


<b>Exam</b>	
 ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE	<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Advanced Numerical Analysis</b></p> <p><b>Teacher:</b> Prof. Dr. Daniel Kressner</p> <p><b>Date:</b> 02.07.2013</p> <p><b>Duration:</b> 3h (08:15 - 11:15)</p>

<b>Sciper:</b>	<b>Student:</b>							<b>Section:</b>
<b>Score Table</b>								
Problem 1	Problem 2	Problem 3	Problem 4	Problem 5	Problem 6	Problem 7	Total	

**Please read carefully:**

- You are only allowed to have one A4 page of hand-written notes (no photocopies).
- Please put your CAMIPRO card on your desk before you start the exam as it will be checked during the exam.
- You must write your sciper number, your name, and section on this page before you start the exam.
- Calculators and all other electronical devices are forbidden.
- Do not use your own paper for writing down the solutions; use the blank pages after each exercise. You can request additional paper from the assistants.
- Except for Problems 1 and 7, do not only write down the final result or answer, but also some explanations and justification of the result. Results without justification are not counted.



**Problem 1****8 points**

Choose one answer to each of the following questions. Every correct answer gives 1 point, no answer gives 0 points, and every wrong answers gives  $-1$  points. However, you cannot get less than zero points in total.

*Prof. D. Kressner  
 Dr. A. Uschmajew*

- (a) The approximate solution  $\mathbf{y}_n$  obtained from applying any  $A$ -stable method to a general IVP always converges to zero for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

true  false

- (b) The approximate solution  $\mathbf{y}_n$  obtained from applying the implicit Euler method to the linear IVP  $\mathbf{y}'(t) = G\mathbf{y}(t)$ , with initial value  $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$  and a general matrix  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , always converges to zero for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

true  false

- (c) The linear system

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{y}_0 + hG\mathbf{y}_1,$$

which needs to be solved in the first step of the implicit Euler method applied to the linear IVP  $\mathbf{y}'(t) = G\mathbf{y}(t)$  with initial value  $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$  and a general matrix  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , always has a unique solution  $\mathbf{y}_1$ .

true  false

- (d) The statement of (c) holds if  $h > 0$  is sufficiently small.

true  false

- (e) The linear system that needs to be solved in the first step of an implicit Runge-Kutta method applied to the linear IVP  $\mathbf{y}'(t) = G\mathbf{y}(t)$ , with initial value  $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$  and a general matrix  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , always has a unique solution if  $h > 0$  is sufficiently small.

true  false

- (f) Consider a method of maximal consistency order  $p$  for solving IVPs  $\mathbf{y}'(t) = f(t, \mathbf{y}(t))$ ,  $\mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ . Then the following statement holds: For all  $(p + 1)$ -times continuously differentiable functions  $f$  there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that the local error  $\mathbf{e}_1 = \|\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}(t_1)\|$  of the first step satisfies  $\mathbf{e}_1 \geq ch^{p+1}$ .

true  false

- (g) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable, and  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{p}^T \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ . Then there exists  $\alpha^* > 0$  such that

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha\mathbf{p}) < f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for all } 0 < \alpha < \alpha^*.$$

true  false

- (h) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable and bounded from below. At a point  $\mathbf{x}$  let  $\mathbf{p}$  be a descent direction. Then there exists a largest number  $\alpha > 0$  (strict inequality!) with the property  $f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha\mathbf{p}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha\mathbf{p}^T \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ .

true  false

**Problem 2**

**5 points**

*Prof. D. Kressner  
Dr. A. Uschmajew*

Use the table with Runge-Kutta order conditions to find all explicit autonomization-invariant order-three Runge-Kutta methods of the form

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & & & \\ 1/2 & a_{21} & & \\ 2/3 & a_{31} & a_{32} & \\ \hline & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{array}.$$

**Solution**

The *conditions for order three* read  $\sum_i b_i \mathcal{A}_i^{(\beta)} = 1/\beta!$  for all trees of order  $\#\beta \leq 3$ . According to the table this means

$$\sum_i b_i = 1, \quad \sum_i b_i c_i = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \sum_i b_i c_i^2 = \frac{1}{3}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} c_j = \frac{1}{6}. \quad (2) \quad \textcircled{1}$$

