

Discrete Optimization (Spring 2018)

Assignment 2

Problem 5 can be **submitted** until March 9 12:00 noon into the box in front of MA C1 563. You are allowed to submit your solutions in groups of at most three students.

Problem 1

Describe an algorithm that multiplies two n -bit integers in time $O(n^2)$. You may assume to have a subroutine $Sum(d, e)$ which returns the sum of two n -bit natural numbers d and e in time $O(n)$.

Solution:

One can apply the classical algorithm for multiplying two decimal numbers, with the difference of using base-2 instead of base-10. We call $Sum(d, e)$ as a subroutine and assume that it returns an $(n + 1)$ -bit representation of $d + e$.

Input: Two natural numbers a and b in their binary representations

a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} and b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} , respectively.

Output: The binary representation c_0, \dots, c_{2n} of $a \cdot b$.

$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n}) := (0, 0, \dots, 0)$

for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$

if $(b_i = 1)$

$(c_i, \dots, c_{i+n}) := Sum((c_i, \dots, c_{i+n-1}), a)$

return c_0, \dots, c_{2n}

We can assume that subroutine $Sum(d, e)$ is implemented similarly to the algorithm from Assignment 1, Problem 8, thus one call of it requires $O(n)$ time. Thus each of the n loop iterations takes $O(n)$ time.¹ The initial assignment before the loop is performed in $O(n)$ time as well. Overall execution time is then $O(n) + n \cdot O(n) = O(n^2)$.

Problem 2

Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ are two n -bit integers, where n is a power of 2. Consider the first and the last $n/2$ bits of a , and denote their corresponding decimal numbers with a' and a'' , respectively. Likewise decimal numbers b' and b'' correspond to the first and the second half of the bit-representation of b .

i) Show that $a = a' + a'' \cdot 2^{n/2}$ and $b = b' + b'' \cdot 2^{n/2}$.

ii) Show that $a \cdot b = a' \cdot b' + (a' \cdot b'' + a'' \cdot b') \cdot 2^{n/2} + a'' \cdot b'' \cdot 2^n$.

iii) Show that $(a'b'' + a''b') = (a' + a'')(b' + b'') - a' \cdot b' - a'' \cdot b''$.

iv) Design a recursive algorithm for n -bit integer multiplication whose running time $T(n)$ satisfies the recursion

$$T(n) \leq 3 \cdot T(n/2) + c \cdot n,$$

¹The most expensive operation inside the loop is the call of $Sum(d, e)$ subroutine, while the assignment can be implemented to run in constant time.

where $c > 1$ is some constant.

Hint: You can assume that there is a constant c' such that two n -bit numbers can be added and subtracted using at most $c'n$ basic operations.

v) *Unroll* the recursion above three times.

vi) Conclude that two n -bit numbers can be computed in $O(n^{\log_2(3)})$ elementary bit operations.

Solution:

i) By the definition we have that

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{n/2-1} a_i \cdot 2^i + \sum_{i=n/2}^{n-1} a_i \cdot 2^i = a' + 2^{n/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n/2-1} a_{n/2+i} \cdot 2^i = a' + 2^{n/2} \cdot a''.$$

The result analogously follows for b .

ii) By using i) one has $a \cdot b = (a' + a'' \cdot 2^{n/2})(b' + b'' \cdot 2^{n/2}) = a' \cdot b' + (a' \cdot b'' + a'' \cdot b') \cdot 2^{n/2} + a'' \cdot b'' \cdot 2^n$.

iii) By performing basic arithmetics one easily verifies that the statement is true.

iv) Algorithm: *Mult*(a, b)

Input: Two natural numbers a and b in their binary representations
 a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} and b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} , respectively.

Output: The binary representation c_0, \dots, c_{2n} of $a \cdot b$.

```

( $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n}$ ) := (0, 0, \dots, 0)
if  $n = 1$ 
  return  $a_1 \wedge b_1$ 
else
   $p_1 := \text{Mult}(a', b')$ 
   $p_2 := \text{Mult}(a'', b'')$ 
   $p_3 := \text{Mult}(a' + a'', b' + b'') - p_1 - p_2$ 
   $c := p_1 + \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, p_3)}_{n/2} + \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, p_2)}_n$ 
return  $c_0, \dots, c_{2n}$ 

```

From iii) one can see that ab can be obtained by three multiplications of $n/2$ -bit numbers², namely $(a' + a'')(b' + b'')$, $a'b'$ and $a''b''$, and six subtractions/additions of numbers that can be represented with $2n + 1$ bits. Each of those subtractions/additions can be done in $c' \cdot n$ basic operations following Assignment 1, Problem 8. Thus the total number of operations is given by the recursive relation $T(n) \leq 3 \cdot T(n/2) + c \cdot n$, where $c = 6c'$.

