

## 8th Assignment

---

1. Construct an instance of multi-commodity flow on an undirected graph, where the cut-condition is not violated but a multi-commodity flow satisfying the given demands does not exist.
2. Construct an instance of two-commodity flow on an undirected graph, where there exists an half-integral multi-commodity flow satisfying the given demands, but not an integral one.
3. Construct an example showing that Hu's two-commodity flow theorem does not hold on directed graphs. Recall that the cut-condition on directed graph is:

$$\forall X \subseteq V, \quad \sum_{i: s_i \in X, t_i \notin X} d_i \leq u(\delta^+(X)).$$

4. Let  $G(V, E)$  be an undirected graph with capacity  $u : E \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_+$  and let  $\{s_1, t_1\}, \dots, \{s_k, t_k\}$  be pairs of vertices such that there exist a two vertices intersecting each  $\{s_i, t_i\}$ . Finally, let  $d_1, \dots, d_k \in \mathcal{Q}_+$  be a set of demands. Show that the cut-condition implies the existence of a multi-commodity flow satisfying the given demand  $d_i$  for each  $\{s_i, t_i\}$ .

**5. Prove/Disprove.** Let  $G(V, E)$  be an undirected graph with capacity  $u : E \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_+$ . Let  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  and  $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  be vertices in  $V$ . Suppose that there exists a feasible multi-commodity flow for any set of demands corresponding to a requirement graph  $R$  that is a bipartite matching on the vertices  $S \cup T$ . Then, there exists a feasible multi-commodity flow for any set of demands corresponding to a requirement graph  $R$  that is any (non-bipartite) matching on the vertices  $S \cup T$ .