Plugging in the given values for the  $c_i$ , (1) gives a linear system for the  $b_i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 2/3 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 4/9 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is equivalent to (subtract the second row from two times the third row)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 2/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2/9 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and has the unique solution

$$b_3 = \frac{3}{4}, \quad b_2 = 0, \quad b_1 = \frac{1}{4}. \quad \textcircled{2}$$

Next, using  $c_1 = 0$ , and  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $j \geq i$ , (2) boils down to  $b_3 a_{32} c_2 = 1/6$ , i.e., since  $c_2 = 1/2$  and  $b_3 = 3/4$ ,

$$a_{32} = \frac{4}{9}. \quad \textcircled{1}$$

The other two values of  $A$  can be obtained from the *conditions for invariance under autonomization*:

$$\sum_j a_{ij} = c_j.$$

Namely,

$$a_{21} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a_{31} = \frac{2}{9}. \quad \textcircled{1}$$

Hence the *only* explicit autonomization-invariant RK-method of order three with these values  $c_j$  is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & & & \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & & \\ 2/3 & 2/9 & 4/9 & \\ \hline & 1/4 & 0 & 3/4 \end{array}.$$

**Problem 3**

**4 points**

For a fixed parameter  $\theta$ , consider the following method for solving IVPs:

*Prof. D. Kressner  
Dr. A. Uschmajew*

$$\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = \mathbf{y}_n + hf(t_n + \theta h, (1 - \theta)\mathbf{y}_n + \theta\mathbf{y}_{n+1}).$$

Show that the method is  $A$ -stable if and only if  $\theta \geq 1/2$ .

**Solution**

For the IVP  $\mathbf{y}'(t) = G\mathbf{y}(t)$  the method reads

$$\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = \mathbf{y}_n + (1 - \theta)hG\mathbf{y}_n + \theta hG\mathbf{y}_{n+1}. \quad \textcircled{1}$$

If  $h$  is sufficiently small, we can solve for  $\mathbf{y}_{n+1}$ :

$$\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = (I - \theta hG)^{-1}(I + (1 - \theta)hG)\mathbf{y}_n = S(hG)\mathbf{y}_n.$$

The eigenvalues of  $S(h\lambda)$  are of form

$$S(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - \theta)z}{1 - \theta z} \quad \textcircled{1}$$

(with  $z = h\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  are the eigenvalues of  $G$ ). The method is  $A$ -stable, if  $|S(z)| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^-$ , that is, if

$$|1 + (1 - \theta)z|^2 \leq |1 - \theta z|^2 \quad \text{for all } z = a + ib \text{ with } a \leq 0.$$

In terms of  $a, b$  this inequality reads

$$(1 + (1 - \theta)a)^2 + (1 - \theta)^2 b^2 \leq (1 - \theta a)^2 + \theta^2 b^2 \quad \text{for all } z = a + ib \text{ with } a \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

Since  $a \leq 0$ , we always have for  $\theta \geq 0$  that it holds

$$|1 + (1 - \theta)a| = |1 - \theta a + a| \leq |1 - \theta a| \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (1 + (1 - \theta)a)^2 \leq (1 - \theta a)^2.$$

If  $\theta \geq 1/2$ , we also have

$$(1 - \theta)^2 b^2 \leq \theta b^2 \quad \textcircled{1}$$

so that (3) holds.

If  $\theta < 1/2$ , (3) does not hold if  $a = 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , since  $(1 - \theta)^2 > \theta^2$  then.

$\textcircled{1}$

**Problem 4****4 points**

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two times continuously differentiable and bounded from below. At a point  $\mathbf{x}$  let  $\mathbf{p}$  be a descent direction and assume that the Hessian  $H(\mathbf{x})$  is negative definite. Show that there exists a largest number  $\alpha^* > 0$  (strict inequality!) with the property  $f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha^* \mathbf{p}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha^* \mathbf{p}^T \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ .

