \cdot c(e) \le O(\log n) \cdot OPT_f$$

Next, for any edge $e = (u, v) \notin T$, we install x_e^* units on each edge on the u-v path in tree without that the cost increases. We obtain a new fractional solution y_e^* where $y_e^* = 0$ if $e \notin T$ (in fact this is a feasible solution, since any cut S with $u \in S, v \notin S$ contains also at least one from any u-v path). Consider now any edge $e \in T$. Removing e from T gives 2 subtrees T_1, T_2 (i.e. $T = T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \{e\}$). If one has $s_i \in T_1$ and $t_i \in T_2$ for some i (or vice versa), then the cut constraint for $S := V(T_1)$ says that $y_e^* \ge 1$. Let us define

$$z_e^* := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \text{ separates an } s_i - t_i \text{ pair in } T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

which is a feasible and integral LP solution with $z_e^* \leq y_e^*$. Furthermore

$$E\left[\sum_{e \in E} d^T(e) \cdot z_e^*\right] \le \sum_{e \in E} E[d^T(e)] y_e^* = \sum_{e \in E} E[d^T(e)] \cdot x_e^* = O(\log n) \cdot OPT_f$$

Of course, $H := \{e \in E \mid z_e^* = 1\}$ is a feasible solution that is not more expensive w.r.t. the original cost c than $O(\log n) \cdot OPT_f$. By the principle of the probabilistic method, there must be one tree embedding and hence one concrete solution H, that really costs at most $O(\log n) \cdot OPT_f$. Hence the integrality gap is bounded by $O(\log n)$.