

## **APEL's position statement - Consultation on institutional accreditation**

EPFL, July 19, 2021,

Dear Colleagues,

An email was sent to all professors and MER. We received no comments.

- In 6., the ETH Board also nominates Adjunct Professors.
- In 17., “incorporates the comments of representatives from across the EPFL community”.
- EPFL's associations are listed in subsection 1.3.9 of the report. The following information should be added at this point. According to LEX 1.1.2 Art. 3, APEL, ACIDE and AGEPOLY must be consulted whenever a change in the regulations is presented. According to LEX 1.2.1 Art. 5, APEL, ACIDE, APC and AGEPOLY ensure adequate representativeness of the various bodies in the School Councils.
- In 170., “The EPFL success is built on its professors”, thank you!!!!
- In 205., “The Direction takes into account the responses received during the consultation when making its decisions. If the Direction does not incorporate a particular comment, it must explain why. For example, when updating the promotion process for EPFL professors, the Direction decided not to include references from professors' former PhD students as a requirement (suggestion made during a consultation held on 9 June 2020)”, thank you again !!!!
- In 409., there is nothing about the recent increase of grievances against professors. The direction has communicated in <sup>1</sup>, but APEL is convinced that inquiries are not conducted in a balanced manner. The direction has promised a new procedure in the near future, this should be mentioned.

Best regards on behalf of APEL, the committee,

Ardemis Boghossian, Georg Fantner, Andras Kis, Daniel Kressner, Marco Picasso

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://actu.epfl.ch/news/awareness-of-the-respect-cell-grows-among-the-epfl/>