*Prof. D. Kressner*  
*Dr. A. Uschmajew*

**Solution**

Since  $\mathbf{p}^T \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$  and  $f$  is bounded from below, the function values of

$$g(\alpha) = f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \alpha \mathbf{p}^T \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$$

will tend to  $+\infty$  for  $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus, the set

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{\alpha \geq 0: g(\alpha) \leq 0\}$$

is bounded and hence compact (it is closed because  $g$  is continuous). Therefore, it contains a largest element

$$\alpha^* = \max \mathcal{Z} < +\infty.$$

On the other hand, it holds by Taylor's theorem that

$$\frac{g(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} = \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\mathbf{p}^T H(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{p}}_{< 0} + \underbrace{o(|\alpha|)}_{\rightarrow 0},$$

which is negative for sufficiently small  $\alpha > 0$ , i.e.,  $g(\alpha)$  is negative. Consequently, it holds  $\alpha^* > 0$ .

①

①

①

①

**Problem 5**

**6 points**

Consider the quadratic minimization problem

*Prof. D. Kressner  
Dr. A. Uschmajew*

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2} f(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}$$

with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -2 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = 2.$$

- (a) Show that  $A$  is positive definite.
- (b) Determine  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$  and the Hessian  $H(\mathbf{x})$ .
- (c) Find all local minima using the necessary and sufficient second order conditions. Which one is the global minimum?
- (d) From the starting point  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (0, 0)^T$ , determine the exact line search parameter  $\alpha^*$  that minimizes  $\alpha \mapsto f(\mathbf{x}_0 - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0))$ .
- (e) Calculate one step of the steepest descent method from  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (0, 0)^T$  using the exact step length  $\alpha^*$  from (d), and one step from  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (0, 0)^T$  using the Newton direction with step length  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Solution**

(a) For  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$  it holds  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = 3x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2 + 6x_2^2 = (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + 2x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 > 0$ . ①

(b) We know from several occasions that for this kind of quadratic function we have

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 3x_1 - 2x_2 - 4 \\ -2x_1 + 6x_2 - 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H(\mathbf{x}) = A. \quad (4) \quad \text{①}$$

(c) The only solution to the necessary condition  $0 = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}$  is

$$\mathbf{x}^* = A^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{①}$$

It has to be a global minimum, because  $f$  possesses a global minimum. This has to be the case, since every level set  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \{\mathbf{x} : f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_0)\}$  is bounded (hence compact). This in turn follows from

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \geq \lambda_{\min}(A) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 + 2 \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for } \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty.$$

(d) Let  $g(\alpha) = f(\mathbf{x}_0 - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0))$ . For  $\mathbf{x}_0 = 0$  it holds (see (4))  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) = -\mathbf{b}$ . Hence,  $g(\alpha) = f(\alpha \mathbf{b})$ , and (see again (4))

$$g'(\alpha) = \mathbf{b}^T \nabla f(\alpha \mathbf{b}) = \alpha \mathbf{b}^T A \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}.$$

Hence the minimum of  $g$  (which is a convex parabola) is attained for

$$\alpha^* = \frac{\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{b}^T A \mathbf{b}} = \frac{20}{48 - 32 + 24} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad \text{①}$$

(e) The steepest descent with  $\alpha^*$  step is

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_0 - \alpha^* \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}^*! \quad \text{①}$$

The Newton step is

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_0 - H(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) = A^{-1} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}^*. \quad \text{①}$$

**Problem 6**

**6 points**

Consider the set

*Prof. D. Kressner  
Dr. A. Uschmajew*

$$\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid g(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0\} \quad \text{where} \quad g(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 1 - x_1 - x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solve the constrained optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{where} \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1 - 3/2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 \quad (5)$$

by following these steps:

- Write down the KKT conditions for problem (5).
- Show that LICQ holds for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ .
- Find all KKT points for which at most one constraint is active.
- Find all  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$  at which at least two constraints are active.
- Select the solution of the problem from the points in (c) and (d).

