

Packing and covering

EPFL, 2014 Spring

11. ROGER'S BOUND ON SPHERE PACKING - PART 2

Remember that last time we have proved the following lemma, which is a consequence of Blichfeldt's lemma:

Lemma 1. *Let $\{B^d + c_i | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a packing and let $D(c_i)$ be the Voronoi-Dirichlet cell corresponding to c_i , $D(c_i) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | \|x - c_i\| \leq \|x - c_j\|, \forall j\}$. Then, the distance from c_i to any $d - k$ -dimensional face of $D(c_i)$ is at least $\sqrt{\frac{2k}{k+1}}$, $\forall k = 1, \dots, d$.*

Note that $B + c_i$ is always contained in $D(c_i)$.

Let us now dissect $D(c_1)$ into simplices of the form $\text{conv}\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ recursively, as follows:

- consider v_0 to be c_1 (note that c_1 lies inside the polytope $D(c_1)$);
- divide $D(c_1)$: for each face, take the convex hull of this face, together with c_1 . This decompose $D(c_1)$ as

$$D(c_1) = \bigcup_{F_{d-1}-(d-1)\text{-dim. face}} \text{conv}\{v_0, F_{d-1}\}.$$

- the next vertex v_1 is chosen as the point of F_{d-1} the closest to v_0 .
- once we have v_1 , subdivide the face into simplices:

$$D(c_1) = \bigcup_{F_{d-1}} \bigcup_{F_{d-2} \subseteq F_{d-1}} \text{conv}\{v_0, v_1, F_{d-2}\}.$$

That means, at a given step, we have:

$$D(c_1) = \bigcup \dots \bigcup \text{conv}\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, F_{d-k}\}.$$

In this case, we have one of the two cases: either $d - k = 0$ (and we stop), or it is not (and in this case we choose $v_k \in F_{d-k}$ to be the closest to v_0).

Related to this decomposition, we can now state the following properties as a lemma:

Lemma 2. *The Voronoi-Dirichlet cell $D(c_1)$ can be decomposed into simplices of the form*

$$\text{conv}\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d\},$$

where $v_0 = c_1$, and

(i) v_k lies in a $(d - k)$ -dimensional face of $D(c_1)$ containing v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_d , and is the nearest point of this face to c_1 ($1 \leq k \leq d$).

(ii) The scalar product

$$\langle v_k - v_0, v_j - v_0 \rangle \geq \frac{2k}{k+1},$$

for every $1 \leq k \leq j \leq d$.

Proof. The proof can be found in J.Pach, P.Agarwal, Combinatorial Geometry. □

Using the above lemmas, one can now prove Rogers' theorem (that gives the bound for sphere packing). The theorem is as follows:

²

Theorem 1 (Rogers). *Let $S^d = \text{conv}\{p_0, \dots, p_d\}$ be a regular simplex in \mathbb{R}^d , whose side length is 2. Draw a unit ball around each vertex of S^d . Let σ_d denote the ratio of the volume of the portion of S^d , covered by balls, to the volume of the whole simplex, and let $\delta(B^d)$ be the density of the densest packing of unit balls in \mathbb{R}^d . Then*

$$\delta(B^d) \leq \sigma_d.$$

Proof. The proof can be found in J.Pach, P.Agarwal, Combinatorial Geometry. □

One can prove by some calculations that

$$\sigma_d = \left(\frac{1}{e} + o(1) \right) d \cdot 2^{-d/2}$$

as $d \rightarrow \infty$, showing that for large values of d , Rogers' bound is better than the bound of Blichfeldt by a factor of $2/e$.