v) By enrolling the recursion three times we obtain that

$$T(n) \leq 3 \cdot (3 \cdot (3 \cdot T(n/8) + c \cdot n/4) + c \cdot n/2) + c \cdot n = 3^3 T\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right) + 3^2 c \frac{n}{2^2} + 3c \frac{n}{2} + cn.$$

²Strictly speaking, $a' + a''$ can be an $(n/2 + 1)$ -bit number. However, this does not change the asymptotic behavior.

vi) From v) we establish the closed form formula

$$T(n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} (3/2)^i cn \leq 3(3/2)^{\log_2 n} cn = \theta(n^{\log_2 3}),$$

where we used that $\log_{3/2} n = \frac{\log_2 n}{\log_2(3/2)}$ which is equivalent to $\log_2 n = \log_{3/2}(n)(\log_2(3) - 1)$.

Problem 3

The *determinant* of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ can be computed by the recursive formula

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{1+j} a_{1j} \det(A_{1j}),$$

where A_{1j} is the $(n-1)(n-1)$ matrix that is obtained from A by deleting its first row and j -th column. This yields the following recursive algorithm (see the lecture notes, Example 1.4).

Input: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

Output: $\det(A)$

if ($n = 1$)

return a_{11}

else

$d := 0$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$

$d := (-1)^{1+j} \det(A_{1j}) + d$

return d

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and suppose that the n^2 components of A are pairwise different.

- i) Suppose that B is a matrix that can be obtained from A by deleting the first k rows and k of the columns of A . How many (recursive) calls of the form $\det(B)$ does the algorithm create?
- ii) How many different submatrices can be obtained from A by deleting the first k rows and some set of k columns? Conclude that the algorithm remains exponential, even if it does not expand repeated subcalls.

Solution:

- i) Let i_1, \dots, i_k be the indices of the columns of A that were removed to obtain B . We have to count the number of nodes of the form $\det(B)$ in the recursion tree of the algorithm. Each node of the tree can be identified by its level (nodes of the form $\det(A_{ij})$ are at level i) and a sequence of column indices representing the columns of A that are not columns of the submatrix called by the node. Hence the nodes of the form $\det(B)$ are at level k of the tree, and their sequences are permutations of i_1, \dots, i_k (note that there is only one submatrix of A equal to B since all the entries are pairwise different). Hence there are $k!$ such nodes.
- ii) The number of such submatrices is clearly $\binom{n}{k}$, which is exponential for instance for $k = n/2$ (assume n even for simplicity):

$$\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{n \cdots (\frac{n}{2} + 1)}{\frac{n}{2} \cdots 1} \geq 2^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Hence, even if the algorithm calls $\det(B)$ only once for any submatrix B , it remains exponential.

Problem 4

In this exercise, you will see that matrix multiplication is in some sense not harder than matrix inversion.

Suppose that $I(n)$ with $I(n) = \Omega(n^2)$ is a function that satisfies $I(3n) = O(I(n))$ and that a non-singular $n \times n$ matrix can be inverted using $I(n)$ arithmetic operations. Show that two $n \times n$ matrices A and B can be multiplied using $O(I(n))$ arithmetic operations.

Hint: Construct an upper triangular $3n \times 3n$ -matrix that contains A and B .

Solution:

By following the hint one can construct the following matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} I & A & 0 \\ 0 & I & B \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

with its inverse

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & -A & AB \\ 0 & I & -B \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Constructing the matrix D can be done in time $\theta(n^2)$ which is $O(I(n))$ since $I(n) = \Omega(n^2)$. By inverting D we get AB as an entry of the resulting block matrix. Thus, multiplying A and B can be done as efficiently as the inversion of D , i.e. by using $O(I(n))$ arithmetic operations.

Problem 5 (★)

Let M_{2^k} be a matrix of order $n := 2^k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that it is recursively defined as follows:

$$M_{2^k} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{2^{k-1}} & M_{2^{k-1}} \\ M_{2^{k-1}} & -M_{2^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

and $M_1 = [1]$. Prove that $|\det(M_n)| = n^{n/2}$, i.e. that the Hadamard bound is tight.

Solution:

We prove the statement by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that $M_{2^k}^2 = 2^k I_{2^k}$. For $k = 0$ one has $M_{2^0} = M_1 = [1] = 2^0 I_{2^0}$. Assume that the statement holds for k and prove it for $k + 1$.

$$M_{2^{k+1}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{2^k} & M_{2^k} \\ M_{2^k} & -M_{2^k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_{2^k} & M_{2^k} \\ M_{2^k} & -M_{2^k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2M_{2^k}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2M_{2^k}^2 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{I.H.}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cdot 2^k I_{2^k} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \cdot 2^k I_{2^k} \end{pmatrix} = 2^{k+1} I_{2^{k+1}} \quad (4)$$

By using the statement above we have that $\det(M_n^2) = \det(nI_n) = n^n$ so $|\det(M_n)| = n^{n/2}$.