**Solution**

(a) We have

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2(x_1 - 3/2) \\ 2(x_2 - 2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the main KKT equation  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i \nabla \lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{x})$  reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2(x_1 - 3/2) \\ 2(x_2 - 2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Additionally, one requires

$$g(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad \lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3. \quad \textcircled{1}$$

(b) There is no point in  $\Omega$  where all three constraints are active. Since any two vectors out of  $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}), \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}), \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x})$  are linearly independent, LICQ always holds. \textcircled{1}

(c) If we assume that at most one constraint is active, then by the complimentary condition at least two Lagrange multipliers have to vanish. However, if  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = 0$  or  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$  we deduce from the first KKT equation  $x_1 = 3/2$  or  $x_2 = 2$ , respectively, which both would violate  $g(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ . Thus, the only option is  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$  and  $\lambda_3 \neq 0$ . This gives

$$x_1 - 3/2 = -\lambda_3/2 = x_2 - 2.$$

Since  $g_3$  is active, we have the additional equation

$$x_1 + x_2 = 1.$$

The unique solution of both equations is  $\mathbf{x}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 \\ 3/4 \end{pmatrix}$ . \textcircled{2}

(d) Only at three points two constraints are active:  $\mathbf{x}_1 = 0$  with  $f(\mathbf{x}_1) = 25/4$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_2 = (1, 0)^T$  with  $f(\mathbf{x}_2) = 17/4$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_3 = (0, 1)^T$  with  $f(\mathbf{x}_3) = 13/4$ . \textcircled{1}

(e) Since all function values in (d) are larger than  $f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 25/8$ , since  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is the unique KKT point with at most one active constraint, and since the problem must have a solution, the solution is  $\mathbf{x}^*$ . \textcircled{1}



**Problem 7**

**4 points**

*Prof. D. Kressner  
Dr. A. Uschmajew*

Complete the MATLAB code according to the description. Your hand-written code must be syntactically correct, that is, it will not produce an error message when executed in MATLAB.

- (a) The code below implements the steepest descent algorithm using the Armijo rule, that is, the step-size at iteration  $k$  is given by the largest  $\alpha_k \in \{1, \beta, \beta^2, \beta^3, \dots\}$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_k) \leq c_1 \alpha_k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)^T \mathbf{p}_k$  (here  $\beta, c_1 \in ]0, 1[$ ). The input  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a column vector, and also  $\mathbf{df}(\mathbf{x})$  returns the gradient of  $f$  at  $x$  as a column vector.

```
function x = steepdesc(f,df,x0,tol,c1,beta)

x = x0;
while norm(df(x)) > tol
    p = - df(x);
    alpha = 1;

    %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
    % Insert Armijo backtracking loop here

    while f(x + alpha*p) - f(x) > c1*alpha*p'*df(x)

        alpha = alpha*beta;

    end

    %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

    % Perform steepest descent step
    x = x + alpha*p;
end
end
```

①

①

**Please turn the page!**

- (b) The code below implements the implicit Euler method for an autonomous ODE  $\mathbf{y}'(t) = f(\mathbf{y})$ ,  $\mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ . The nonlinear system  $\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = \mathbf{y}_n + hf(\mathbf{y}_{n+1})$  in each step is solved by applying the Newton method to the function  $g(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_n - hf(\mathbf{y})$  with starting guess  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)} = \mathbf{y}_n$  and stopping criterion  $\|g(\mathbf{y})\| \leq \text{tol}$ . The function values and the Jacobian of  $f$  at a point  $\mathbf{y}$  are evaluated by calling  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\mathbf{df}(\mathbf{y})$ , respectively.

```
function Y = impeuler(f,df,tspan,y0,N,tol)

dim = length(y0);
h = (tspan(2) - tspan(1))/N;
Y(:,1) = y0;
for n=1:N
    y1 = y0;
    while norm(y1 - y0 - h*f(y1)) > tol

        %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
        % Insert Newton step for g(y1) = y1 - y0 - h*f(y1) = 0 here

        y1 = y1 - (eye(dim) - h* df(y1)) \ (y1 - y0 - h*f(y1));           ②

        %Alternative, following the lecture:
        %z = y1 - y0;
        %z = z - (eye(dim) - h*df(y0+z)) \ (z - h*f(y0+z));
        %y1 = y0+z;

        %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

    end

    % Perform updates
    Y(:,n+1) = y1;
    y0 = y1;
end
end
```